

q-Analogue of Aleph-Function and Its Transformation Formulae with q-Derivative

Altaf Ahmad^{1,*}, Renu Jain¹ and D. K. Jain²

¹ School of Mathematics and Allied Sciences, Jiwaji University, Gwalior (M.P.), India.

² Department of Applied Mathematics, MITS, Gwalior (M.P.), India.

Received: 13 Jul. 2017, Revised: 3 Aug. 2017, Accepted: 10 Aug. 2017

Published online: 1 Nov. 2017

Abstract: In the present paper, the authors have derived the alternative definition of q-analogue of Aleph-Function, introduced by Dutta et. al. [13], by using q-Gamma function, which is a q-extension of the generalized H-function and I-function earlier defined by Saxena [4] and some transformation formulae are also derived. The basic analogue for this function provides elegant generalizations of the various results given by Saxena in connection with q-calculus. Some special cases have also been discussed.

Keywords: Aleph Function, q-analogue of Aleph Function, q-analogue of I-Function, q-analogue of H-Function, q-analogue of G-Function, q-analogue of E-Function, q-Gamma function, q-Calculus, q-derivative operator.

1 Introduction

The q-calculus is the extension of the ordinary calculus. The subject deals with the investigations of q-integrals and q-derivatives of arbitrary order, and has gained importance due to its various applications in the areas like ordinary calculus, solution of the q-differential and q-integral equations, q-transform analysis [3, 16, 17, 18]. Motivated by these avenues of applications, a number of workers have made use of these operators to evaluate q-calculus, basic analogue of H-function, basic analogue of I-function, general class of q-polynomials etc. Here in the present paper we too make use of these operators on new basic hypergeometric function (Aleph-Function) which is a q-extension of the generalized H-function and I-function earlier defined by Saxena [2, 4].

We present some usual notions and notations used in the q-calculus see [8]. Throughout this paper, we assume q to be a fixed number satisfying $0 < q < 1$. The q-calculus begins with the definition of the q-analogue $d_q f(x)$ of the differential of functions,

$$d_q f(x) = f(qx) - f(x).$$

Having said this, we immediately get the q-analogue of the derivative of $f(x)$, called its q-derivative and is given by [15] as:

$$(D_q f)(x) = \frac{(d_q f(x))}{(d_q x)} = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x}, \text{ if } x \neq 0, \quad (1)$$

$(D_q f)(0) = f'(0)$, provided $f'(0)$ exists. If f is differentiable, then $(D_q f)(x)$ tends to $f'(0)$ as q tends to 1. We have

$$D_{x,q}^n x^\mu = \frac{\Gamma_q(\mu+1)}{\Gamma_q(\mu-n+1)} x^{\mu-n}, \text{ Re}(\mu) + 1 > 0. \quad (2)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: altaf.u786@gmail.com

The q -analogue of x and ∞ is defined by

$$[x] = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q}, \text{ and } [\infty] = \frac{1}{1 - q}. \tag{3}$$

Sdland et. al. [14] studied the generalized fractional drift-less Fokker-Planck equation with power law coefficient. As a result, a special function was found, which is a particular case of the Aleph-function. The Aleph function is defined by means of Mellin-Barnes type integral (Mathai and Saxena, 1978) in the following manner [1,9]:

$$\aleph(z) = \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \Omega_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n}(s) z^{-s} ds \tag{4}$$

where $z \neq 0, \omega = \sqrt{-1}$ and

$$\Omega_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n}(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j - A_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_{ji} - B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{ji} + A_{ji} s) \right]}$$

The integration path $L = L_{\omega\gamma\infty}, \gamma \in R$, extends from $\gamma - \omega\infty$ to $\gamma + \omega\infty$, and is such that the poles, assumed to be simple, of $\Gamma(1 - a_j - A_j s), j = 1, \dots, n$ do not coincide with the poles of $\Gamma(b_j + B_j s), j = 1, \dots, m$. The parameters p_i, q_i are non-negative integers satisfying $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 1 \leq m \leq q_i, \tau_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$. The parameters $A_j, B_j, A_{ji}, B_{ji} > 0$ and $a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} \in C$. The empty product is interpreted as unity. The existence conditions for the defining integral (4) are given below:

$$\phi_l > 0, |arg(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \phi_l \text{ and } R(\zeta_l) + 1 < 0, l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$$

where

$$\phi_l = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j + \tau_l \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{q_l} b_{jl} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{p_l} a_{jl} \right)$$

$$\zeta_l = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j + \sum_{j=1}^m B_j - \tau_l \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{p_l} A_{jl} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{q_l} B_{jl} \right) + \frac{1}{2}(p_l - q_l), l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r.$$

Saxena et. al.[12] introduced the following basic analogue of I-Function in terms of the Mellin-Barnes type basic contour integral as:

$$I(z) = I_{A_i, B_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, A_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m G(q^{(b_j - \beta_j s)}) \prod_{j=1}^n G(q^{(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)})}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{B_i} G(q^{(1 - b_{ji} + \beta_{ji} s)}) \prod_{j=n+1}^{A_i} G(q^{(a_{ji} - \alpha_{ji} s)}) \right] G(q^s) G(q^{1-s}) \sin \pi s} \pi z^s ds \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{ji}, \beta_{ji}$ are real and positive, a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers and

$$G(q^\alpha) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\alpha+n})^{-1} = \frac{1}{(q^\alpha; q)_\infty}$$

where $z \neq 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

where L is contour of integration running from $-\omega\infty$ to $\omega\infty$ in such a manner so that all poles of $G(q^{(b_j - \beta_j s)}); 1 \leq j \leq m$ are to right of the path and those of $G(q^{(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}); 1 \leq j \leq n$, are to left. The integral converges if $Re[s \log(x) - \log \sin \pi s] < 0$, for large values of $|s|$ on the contour L. Setting $r = 1, A_i = A, B_i = B$, in equation (5) we get q -analogue of H-Function defined by Saxena et.al.[12] as follows:

$$H_{A, B}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, A} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m G(q^{(b_j - \beta_j s)}) \prod_{j=1}^n G(q^{(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)})}{\prod_{j=m+1}^B G(q^{(1 - b_j + \beta_j s)}) \prod_{j=n+1}^A G(q^{(a_j - \alpha_j s)}) G(q^s) G(q^{1-s}) \sin \pi s} \pi z^s ds \tag{6}$$

Further if we put $\alpha_j = \beta_j = 1$, equation (6) reduces to the basic analogue of Meijer's G-Function given by Saxena et. al.[12].

$$G_{A,B}^{m,n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_A) \\ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_B) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m G(q^{(b_j-s)}) \prod_{j=1}^n G(q^{(1-a_j+s)})}{\prod_{j=m+1}^B G(q^{(1-b_j+s)}) \prod_{j=n+1}^A G(q^{(a_j-s)}) G(q^s) G(q^{1-s}) \sin\pi s} \pi z^s ds \quad (7)$$

Dutta et. al.[13] defined the q-analogue of Aleph-Function in term of Mellin-Barnes type contour integral in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m G(q^{(b_j-B_js)}) \prod_{j=1}^n G(q^{(1-a_j-A_js)})}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} G(q^{(1-b_{ji}+B_{jis})}) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} G(q^{(a_{ji}-A_{jis})}) \right] G(q^s) G(q^{1-s}) \sin\pi s} \pi z^s ds \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $z \neq 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

The parameters p_i, q_i are non-negative integers satisfying the inequality $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i$ and $\tau_i > 0; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$ is finite and A_j, B_j, A_{ji}, B_{ji} are positive real numbers and a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers. The $C = C_{\omega\gamma\infty}$ is a suitable contour of Mellin-Barnes type in the complex s-plane, which runs from $\gamma - \omega\infty$ to $\gamma + \omega\infty$ with $\gamma \in C$, in such a manner so that all poles of $G(q^{(b_j-B_js)}); 1 \leq j \leq m$, separating from those of $G(q^{(1-a_j+A_js)}); 1 \leq j \leq n$. All the poles of the integrand (8) are assumed to be simple and empty products are interpreted as unity. The integral converges if $Re[s \log(z) - \log \sin \pi s] < 0$, for large values of $|s|$ on the contour L, that is if $|(arg(z) - w_2 w_1^{-1} \log|z|)| < \pi$, where $0 < |q| < 1, \log q = -w = -(w_1 + iw_2), w, w_1, w_2$ are definite quantities, w_1, w_2 being real. If we take $\tau_i = 1$ in (8), then (5) is recovered and if we set $r=1$ in (5), then we get (6). If we set $A_i = B_j = 1$ for all i and j in (6), then it reduces to (7).

If we set $n = 0, m = B$ in (7), then it reduces to the basic analogue of MacRobert's E-function given below:

$$G_{A,B}^{B,0} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_A) \\ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_B) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = E_q[B; b_j : A; a_j : z]$$

2 Main Results

In this section, the authors have defined the alternative definition of q-analogue of Aleph-Function by using q-Gamma function and have derived some of its transformation formulae in connection with q-calculus.

2.1. q-analogue of Aleph function:

We shall make use of $\mathfrak{K}(z; q)$ notation for q-analogue of Aleph-Function and the same is defined as:

Theorem 1: Let the parameters p_i, q_i are non-negative integers satisfying the inequality $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i$ and $\tau_i > 0; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$ is finite and A_j, B_j, A_{ji}, B_{ji} are positive real numbers and a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1-q)^{\sum_{t=1}^n a_t - \sum_{t=1}^m b_t + m + n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\sum_{t=n+1}^{p_i} a_{ti} - \sum_{t=m+1}^{q_i} b_{ti} - A_i]} G(q)^{\sum_{i=1}^r p_i + q_i - 2(m+n-1)}] \times \\ & \mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z(1-q)^{\sum_{t=1}^m B_t - \sum_{t=1}^n A_t + \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\sum_{t=m+1}^{q_i} B_{ti} - \sum_{t=n+1}^{p_i} A_{ti}]}; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $z \neq 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

Proof: To prove (9) we consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{N}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z(1-q)^{\sum_{t=1}^m B_t - \sum_{t=1}^n A_t + \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\sum_{t=m+1}^{q_i} B_{ti} - \sum_{t=n+1}^{p_i} A_{ti}]}; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} (1, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m G(q^{(b_j - B_j)s}) \prod_{j=1}^n G(q^{(1 - a_j - A_j)s}) \pi z^s (1-q)^{s[\sum_{t=1}^m B_t - \sum_{t=1}^n A_t + \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\sum_{t=m+1}^{q_i} B_{ti} - \sum_{t=n+1}^{p_i} A_{ti}]]}}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} G(q^{(1 - b_{ji} + B_{ji})s}) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} G(q^{(a_{ji} - A_{ji})s}) G(q^s) G(q^{1-s}) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

On multiplying (10) by

$$[(1-q)^{\sum_{t=1}^n a_t - \sum_{t=1}^m b_t + m + n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\sum_{t=n+1}^{p_i} a_{ti} - \sum_{t=m+1}^{q_i} b_{ti} - A_i]} G(q)^{\sum_{i=1}^r p_i + q_i - 2(m+n-1)}]$$

And making use of the identity given by Askey [5]

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{G(q^x)}{(1-q)^{x-1} G(q)}; |q| < 1,$$

we get (9) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j - A_j s) \pi z^s}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \\ &= \mathfrak{N}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where L is contour of integration running from $-\omega\infty$ to $+\omega\infty$ in such a manner so that all poles of $\Gamma_q(b_j + B_j s); 1 \leq j \leq m$ are to right of the path and those of $\Gamma_q(1 - a_j - A_j s); 1 \leq j \leq n$, are to left. The integral converges if $Re[s \log(z) - \log \sin \pi s] < 0$, for large values of $|s|$ on the contour L, that is if $|(arg(z) - w_2 w_1^{-1} \log|z|)| < \pi$, where $0 < |q| < 1, \log q = -w = -(w_1 + iw_2), w, w_1, w_2$ are definite quantities, w_1, w_2 being real.

Remark: By setting $\tau_i = 1$ in (9), we get well known result for basic analogue of I-function as reported in [4] which is as follows:

$$I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s \right]} \pi z^s ds \tag{11}$$

The existence conditions for the integral in (11) are the same as for q-analogue of Aleph-function with $\tau_i = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Moreover taking $r=1$ in (11) we get well known result as in [4] as:

$$H_{P, Q}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^Q \Gamma_q(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^P \Gamma_q(a_j - A_j s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s} \pi z^s ds \tag{12}$$

The existence conditions for the integral in (12) are the same as for q-analogue of I-Function with $r = 1$.

2.2. Some transformation formulae of $\aleph(z;q)$ Function

(I) Let the parameters p_i, q_i are non-negative integers satisfying the inequality $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i$ and $\tau_i > 0; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$ is finite and A_j, B_j, A_{ji}, B_{ji} are positive real numbers and a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph(z;q) &= \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a, 0)(a_j, A_j)_{2, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \Gamma_q(1-a) \aleph_{p_i-1, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n-1} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{2, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $z \neq 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$.

Proof: By definition of $\aleph(z;q)$ -function, we get L.H.S.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j + B_j s) \Gamma_q(1-a-0.s) \prod_{j=2}^n \Gamma_q(1-a_j - A_j s) \pi z^{-s}}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1-b_{ji} - B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} + A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1-s) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \\ &= \Gamma_q(1-a) \times \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=2}^n \Gamma_q(1-a_j + A_j s) \pi z^s}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1-b_{ji} + B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1-s) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \\ &= \Gamma_q(1-a) \aleph_{p_i-1, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n-1} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{2, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

= R.H.S. This proves the theorem.

In the same manner we can prove the following results.

(II)

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph(z;q) &= \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i-1(a, 0)} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(a)} \aleph_{p_i-1, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{2, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i-1} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

(III)

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph(z;q) &= \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b, 0)(b_j, B_j)_{2, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \Gamma_q(b) \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m-1, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{2, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

(IV)

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph(z;q) &= \aleph_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b, 0)(b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i-1(b, 0)} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1-b)} \aleph_{p_i, q_i-1, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i-1} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Special Cases:

(i) By setting $\tau_i = 1$ in (13), we get well known result for basic analogue of I-function as reported in [3,4] which is as follows:

$$I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a, 0) (a_j, A_j)_{2, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \Gamma_q(1-a) I_{p_i-1, q_i; r}^{m, n-1} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{2, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (17)$$

Moreover taking $r = 1$ in (17) we get well known result as in [4] as:

$$H_{P, Q}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a, 0) (a_j, A_j)_{2, P} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \Gamma_q(1-a) H_{P-1, Q}^{m, n-1} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{2, P-1} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

(ii) Taking $\tau_i = 1$ in (14), we get well known formula for basic analogue of I-function as reported in [3,4] as:

$$I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i-1(a, 0)} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(a)} I_{p_i-1, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i-1} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (19)$$

Again, taking $r = 1$ in (19) we get well known formula of Fox's basic analogue of H-function as:

$$H_{P, Q}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P-1(a, 0)} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(a)} H_{P-1, Q}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P-1} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (20)$$

(iii) Taking $\tau_i = 1$ in (15), we get well known formula for basic analogue of I-function as reported in [3,4] as:

$$I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b, 0) (b_j, B_j)_{2, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \Gamma_q(b) I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m-1, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i-1} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{2, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (21)$$

Again, taking $r = 1$ in (21) we get well known formula of Fox's basic analogue of H-function as:

$$H_{P, Q}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P} \\ (b, 0) (b_j, B_j)_{2, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \Gamma_q(b) H_{P, Q-1}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{2, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (22)$$

(iv) Taking $\tau_i = 1$ in (16), we get well known formula for basic analogue of I-function as reported in [3,4] as:

$$I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i-1} (b, 0) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1-b)} I_{p_i, q_i-1; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i-1} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (23)$$

Again, taking $r = 1$ in (23) we get well known formula of Fox's basic analogue of H-function as:

$$H_{P, Q}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q-1} (b, 0) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1-b)} H_{P, Q-1}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, P} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, Q-1} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (24)$$

(2.3) In this section, we will evaluate the q-derivative operator involving q-analogue of Aleph-Function.

Theorem 2: Let the parameters p_i, q_i are non-negative integers satisfying the inequality $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i$ and $\tau_i > 0; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$ is finite and A_j, B_j, A_{ji}, B_{ji} are positive real numbers and a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} & z D_{z, q} [z^{1-a_1} \mathfrak{N}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, 1)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, 1)]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, 1)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, 1)]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right]] \\ &= z^{1-a_1} \mathfrak{N}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 - 1, 1) (a_j, 1)_{2, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, 1)]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, 1)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, 1)]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $z \neq 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$.

Proof: To prove theorem (25) when $a_1 \geq 0$, we apply equation (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 L.H.S. &= zD_{z,q}[z^{1-a_1} \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + s) \pi z^s}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s]} ds] \\
 &= z \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + s) \pi D_{z,q}[z^{1-a_1+s}]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s]} ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + s) \pi [1 - a_1 + s]_q [z^{1-a_1+s}]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s]} ds
 \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_q(1 + a) &= \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q} \Gamma_q(a) = [a]_q \Gamma_q(a) \\
 [a]_q \Gamma_q(a) &= \Gamma_q(1 + a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[1 - a_1 + s]_q \Gamma_q(1 - a_1 + s) = \Gamma_q(1 - (a_1 - 1) + s)$
 Thus

$$L.H.S. = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - s) \Gamma_q(1 - (a_1 - 1) + s) \prod_{j=2}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + s) \pi z^s z^{1-a_1}}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i [\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s]} ds$$

Which implies,

$$zD_{z,q}[z^{1-a_1} \mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, 1)_{1, n} & \dots & [\tau_i(a_{ji}, 1)]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, 1)_{1, m} & \dots & [\tau_i(b_{ji}, 1)]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right]] = z^{1-a_1} \mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 - 1, 1) & (a_j, 1)_{2, n} & \dots & [\tau_i(a_{ji}, 1)]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, 1)_{1, m} & & \dots & [\tau_i(b_{ji}, 1)]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right]$$

Hence the result.

Theorem 3: Let the parameters p_i, q_i are non-negative integers satisfying the inequality $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i$ and $\tau_i > 0; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$ is finite and A_j, B_j, A_{ji}, B_{ji} are positive real numbers and a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &D_{z,q}^\mu [\mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z^\lambda; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} & \dots & [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} & \dots & [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right]] \\
 &= z^{-\mu} \mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i+1, \tau_i; r}^{m, n+1} \left[\left(z^\lambda; q \left| \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) & (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} & \dots & [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} & \dots & [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} & (\mu, \lambda) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $z \neq 0, 0 < |q| < 1$ and $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$.

Proof: To prove theorem (26) when $\lambda \geq 0$, we apply equation (2)

$$D_{z,q}^\mu [\mathfrak{K}_{p_i, q_i, \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[\left(z^\lambda; q \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{1, n} & \dots & [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} & \dots & [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right]]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + A_j s) \pi D_{z,q}^\mu [z^{\lambda s}]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + A_j s) \pi \Gamma_q(\lambda s + 1) [z^{\lambda s - \mu}]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + B_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(\lambda s - \mu + 1) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \\
&= \frac{z^{-\mu}}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_q(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_q(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma_q(1 - 0 + \lambda s) \pi [z^{\lambda s}]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_q(1 - b_{ji} + B_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(1 - \mu + \lambda s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_q(a_{ji} - A_{ji} s) \Gamma_q(s) \Gamma_q(1 - s) \sin \pi s \right]} ds \\
&= z^{-\mu} \mathfrak{N}_{p_i, q_i+1, \tau_i; r}^{m, n+1} \left[\left(z^\lambda, q \left| \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda)(a_j, A_j)_{1, n} \dots [\tau_i(a_{ji}, A_{ji})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, B_j)_{1, m} \dots [\tau_i(b_{ji}, B_{ji})]_{m+1, q_i} (\mu, \lambda) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right] \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

Conclusion

The results proved in this paper give some contributions to the theory of the basic hypergeometric functions and are believed to be a new to the theory of q- calculus and are likely to find certain applications to the solution of the q-integral equations involving various q-hypergeometric functions.

References

- [1] R.K.Saxena and T.K.Pogny, On fractional integration formulae for Aleph functions, Appl. Math. Comput., **218**, 985-990 (2011).
- [2] R. P. Agrawal, Certain fraction q-integrals and q-derivatives. Proc. Edin. Math. Soc., **66**, 365-370,(1969).
- [3] D. K. Jain, R. Jain and F. Ahmad, Some Transformation Formula for Basic Analogue of I-function; Asian Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **5(4)**, 158-162 (2012).
- [4] R.K. Saxena, A. Rajendra Kumar, Basic analogue of the Generalized H-function. Le Mathematic Vol. **L** (1995).
- [5] R. Askey, q-gamma and q-beta functions, Appl. Anal. **8**, pp (125-141) (1979).
- [6] W. A. Al. Salam, Some fractional q- integral and q- derivatives. Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. **17**, 616-621 (1966).
- [7] F. H. Jackson, On basic double Hyper geometric function, Quart, J. Pure and Appl. Math; **41**, 193-203 (1910).
- [8] V. G. Kac, P. Cheung, Quantum calculus, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2002).
- [9] R.K. Saxena and T.K. Pogny, Mathieu-type series for the Aleph function occurring in Fokker-Planck Equation. EJPAM, **3(6)**: 980-988, (2010).
- [10] C. Fox, The G and H Functions as Symmetrical Fourier Kernels. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **98**, 395-429 (1961).
- [11] V.P. Saxena, Formal Solution of Certain New power of Dual Integral Equations Involving H-Function, Proc. Nat. AcadSci (India) **52(A)**, pp. 366-375 (1982).
- [12] R. K. Saxena, G. C. Modi and S. L. Kalla, A basic analogue of Fox,s H-Function. Rev. Tec. Ing. Univ., Zulin, **6**, 139-143 (1983).
- [13] B. K. Dutta and L. K. Arora, On a Basic Analogue of Generalized H-function. Int. J. Math. Engg. Sci. Vol. **1** Issue **1**, 21-30 (2011).
- [14] N. Sdland, B. Baumann, T.F. Nonnenmacher, Fractional driftless Fokker-Planck equation with power law diffusion coefficients in: V.G. Gangha, E.W. Mayr. W.G. Vorozhtsov (Eds.), Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing (CASC Konstanz 2001), Springer, Berlin, pp. 513-525 (2001).
- [15] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990).
- [16] A. Ahmad, R. Jain, D. K. Jain and F. Ahmad, The Classical Sumudu Transform And Its q-Image Involving Mittag-Leffler Function, Revista Investigacin Operacional, Issue **38** (forthcoming accepted)(2017).

- [17] A. Ahmad, R. Jain, D. K. Jain and F. Ahmad, The Classical Sumudu Transform and its q-Image of the Most Generalized Hypergeometric and Wright-Type Hypergeometric Functions, International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, Volume: 5 Issue: 6, pp. 405-413 (2017).
- [18] A. Ahmad, F. Ahmad and S. K. Tripathi, Application of Sumudu Transform in Two-Parameter Fractional Telegraph Equation. Appl.Math.Inf.Sci.Lett.3, No. 2, 1-9 (2016).



Altaf Ahmad Bhat is a research scholar and presently pursuing Ph.D. on Special Functions under the Supervision of Professor Renu Jain and D.K. Jain in Mathematics from 2015 from Jiwaji University, Gwalior India. He has obtained M.Phil degree in the year 2010, cleared the Joint UGC-CSIR NET Exam for Lectureship in 2015. He is new in the research area and has published 5 papers only.



Renu Jain is Head of School of Mathematics and Allied Sciences at Jiwaji University Gwalior, (M.P.) India. Prof. Jain's Research areas include Lie theory and Special functions, Fractional Calculus and Mathematical Modeling of Biological and Ecological Systems. In 1989, She was awarded Nehru Centenary British (Commonwealth) Fellowship for working as a Post Doctoral Fellow in Imperial College, London for one year. She has supervised 16 Ph.D. and 43 M.Phil students so far. She has published more than 70 research papers in national and international Journals.



D. K. Jain did his M.Sc. in Mathematics in the year 1991 from Jiwaji University, Gwalior. He has obtained M.Phil degree in the year 1992, cleared the Joint UGC JRF- NET Exam for Lectureship and was awarded Junior Research Fellowship for his Ph.D. degree from Jiwaji University in the year 1997 on Special Function. He has published more than 43 research papers in national and international Journals and anthologies besides having authored a full series of books for the Engineering students on Engineering Mathematics, which are useful for the Undergraduate as well as Post-graduate students. Two Ph.D. and 07 M.Phil candidates have obtained their research degrees under his guidance. He has a Graduate and Post-graduate teaching experience of over eighteen years and is presently teaching in the Department of Applied Mathematics at Madhav Institute of Technology and Science, Gwalior, a renowned technical institute of Madhya Pradesh.