Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences – An International Journal ©2011 NSP

On recurrence relations for the 3-j coefficient

R. Suresh¹ and K. Srinivasa Rao²

 ¹Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre, SASTRA University, Kumbakonam-612 001, India Email Address: suresh080183@yahoo.co.in
 ²Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre, SASTRA University, Kumbakonam-612 001, India. Email Address: ksrao18@yahoo.co.in

Received 18 September 2008; Revised 5 January 2009; Acceptance 7 January 2009.

A four-term recurrence relation for the 3-*j* coefficient is derived from a four-term recurrence relation for the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ hypergeometric function, which is intimately connected to the 3-*j* (or Clebsch-Gordan) coefficient. This new recurrence relation can also be derived from two known three-term recurrence relations for the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$. Application of the four-term recurrence relation to generate tables of the 3-*j* coefficients is discussed.

Keywords: Generalized hypergeometric series, Angular momentum coupling coefficient, Clebsch-Gordan coefficient, Recurrence relation.

2000 MSC: 33C20; 33C90.

1 Introduction

The Gauss hypergeometric function of unit argument, ${}_{2}F_{1}(1)$, satisfies a three-term recurrence relation (c.f. Bailey, 1935; Slater, 1964). It is well-known that by suitably combining two three-term recurrence relations in a single variable, it is possible to derive a four-term recurrence relation for the given function. It is known that the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ satisfies a four-term recurrence relation and it forms the basis for our new recurrence relation for the angular momentum coupling coefficient.

The intimate connection between the Clebsch-Gordan, or 3-j coefficient, and the generalized hypergeometric function of unit argument, $_{3}F_{2}(1)$, was established, independently, by Vander Waerden (1932), Wigner (1931), Racah (1942) and Majumdar (1958), using

The authors thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, for its support through the grant DST SR / S4 / MS: 234 / 04, dated March 31, 2006.

diverse methods (cf. Biedenharn and Louck, 1981); and also by Srinivasa Rao et.al. (1992).

The discovery of six new symmetries for the 3-*j* coefficient, by Regge (1959), along with its twelve 'classical' symmetries, resulted in a set of 72 symmetries for the 3-*j* coefficient. The group theoretical aspects of these symmetries was studied, in terms of a set of six ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ s, by Srinivasa Rao et.al. (1978).

It is well-known, in literature, that every orthogonal polynomial satisfies a three-term recurrence relation. The 3-j coefficient has been shown by Karlin and McGregor (1961) to be related to the Hahn and the dual Hahn polynomials. This connection was exploited to establish two new three-term recurrence relations for the 3-j coefficient by Rajeswari and Srinivasa Rao (1989). These relations are different from the ones found earlier by Louck (1958).

The relationship between the Clebsch-Gordan, or the 3-*j* angular momentum coupling coefficient, and the set of six $_{3}F_{2}(1)$ hypergeometric functions (c.f. Srinivasa Rao and Rajeswari, 1993), of the Van der Waerden form, is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \delta(m_1 + m_2 + m_3, 0) \prod_{i,k=1}^3 [R_{ik}!/(J+1)!]^{1/2} \\ \times (-1)^{\sigma(pqr)} [\Gamma(1-A, 1-B, 1-C, D, E)]^{-1} \\ \times {}_3F_2(A, B, C; D, E; 1), \qquad (1.1)$$

where

$$A = -R_{2p}, \quad B = -R_{3q}, \quad C = -R_{1r}, \quad D = 1 + R_{3r} - R_{2p}, \quad E = 1 + R_{2r} - R_{3q}$$

and

$$\Gamma(x, y, \cdots) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)\cdots$$

for all permutations of (pqr) = (123), and

$$\sigma(pqr) = \begin{cases} R_{3p} - R_{2q} & \text{for even permutations,} \\ R_{3p} - R_{2q} + J & \text{for odd permutations,} \end{cases}$$

with $J = j_1 + j_2 + j_3$. The defining relations for the numerator and denominator parameters, R_{ik} 's, are the elements of the Regge (1959) 3×3 square symbol:

$$\|R_{ik}\| = \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} -j_1 + j_2 + j_3 & j_1 - j_2 + j_3 & j_1 + j_2 - j_3 \\ j_1 - m_1 & j_2 - m_2 & j_3 - m_3 \\ j_1 + m_1 & j_2 + m_2 & j_3 + m_3 \end{array} \right|.$$
(1.2)

,

In 1958, Regge made a discovery of six new symmetry properties for the 3-j coefficient. He arranged the nine non-negative integer parameters, listed by Racah (1942):

$$-j_1+j_2+j_3, \ j_1-j_2+j_3, \ j_1+j_2-j_3,$$

$$j_1 - m_1, \ j_2 - m_2, \ j_3 - m_3, \ j_1 + m_1, \ j_2 + m_2, \ j_3 + m_3,$$

into a 3×3 square symbol and identified the 3-*j* coefficient with that symbol:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -j_1 + j_2 + j_3 & j_1 - j_2 + j_3 & j_1 + j_2 - j_3 \\ j_1 - m_1 & j_2 - m_2 & j_3 - m_3 \\ j_1 + m_1 & j_2 + m_2 & j_3 + m_3 \end{vmatrix} \equiv \|R_{ik}\|.$$

Note that all the sums of the columns and the rows of the symbol add to $J = j_1 + j_2 + j_3$, as in the case of a *magic* square. Regge asserted that the 3-*j* coefficient being invariant to 3! column permutations, 3! row permutations and to a reflection about the diagonal of the 3×3 square symbol, gives rise to 72 symmetries. Of these, the symmetries due to 3! column permutations and the interchange of rows 2 and 3 in (2), are called as 'classical' symmetries. In fact, in a short communication, Regge (1958) wrote down explicitly only these six new symmetries, dramatically discovered by him.

It is possible, to invert the relation (1.1), to express the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ in terms of the 3-*j* coefficient (see Appendix for the details). After inversion, we get:

$${}_{3}F_{2}(A, B, C; D, E; 1) = (-1)^{D-E} \frac{\Gamma(1-A, 1-B, 1-C, s-1)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(D-A, D-B, D-C, E-A, E-B, E-C)^{1/2}} \times \Gamma(D, E) \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3} \end{pmatrix},$$
(1.3)

where

$$j_1 = \frac{1}{2}(E - A - C - 1), \quad j_2 = \frac{1}{2}(D - B - C - 1), \quad j_3 = \frac{1}{2}(D + E - A - B - 2),$$
$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2}(E + A - C - 1), \quad m_2 = \frac{1}{2}(C - B - D + 1), \quad m_3 = \frac{1}{2}(D + B - E - A)$$
and $s = D + E - A - B - C$ is called the parameter excess.

2 Main Results

The Pochhammer symbol is defined as:

$$(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)} = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1), \quad (x)_0 = 1$$

and one of its properties is:

$$x(x+1)_n = (x+n)(x)_n.$$

Using this property, for the *n*-th term, one obtains the following four-term recurrence relation:

$$(2 C - A - B) {}_{3}F_{2}(A, B, C; D, E) + A {}_{3}F_{2}(A + 1, B, C; D, E) + B {}_{3}F_{2}(A, B + 1, C; D, E) = 2 C {}_{3}F_{2}(A, B, C + 1; D, E),$$
(2.1)

where, following standard conventions, the unit argument of the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ has been suppressed.

The intimate relationship that exists between the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ and the 3-*j* coefficient, given by (1.3), enables one to obtain the following four-term recurrence relation satisfied by the 3-*j* coefficient, as a direct consequence of the four-term recurrence relation for the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ given in (2.1):

$$(2j_{3} - j_{1} - j_{2} - m_{1} + m_{2})\sqrt{(J+1)} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= [(j_{1} - m_{1})(j_{3} + m_{3})(j_{1} - j_{2} + j_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{2} & j_{3} - \frac{1}{2} \\ m_{1} + \frac{1}{2} & m_{2} & m_{3} - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ [(j_{2} + m_{2})(j_{3} - m_{3})(-j_{1} + j_{2} + j_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} & j_{2} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{3} - \frac{1}{2} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} - \frac{1}{2} & m_{3} + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- 2 [(j_{1} + m_{1})(j_{2} - m_{2})(j_{1} + j_{2} - j_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{2} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} - \frac{1}{2} & m_{2} + \frac{1}{2} & m_{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(2.2)$$

A numerical verification of the four-term recurrence relation, for

$$j_1 = 2, j_2 = 2, j_3 = 1, m_1 = 1, m_2 = -1, m_3 = 0,$$

using the tables of Rotenberg et.al. (1959), gave for the lhs and the rhs of (2.2) the value of $4/\sqrt{5}$.

The first entry, in page 47 of [7], is for the 3-j coefficient:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \underline{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

where the notation $\underline{1}$ for the number is that of Rotenberg et.al. [7] – who used the convention of underscoring negative exponents. The tables are for the squares of the

3-j coefficients (or, symbols), whose values are expressed in terms of products of prime factors (see p.33, [7]) and the value is preceded by an asterisk (*) for negative radicals.

When these j_i , m_i values are used in (2.2), we get the relation:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{array}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \text{since} \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = 1.$$

Repeated use of (2.2) guarantees the generation of the entire table of values. This is the best application possible of the four-term recurrence relation, (2.2), derived in this paper.

To be specific, from the numerical point of view, choose

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrr} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Using these values of j_i and m_i for the 3-*j* coefficient in (2.2), we get, after simplifications:

$$-4\sqrt{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} - 6\sqrt{3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When we use for the LHS 3-j coefficient, in the four-term recurrence relation, (2.2), the three 3-j coefficients on the RHS of this equation, and simplify, we finally get:

$$\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Thus, there is a cascading effect produced by the four-term recurrence relation, so that ultimately the last step in the sequence will be the first entry of Rotenberg's Table.

It is note-worthy that (2.1) valid for unit argument is also valid for a ${}_{3}F_{2}(z)$, with arbitrary z as argument.

Further, (2.1) is a consequence of the following two known contiguous relations:

$$A * F(A+1) - C * F(C+1) = (A-C) * F,$$
(2.3)

$$B * F(B+1) - C * F(C+1) = (B-C) * F,$$
(2.4)

where we have used the obvious notation (see, for instance, Bailey, 1935; Slater, 1964) $F = {}_{3}F_{2}(A, B, C; D, E; z)$, denoting only the parameter that changes.

It is straight forward to derive, from the above three-term contiguous recurrence relations, the following two 3-term recurrence relations for the 3-j coefficient:

$$(j_2 - j_3 + m_1)\sqrt{(J+1)} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

= $-[(j_1 - m_1)(j_3 + m_3)(j_1 - j_2 + j_3)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 - \frac{1}{2} & j_2 & j_3 - \frac{1}{2} \\ m_1 + \frac{1}{2} & m_2 & m_3 - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$
+ $[(j_1 + m_1)(j_2 - m_2)(j_1 + j_2 - j_3)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 - \frac{1}{2} & j_2 - \frac{1}{2} & j_3 \\ m_1 - \frac{1}{2} & m_2 + \frac{1}{2} & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$, (2.5)

$$(j_{1} - j_{3} - m_{2})\sqrt{(J+1)} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -[(j_{2} + m_{2})(j_{3} - m_{3})(-j_{1} + j_{2} + j_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} & j_{2} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{3} - \frac{1}{2} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} - \frac{1}{2} & m_{3} + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ [(j_{1} + m_{1})(j_{2} - m_{2})(j_{1} + j_{2} - j_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} j_{1} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{2} - \frac{1}{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} - \frac{1}{2} & m_{2} + \frac{1}{2} & m_{3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

It is to be noted that these three-term recurrence relations are not given in literature [3,7]. A combination of these two recurrence relations would imply (2.2). It relates a 3 - j coefficient with $J(= j_1 + j_2 + j_3)$ to a 3-*j* coefficient with J - 1. Needless to say, in principle, the relation can be used to generate all 3-*j* coefficients from those of a lower J.

3 Conclusion

To conclude, a four-term relation has been derived for the 3-*j* coefficient, from the corresponding relation for the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$ function. This is indeed a direct consequence of the definition of the 3-*j* coefficient in terms of the ${}_{3}F_{2}(1)$. Such relations for angular momentum coupling coefficients, from a theoretical point of view, are of relevance in the numerical computation of matrix elements of tensor operators, via the Wigner-Eckart theorem, in atomic, molecular and nuclear (structure / reaction) studies.

4 Appendix

In this appendix, we give the details on how to invert (1.1) to get (1.3). The values of the parameters A, B, \dots in (1.1) are

$$A = -R_{2p}, \qquad B = -R_{3q}, \qquad C = -R_{1r},$$

$$D = 1 + R_{3r} - R_{2p}, \qquad E = 1 + R_{2r} - R_{3q}, \qquad (4.1)$$

with (pqr) = (123), cyclic. For p = 1, q = 2, r = 3, the above parameters become:

and these equations can be conveniently cast into the matrix form as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C+1} \\ \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ j_2 \\ j_3 + 1 \\ m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.3)

where M is the 5×5 matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.4)

The values of j_1, j_2, j_3, m_1 and m_2 are obtained by inverting (4.3), to get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ j_2 \\ j_3 + 1 \\ m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ C+1 \\ D \\ E \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.5)

with

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.6)

Using M^{-1} , to write down, Eq. (4.5) explicitly, we get:

 $j_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(-A - C + E - 1)$ $j_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(-B - C + D - 1)$ $j_{3} = \frac{1}{2}(-A - B + D + E - 2)$ $m_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(A - C + E - 1)$ $m_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(-B + C - D + 1)$ $m_{3} = -m_{1} - m_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(-A + B + D - E).$ (4.7)

Acknowledgements

Special thanks are due to the referees, at whose instance details have been added to make this paper self-contained. The authors thank Sri R. Sethuraman, Vice Chancellor, SASTRA University, Thanjavur, and the Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre, Kumbakonam, for encouraging Research in Mathematical Sciences.

References

- Bailey W. N. (1935) *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Biedenharn L. C. and Louck J. D. (1981a) Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics, Encycl. of Maths. and its Applications, Vol. 8 (Academic Press).
- [3] Edmonds, A.R. Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics, Princeton University Press (1957).
- [4] Karlin S. and McGregor J. L. (1961) Scripta Math. 26 33.
- [5] Louck J.D. (1958) Phys. Rev. 110 815.
- [6] Regge T. (1959) Nuo. Cim 11 116.
- [7] Rotenberg M., Bivins R., Metropolis N. and Wooten J. K. Jr.(1959) *The 3-j and 6-j symbols*, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- [8] Slater L. J. (1966) Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press.
- [9] Srinivasa Rao K. (1978) J. Phys. A11 L69. See also, A. D'Adda, R. D'Auria and G. Ponzano (1974) J. Math. Phys. 15 1543.
- [10] Srinivasa Rao K and Rajeswari V. (1989) J. Math. Phys. 30 1016.
- Srinivasa Rao K., Van der Jeugt J., Raynal J., Jagannathan R. and Rajeswari V. (1992)
 J. Phys. A25 861.

R. Suresh and K. Srinivasa Rao

[12] Srinivasa Rao K. and V. Rajeswari (1993) *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum:* Selected Topics, Springer-Narosa.



R. Suresh, M.Sc., M.Phil is a Junior Research Fellow, at the Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre (SRC) of SASTRA University, Kumbakonam, India. He is at present registered for his Ph.D. degree of the SASTRA University under the supervision of Dr. K. Srinivasa Rao.

K. Srinivasa Rao, Ph.D., F.N.A.Sc., Distinguished DST-Ramanujan Professor for Mathematical Sciences, at SRC, Kumbakonam. www.imsc.res.in/ rao gives his Curriculum Vitae, till his superannuation as Senior Professor, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras, in Nov. 2002. Refer "K. Srinivasa Rao and his work", W. Van Assche, G. Vanden Berghe and J. Vander Jeugt, JCAM, Elsevier, 160 (2003) Numbers 1-2, for details.



52