

Some Subclasses of p -Valent Functions Defined by Generalised Fractional Differintegral Operator - I

C. Selvaraj¹, O. S. Babu² and G. Murugusundaramoorthy^{3,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Presidency College (Autonomous), Chennai - 600005, India

² Department of Mathematics, Dr. Ambedkar Govt. Arts College, Chennai - 600039, India

³ School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University, Vellore - 632 014, India

Received: 12 Sep. 2014, Revised: 21 Sep. 2015, Accepted: 2 Oct. 2015

Published online: 1 Jan. 2016

Abstract: A generalized extended fractional differintegral operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(z \in \Delta; p \in \mathbb{N}; \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \mu < p+1; -\infty < \lambda < \eta + p + 1)$

was introduced and studied by Goyal and Prajapat [3]. In this paper, by applying this operator we define a class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha; A, B)$ for a different subordination function and obtain some interesting results.

Keywords: Analytic function; Multivalent function; Differential subordination; Generalised fractional differintegral operator; Generalised hypergeometric function; Hadamard product (or convolution).

1 Introduction and definitions

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions normalized by

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open disk $\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$ of p -valently starlike functions of order α in Δ , if $\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$; $z \in \Delta$). Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$ of p -valently convex functions of order α in Δ , if $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$; $z \in \Delta$). Indeed, it

follows that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$; $z \in \Delta$). We note that $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p^*(0) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p^*$ and $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_p(0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_p(0 \leq \alpha < p)$, where \mathcal{S}_p^* and \mathcal{K}_p denote the subclass of \mathcal{A}_p consisting of functions which are p -valently starlike in Δ and p -valently convex in Δ , respectively (see, for details, [2]; see also [17] and [1]).

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in Δ , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written symbolically as

$$f \prec g \text{ in } \Delta \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in Δ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in \Delta$. It is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(0) = g(0)$$

and

$$f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then we have the following equivalence (cf., e.g., [10]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f(0) = g(0)$$

and

$$f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

Furthermore, $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ in the disk $\Delta_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ if the function $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ is subordinate to the function $g_r(z) = g(rz)$ in Δ . It follows from the Schwarz lemma that if $f \prec g$ in Δ , then $f \prec g$ in Δ_r for every r ($0 < r < 1$).

The general theory of differential subordination introduced by Miller and Mocanu is given in [9]. Namely,

* Corresponding author e-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

if $\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$) is an analytic function, h is analytic and univalent in Δ , and if ϕ is analytic in Δ with $(\phi(z), z\phi'(z)) \in \Omega$ when $z \in \Delta$, then we say that ϕ satisfies a first-order differential subordination provided that

$$\Psi(\phi(z), z\phi'(z)) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\phi(0), 0) = h(0). \quad (2)$$

We say that a univalent function $q(z)$ is a dominant of the differential subordination (2) if $\phi(0) = q(0)$ and $\phi(z) \prec q(z)$ for all analytic functions $\phi(z)$ that satisfy the differential subordination (2). A dominant $\bar{q}(z)$ is called as the best dominant of (2), if $\bar{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants $q(z)$ of (2)[9, 10].

For functions $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$, given by

$$f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n,j} z^{p+n} \quad (j \in 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2} z^{p+n} = (f_2 \star f_1)(z) \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \Delta).$$

In our present investigation, we shall also make use of the generalised hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$ and ${}_3F_2$ defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n \quad (a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}), \quad (3)$$

and

$${}_3F_2(a, b, c; d, e; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n (c)_n}{(d)_n (e)_n n!} z^n \quad (a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{C}, d, e \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}), \quad (4)$$

where $(\kappa)_n$ denote the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) given in terms of Gamma function Γ , by

$$(\kappa)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+n)}{\Gamma(\kappa)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n=0), \\ \kappa(\kappa+1)\cdots(\kappa+n-1) & (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

We note that the series defined by (3) and (4) converges absolutely for $z \in \Delta$ and hence ${}_2F_1$ and ${}_3F_2$ represent analytic functions in the open unit disk Δ (see, for details, [22], Chapter 14]).

We recall here the following generalised fractional integral and generalised fractional derivative operator due to Srivastava et al. [19] (see also [15]).

Definition 1. For real numbers $\lambda > 0, \mu$ and η , Saigo hypergeometric fractional integral operator $I_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}$ is defined by

$$I_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\lambda-\mu}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\lambda-1} \times {}_2F_1\left(\lambda+\mu, -\eta; \lambda; 1 - \frac{t}{z}\right) f(t) dt,$$

where the function $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply-connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin, with the order

$$f(z) = O(|z|^\varepsilon) \quad (z \rightarrow 0; \varepsilon > \max\{0, \mu - \eta\} - 1),$$

and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $(z-t) > 0$.

Definition 2. Under the hypotheses of Definition 1, Saigo hypergeometric fractional derivative operator $\mathfrak{S}_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{S}_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ z^{\lambda-\mu} \int_0^z (z-t)^{-\lambda} \times {}_2F_1\left(\mu-\lambda, 1-\eta; 1-\lambda; 1 - \frac{t}{z}\right) f(t) dt \right\} & (0 \leq \lambda < 1); \\ \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \mathfrak{S}_{0,z}^{\lambda-n, \mu, \eta} f(z) & (n \leq \lambda < n+1; n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases}$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1.

It may be remarked that

$$I_{0,z}^{\lambda, -\lambda, \eta} f(z) = D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}_{0,z}^{\lambda, \lambda, \eta} f(z) = D_z^\lambda f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1),$$

where $D_z^{-\lambda}$ denotes fractional integral operator and D_z^λ denotes fractional derivative operator considered by Owa [12].

Recently Goyal and Prajapat [3] introduced generalized fractional differintegral operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$, by

$$S_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(1+p-\mu)\Gamma(1+p+\eta-\lambda)}{\Gamma(1+p)\Gamma(1+p+\eta-\mu)} z^\mu \mathscr{S}_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) & (0 \leq \lambda < \eta + p + 1, z \in \Delta); \\ \frac{\Gamma(1+p-\mu)\Gamma(1+p+\eta-\lambda)}{\Gamma(1+p)\Gamma(1+p+\eta-\mu)} z^\mu I_{0,z}^{-\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) & (-\infty < \lambda < 0, z \in \Delta). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

It is easily seen from (5) that for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+p)_n(1+p+\eta-\mu)_n}{(1+p-\mu)_n(1+p+\eta-\lambda)_n} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \\ &= z^p {}_3F_2(1, 1+p, 1+p+\eta-\mu; 1+p-\mu, 1+p+\eta-\lambda; z) \\ &\quad *f(z) \end{aligned}$$

(6)

$$(z \in \Delta; p \in \mathbb{N}; \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \mu < p+1; -\infty < \lambda < \eta + p + 1)$$

Note that

$$S_{0,z}^{0,0,0} f(z) = f(z),$$

$$S_{0,z}^{1,1,1} f(z) = S_{0,z}^{1,0,0} f(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{p}$$

and

$$S_{0,z}^{2,1,1} f(z) = \frac{zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)}{p^2}.$$

We also note that

$$S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\lambda,\eta} f(z) = S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,0} f(z) = \Omega_z^{\lambda,p} f(z),$$

where $\Omega_z^{\lambda,p}$ is an extended fractional differintegral operator studied very recently by [14]. On the other hand, if we set

$$\lambda = -\alpha, \mu = 0, \eta = \beta - 1,$$

in (6) and using

$$I_{0,z}^{\alpha,0,\beta-1} f(z) = \frac{1}{z^\beta \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt,$$

we obtain following p -valent generalization of multiplier transformation operator [5,7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\beta,p}^\alpha f(z) &= \binom{p+\alpha+\beta-1}{p+\beta-1} \frac{\alpha}{z^\beta} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p+\beta+n)\Gamma(p+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(p+\alpha+\beta+n)\Gamma(p+\beta)} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we set

$$\lambda = -1, \mu = 0, \text{ and } \eta = \beta - 1.$$

in (6), we obtain the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator $\mathcal{F}_{\beta,p} : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ ($\beta > -p$) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0,z}^{-1,0,\beta-1} f(z) &= \mathcal{F}_{\beta,b} f(z) = \frac{p+\beta}{z^\beta} \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p+\beta}{p+\beta+n} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \\ &= z^p {}_2F_1(1, p+\beta; p+\beta+1; z) \\ &\quad (\beta > -p; z \in \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

(8)

It is easily seen from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} z(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))' &= (p+\eta-\lambda)(S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f(z)) \\ &\quad - (\eta-\lambda)(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

(9)

and it follows from (6) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} z(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z))' &= (p+\eta+\beta)(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)) \\ &\quad - (\eta+\beta)(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z)). \end{aligned}$$

(10)

Using the generalized fractional differintegral operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$, we now introduce the following subclass of \mathcal{A}_p :

Definition 3. For fixed parameters A, B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) and $0 \leq \alpha < p$, we say that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is in the class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha; A, B)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))'}{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))} - \alpha \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

$$(z \in \Delta; p \in \mathbb{N}; \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \mu < p+1; -\infty < \lambda < \eta + p + 1).$$

(11)

For $A = 1, B = -1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))'}{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))} - \alpha \right) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

For convenience, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha; 1, -1) &= \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha) \\ &= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p : \Re \left(\frac{z(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))'}{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z))} \right) > \alpha, \right. \\ &\quad \left. 0 \leq \alpha < p, z \in \Delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We further observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha; A, B) &= \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(0; A + \frac{\alpha}{p}(B-A), B); \\ (\beta > -p; \alpha + \beta > -p) \\ \mathcal{V}_p^{0,0,0}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_p^{1,0,0}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha).$$

Srivastava et. al [16] have studied some interesting properties of class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,0}(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1; 0 \leq \alpha < 1$) by using the techniques of Hadamard product.

In the present paper several sharp inclusion relationships and other interesting properties of the class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha; A, B)$ are found for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}, \mu < p+1$ and for all admissible non-negative values of λ and also for negative values of λ by using the techniques of differential subordination. Mapping properties of a variety of operators involving the operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$ are also investigated.

2 A set of preliminary lemmas

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\gamma)$ the class of functions $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (12)$$

which are analytic in Δ and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re(\varphi(z)) > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1; z \in \Delta).$$

In order to prove our main results, we recall the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.[4, 11] Let the function $h(z)$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in Δ with $h(0) = 1$. Suppose also that the function $\phi(z)$ given by

$$\phi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

is analytic in Δ . If

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \Delta; \Re(\gamma) \geq 0; \gamma \neq 0), \quad (13)$$

then

$$\phi(z) \prec \psi(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and $\psi(z)$ is the best dominant of (13).

Lemma 2.[10] If $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\beta > 0$, and the complex number γ is constrained by

$$\Re(\gamma) \geq -\beta(1-A)/(1-B),$$

then the following differential equation:

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

has a univalent solution in Δ given by

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma}(1+Bz)^{\beta(A-B)/B}}{\beta \int_0^z t^{\beta+\gamma-1} (1+Bt)^{\beta(A-B)/B} dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, & (B \neq 0) \\ \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma} \exp(\beta Az)}{\beta \int_0^z t^{\beta+\gamma-1} \exp(\beta At) dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, & (B = 0) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

If the function $\phi(z)$ given by

$$\phi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

is analytic in Δ and satisfies the following subordination:

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\beta\phi(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (15)$$

then

$$\phi(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (15).

Lemma 3.[21] For real or complex numbers a, b and c ($c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$),

$$\int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \quad (Re(c) > Re(b) > 0); \quad (16)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = {}_2F_1(b, a; c; z); \quad (17)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-b; c; \frac{z}{z-1}); \quad (18)$$

$$(a+1) {}_2F_1(1, a; a+1; z) = (a+1) + az {}_2F_1(1, a+1; a+2; z) \quad (19)$$

and

$${}_2F_1(a, b; \frac{a+b+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{a+b+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{b+1}{2})}. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 4.[20] If $\phi_j(z) \in P(\gamma_j)$ ($0 \leq \gamma_j < 1; j = 1, 2$), then $(\phi_1 * \phi_2)(z) \in P(\gamma_3)$, $\gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2)$ and the bound γ_3 is the best possible.

Lemma 5.[11] Suppose that the function $\Psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the condition

$$\Re(\Psi(ix, y; z)) \leq \varepsilon \quad (21)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, real $x, y \leq -\frac{(1+x^2)}{2}$ and for all $z \in \Delta$. If $\phi(z)$, given by (12) is analytic in Δ and $\Re(\Psi(\phi(z)), z\phi'(z); z) > \varepsilon$, then $\Re(\phi(z)) > 0$ in Δ .

3 Inclusion relationships for function class

$$\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}(\alpha; A, B)$$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout the sequel that

$$-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < p,$$

$$(z \in \Delta; p \in \mathbb{N}; \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \mu < p+1; -\infty < \lambda < \eta + p + 1).$$

Theorem 1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda+1, \mu, \eta}(\alpha; A, B)$,

$$(p - \alpha)(1 - A) + (\alpha + \eta - \lambda)(1 - B) \geq 0 \quad (22)$$

and the function $Q(z)$ be defined on Δ by

$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{p+\eta-\lambda-1} \left(\frac{1+Btz}{1+\beta z}\right)^{(p-\alpha)(A-B)/B} dt & (B \neq 0), \\ \int_0^1 t^{p+\eta-\lambda-1} \exp(A(p-\alpha)(t-1)z) dt & (B = 0). \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)'}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)} - \alpha \right) \\ & \prec \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{Q(z)} - \alpha - \eta + \lambda \right) \\ & = q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;A,B) \subset \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;A,B),$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (24).

If, in addition to (22) one has $A \leq -\frac{(\alpha+\eta-\lambda+1)B}{p-\alpha}$ with $-1 \leq B < 0$, then

$$\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;A,B) \subset \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;1-2\rho,-1), \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left[(p+\eta-\lambda) \right. \\ &\times \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{(p-\alpha)(B-A)}{B}; p+\eta-\lambda+1; \frac{B}{B-1} \right) \right\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha - \eta + \lambda \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The bound in (25) is the best possible.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;A,B)$, and $g(z)$ be defined by

$$g(z) = z \left(\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\alpha}} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (26)$$

Write $r_1 = \sup\{r : g(z) \neq 0, 0 < |z| < r < 1\}$. Then $g(z)$ is single-valued and analytic in $|z| < r_1$. Taking logarithmic differentiation in (26), it follows that the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)'}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)} - \alpha \right) \quad (27)$$

is of the form (12) and is analytic in $|z| < r_1$. Using the identity (9) in (27) and again carrying out logarithmic differentiation in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{(p-\alpha)\phi(z) + \alpha + \eta - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)'}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)} - \alpha \right) \\ &\prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (|z| < r_1). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Hence, by using Lemma ?? we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &\prec \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{Q(z)} - \alpha - \eta + \lambda \right) = q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \\ &\quad (|z| < r_1), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (24) and $Q(z)$ is given by (23). The remaining part of the proof can now be deduced on the same lines as in [13], Theorem 1]. This evidently completes the proof.

Taking $A = 1, B = -1, \eta = 0$ and $p = 1$ in Theorem 1 we get the following result which both extends and sharpens the work of Srivastava et al. [16].

Corollary 1. If $-\infty < \max\{\lambda, \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\alpha),$$

where $\gamma = (1-\lambda) \left[{}_2F_1(1, 2(1-\alpha); 2-\lambda; \frac{1}{2}) \right]^{-1} + \lambda$. The value of γ is the best possible.

Theorem 2. Let β be a real number satisfying

$$(p-\alpha)(1-A) + (\eta+\beta+\alpha)(1-B) \geq 0.$$

(i) If $f(z) \in C\mathcal{V}_p^\lambda(\alpha;A,B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z) \right)'}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z) \right)} - \alpha \right) \\ & \prec \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{Q(z)} - \eta - \beta - \alpha \right) \\ & = \tilde{q}(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{p+\eta+\beta-1} \left(\frac{1+Btz}{1+\beta z} \right)^{(p-\alpha)(A-B)/B} dt & (B \neq 0) \\ \int_0^1 t^{p+\eta+\beta-1} \exp(A(p-\alpha)(t-1)z) dt & (B = 0) \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant of (30). Consequently, the operator $\mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}$ maps the class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;A,B)$ into itself.

(ii) If $-1 \leq B < 0$ and

$$\beta \geq \max \left\{ \frac{(p-\alpha)(B-A)}{B} - p - \eta - 1, -\frac{(p-\alpha)(1-A)}{1-B} - \alpha - \eta \right\}, \quad (31)$$

then the operator $\mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}$ maps the class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;A,B)$ into the class $\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha;1-2p,-1)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left[(\eta + \beta + p) \right. \\ &\times \left. \left\{ {}_2F_1(1, \frac{(p-\alpha)(B-A)}{B}; \eta + \beta + p + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}) \right\}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta - \beta - \alpha \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The bound ρ is the best possible.

Proof. Upon replacing

$$g(z) \text{ by } z \left(\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z) \right)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\alpha}}, (z \in \Delta)$$

in (26) and carrying out logarithmic differentiation it follows that the function $\phi(z)$ given by

$$\phi(z) = \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z) \right)'}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z) \right)} - \alpha \right) \quad (32)$$

is of the form (12) and is analytic in $|z| < r_1$. Using the identity (10) in (32) and the fact that $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \neq 0$ in $0 < |z| < 1$, we get

$$\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z) \right)}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)} = \frac{\eta + \beta + p}{(p-\alpha)\phi(z) + \eta + \beta + \alpha} \quad (|z| < r_1). \quad (33)$$

Again, by taking logarithmic differentiation in (33) and using (32) in the resulting equation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)'}{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)} - \alpha \right) \\ &= \phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{(p-\alpha)\phi(z) + \eta + \beta + \alpha} \\ &\prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (|z| < r_1). \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of [[13], Theorem 1] and we choose to omit the details.

Putting $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Theorem 2, we get

Corollary 2. If β is a real number satisfying $\beta \geq \max\{p - 2\alpha - \eta - 1, -\alpha - \eta\}$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(\mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha)) \subset \mathcal{V}_p^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\sigma),$$

where

$$\sigma = (\eta + \beta + p) \left[{}_2F_1(1, 2(p-\alpha); \eta + \beta + p + 1; \frac{1}{2}) \right]^{-1} - \eta - \beta. \text{ The result is the best possible.}$$

In particular, when $\eta = 0$, Corollary 3.4 gives [[14], Corollary 1.7]. Further, for $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, Corollary 2 gives the following result which, in turn, the first half of Remark 2 [[13], p.330].

Corollary 3. If β is a real number satisfy $\beta \geq \max\{p - 2\alpha - 1, -\alpha\}$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^*(\sigma),$$

where $\sigma = (\beta + p) \left[{}_2F_1(1, 2(p-\alpha); \beta + p + 1; \frac{1}{2}) \right]^{-1} - \beta$. The value of σ is the best possible.

It is interest to note that, by setting $\beta = 0$ in corollary 3, we have the further consequence [[18], Corollary 7].

4 Some properties of the operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$

Now we discuss some properties of the operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$.

Theorem 3. Let

$\delta > 0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, \mu < p + 1, -\infty < \lambda < p, p \neq 1$ and the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies the following subordination:

$$(1-\delta) \frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)}{z^p} + \delta \frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)}{z^p} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (34)$$

Then

$$\Re \left[\left(\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right] > \chi_1^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \Delta), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\chi_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B} \right) (1-B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{p+\eta-\lambda}{\delta} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}) & (B \neq 0), \\ 1 - \frac{(p+\eta-\lambda)A}{p+\eta-\lambda+\delta}, & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

The result is the best possible.

Proof. For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$, consider the function given by

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (36)$$

Then $\phi(z)$ is of the form (12) and analytic in Δ . By differentiating (36) and making use of the identity (9), we obtain

$$\phi(z) + \frac{\delta}{p+\eta-\lambda} z\phi'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Now, by applying Lemma ?? we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)}{z^p} \prec Q(z) \\ &= \frac{p+\eta-\lambda}{\delta} z^{-\frac{p+\eta-\lambda}{\delta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p+\eta-\lambda}{\delta}-1} \left(\frac{1+At}{1+Bt}\right) dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1-\frac{A}{B})(1+Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1,1;\frac{p+\eta-\lambda}{\delta}+1;\frac{Bz}{1+Bz}) & (B \neq 0), \\ 1 + \frac{(p+\eta-\lambda)}{p+\eta-\lambda+\delta} Az & (B = 0), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we have also made a change of variable followed by the use of identities (16) and (18). The remaining part of the proof can be deduced on the same lines as in [[13], Theorem 4]. The proof of Theorem 3 is thus completed.

Upon setting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, $B = -1$, $m = 1$, $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3, we state the following

Corollary 4. For $\delta > 0$, if

$$\Re\left((1-\delta)\frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \delta\frac{(zf'(z))}{pz^p}\right) > \alpha,$$

then

$$\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) > \alpha + (1-\alpha)\left[{}_2F_1\left(1,1;\frac{p}{\delta}+1;\frac{1}{2}\right) - 1\right].$$

Upon setting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, $B = -1$, $m = 1$, $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda = -1$ in Theorem 3 we state the following

Corollary 5. For $\delta > 0$, if

$$\Re\left(\frac{(1-\delta)}{z^p}\left[\frac{p+1}{z}\int_0^z f(\xi)d\xi\right] + \delta\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) > \alpha, \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left(\frac{1}{z^p}\left[\frac{p+1}{z}\int_0^z f(\xi)d\xi\right]\right) \\ & > \alpha + (1-\alpha)\left[{}_2F_1\left(1,1;\frac{p+1}{\delta}+1;\frac{1}{2}\right) - 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. Let

$\delta > 0$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu < p + 1$, $-\infty < \lambda < p + 1$, $p \neq 1$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$. If the function $\mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z)$ be defined by (8) satisfies

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z)\right)}{z^p} + \delta\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)}{z^p} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (37)$$

then

$$\Re\left[\left(\frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z)\right)}{z^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right] > \varsigma_1^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \Delta), \quad (38)$$

where

$$\varsigma_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1-\frac{A}{B})(1-B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1,1;\frac{p+\eta+\beta}{\delta}+1;\frac{B}{B-1}) & (B \neq 0), \\ 1 - \frac{(p+\eta+\beta)A}{p+\eta+\beta+\delta}, & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$, consider the function given by

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\left(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,p}(f)(z)\right)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (39)$$

Then $\psi(z)$ is of the form (12) and analytic in Δ . By differentiating (39) and making use of the identity (10), we obtain

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\delta}{p+\eta+\beta} z \psi'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 4 is similar to that of Theorem 3 and we omit the details.

Upon setting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, $B = -1$, $m = \delta = 1$, $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 4 we state the following

Corollary 6. If $\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) > \alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left(\frac{1}{z^p}\left[\frac{p+\beta}{z^\beta}\int_0^z \xi^{\beta-1} f(\xi)d\xi\right]\right) \\ & > \alpha + (1-\alpha)\left[{}_2F_1\left(1,1;p+\beta+1;\frac{1}{2}\right) - 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Upon setting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, $B = -1$, $\eta = 0$ and $m = \delta = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 4 we state the following

Corollary 7. If $\Re\left(\frac{(zf'(z))}{pz^p}\right) > \alpha$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left(\frac{1}{pz^p}\left[z\left\{\frac{p+\beta}{z^\beta}\int_0^z \xi^{\beta-1} f(\xi)d\xi\right\}'\right]\right) \\ & > \alpha + (1-\alpha)\left[{}_2F_1\left(1,1;p+\beta+1;\frac{1}{2}\right) - 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\beta = 0$, Corollary 7 gives

Corollary 8. If $\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) > \alpha$, then

$$\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) > \alpha + (1-\alpha)\left[{}_2F_1\left(1,1;p+1;\frac{1}{2}\right) - 1\right].$$

5 Some properties of the operator $S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$ involving convolution

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of [[13], Theorem 3] and we omit its proof.

Theorem 5. Let $\delta > 0$ and $-1 \leq B_j < A_j \leq 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). If each of the function $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$) satisfies

$$(1-\delta) \frac{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f_j(z))}{z^p} + \delta \frac{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f_j(z))}{z^p} \prec \frac{1+A_j z}{1+B_j z} \quad (j = 1, 2; z \in \Delta),$$

then

$$(1-\delta) \frac{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} H(z))}{z^p} + \delta \frac{(S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z))}{z^p} \prec \frac{1+(1-2\eta_0)z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where

$$H(z) = S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} (f_1 * f_2)(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad (40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 = 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1-B_1)(1-B_2)} \\ \times \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{p+\eta-\lambda}{\delta}; 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The results is the best possible when $B_1 = B_2 = -1$.

We now state

Theorem 6. Let $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$). If the functions $S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f_j(z)/z^p \in \mathcal{P}(\eta_j)$ ($0 \leq \eta_j < 1$; $j = 1, 2$), then the function $H(z)$, given by (40) satisfies

$$\Re \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} H(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

provided

$$(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) < \frac{2(p-\lambda)+1}{2 \left[\left\{ {}_2F_1(1, 1; p+\eta-\lambda+1; \frac{1}{2}) - 2 \right\}^2 + 2(p+\eta-\lambda) \right]} \quad (41)$$

Proof. By the hypothesis on $f_j(z)$ it follows from Lemma 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p} + \frac{z}{p-\lambda} \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right) \\ = \Re \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f_1(z)}{z^p} * \frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} f_2(z)}{z^p} \right) \\ > 1 - 2(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which in view of Lemma 1 for

$$\gamma = p + \eta - \lambda, A = -1 + 2(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2), \text{ and } B = -1$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p} \right) &> 1 + 2(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) \\ &\times \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; p+\eta-\lambda; \frac{1}{2} \right) - 2 \right] \quad (z \in \Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Again, from (43) and Theorem 4.1 for

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -1 - 4(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; p+\eta-\lambda; \frac{1}{2} \right) - 2 \right], \\ B &= -1, \delta = 1 \text{ and } m = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\vartheta(z)) &> 1 - 2(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) \\ &\times \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; p+\eta-\lambda; \frac{1}{2} \right) - 2 \right]^2 \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\vartheta(z) = S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} H(z)/z^p$. Now, if we let

$$\phi(z) = \frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} H(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

then $\phi(z)$ is of the form (12) is analytic in Δ and a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p} + \frac{z}{p+\eta-\lambda} \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p} \right)' \\ = \vartheta(z) \left[\phi^2(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{p+\eta-\lambda} \right] \\ = \Psi(\phi(z), z\phi'(z); z), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\Psi(u, v; z) = \vartheta(z)(u^2 + (v/(p+\eta-\lambda)))$. Thus by using (42) in (45), we get

$$\Re(\Psi(\phi(z), z\phi'(z); z)) > 1 - 2(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Now for all real $x, y \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+x^2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\Psi(ix, y; z)) &= \left(\frac{y}{p+\eta-\lambda} - x^2 \right) \Re(\vartheta(z)) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2(p+\eta-\lambda)} \\ &\quad \times (1 + (2(p+\eta-\lambda) + 1)x^2) \Re(\vartheta(z)) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2(p+\eta-\lambda)} \Re(\vartheta(z)) \\ &\leq 1 - 2(1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2) \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned}$$

by (41) and (44). Hence by making use of Lemma 2.5 we get $\Re(\phi(z)) > 0$ in Δ ; that is

$$\Re\left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} H(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

This completes the proof.

Setting $\lambda = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in Theorem 5.2, we get the following corollary which, in turn, yields the corresponding work of Lashin [6], Theorem 1] for $p = 1$.

Corollary 9. Let $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$). If the functions $f'_j(z)/pz^{p-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\eta_j)$ ($0 \leq \eta_j < 1$; $j = 1, 2$), then the function $(f_1 \star f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*$, provided

$$(1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2) < \frac{2p + 1}{2[\{{}_2F_1(1, 1; p + 1; \frac{1}{2}) - 2\}^2 + 2p]}. \quad (46)$$

Theorem 7. Let $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$). If the functions $H(z)$, given by (40) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p}\right) \\ & > 1 - \frac{2(p + \eta - \lambda) + 1}{[\{{}_2F_1(1, 1; p + \eta - \lambda + 1; \frac{1}{2}) - 2\}^2 + 2(p + \eta - \lambda)]} \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\Re\left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} G_{\lambda,\eta}(z)}{S_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} G_{\lambda,\eta}(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where

$$G_{\lambda,\eta}(z) = (p + \eta - \lambda)z^{\lambda-\eta} \int_0^z \frac{H(t)}{t^{\lambda-\eta+1}} dt \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Proof. From the definition of the function $G_{\lambda,\eta}(z)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} H(z)}{z^p}\right) \\ & = \Re\left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} G_{\lambda,\eta}(z)}{z^p} + \frac{z}{p + \eta - \lambda} \left(\frac{S_{0,z}^{\lambda+1,\mu,\eta} G_{\lambda,\eta}(z)}{z^p}\right)'\right) \\ & > 1 - \frac{2(p + \eta - \lambda) + 1}{[\{{}_2F_1(1, 1; p + \eta - \lambda + 1; \frac{1}{2}) - 2\}^2 + 2(p + \eta - \lambda)]} \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of Theorem 5.4 is completed similar to Theorem 5.2.

For $\lambda = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in Theorem 5.4, we obtain the following result which yields the corresponding work of Lashin [6], Theorem 3] for $p = 1$.

Corollary 10. Let $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$). If

$$\Re\left(\frac{(f_1 \star f_2)'(z)}{pz^{p-1}}\right) > 1 - \frac{2p + 1}{[\{{}_2F_1(1, 1; p + 1; \frac{1}{2}) - 2\}^2 + 2p]} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

then

$$G_{0,0}(z) = p \int_0^z \frac{(f_1 \star f_2)(t)}{t} dt \in \mathcal{S}_p^*.$$

6 Concluding Remarks

Putting $\eta = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, 3.3, 4.1, 4.4, 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4, we get the corresponding theorems and consequences of Patel and Mishra [14].

References

- [1] M.K. Aouf, H.M. Hossen and H.M. Srivastava, Some families of multivalent functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **39** (2000), 39 – 48.
- [2] A.W. Goodman, On the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation and p -valent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **68** (1950), 204 – 223.
- [3] S.P. Goyal and J.K. Prajapat, A new class of analytic p -valent functions with negative coefficients and fractional calculus operators, *Tamsui Oxford J. Math. Sci.*, **20** (2004), 175 – 186.
- [4] D.J. Hallenbeck and St. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1975), 191 – 195.
- [5] I.B. Jung, Y.C. Kim and H.M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **176** (1993), 138 – 147.
- [6] A.Y. Lashin, Some convolution properties of analytic functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **18** (2005), 135 – 138.
- [7] J.-L. Liu and S. Owa, Properties of certain integral operators, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, **3** (2004), 69 – 75.
- [8] J.-L. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, Certain properties of the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **159** (2004), 485 – 493.
- [9] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordination and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, **28** (1981), 157 – 171.
- [10] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential subordinations, *J. Differential Equations*, **56** (1985), 297 – 309.
- [11] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations Theory and Applications, *Monogr. textbooks Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. **225**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [12] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **18** (1978), 53 – 59.
- [13] J. Patel, N.E. Cho and H.M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **43** (2006), 320 – 338.

- [14] J. Patel and A.K. Mishra, On certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with an extended differintegral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **332** (2007), 109 – 122.
- [15] J.K. Prajapat, R.K. Raina and H.M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties for certain subclasses of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions involving a family of fractional integral operators, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, **18** (2007), 639 – 651.
- [16] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and M.K. Das, A nested class of analytic functions defined by fractional calculus, *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, **2** (1998), 321 – 332.
- [17] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic function Theory, *World Scientific*, Singapore, 1992.
- [18] H.M. Srivastava, J. Patel and G.P. Mohapatra, A certain class of p -valently analytic functions, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **41** (2005), 321 – 334.
- [19] H.M. Srivastava, M. Saigo and S. Owa, A class of distortion theorems involving certain operators of fractional calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **131** (1988), 412 – 420.
- [20] J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz, Some applications of the Hadamard convolution in the theory of functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect., A* **40** (1986), 251 – 265.
- [21] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, A Course on Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions: With an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions, fourth ed., *Cambridge Univ. Press*, Cambridge, 1927.
- [22] D.R. Wilken and J. Feng, A remark on convex and starlike functions, *J. London math. Soc.*, (2) **21** (1980), 287 – 290.



C. Selvaraj is Associate Professor of mathematics at Presidency College affiliated to university of Madras, India. He is having twenty five years of teaching experience. His research area is Geometric function theory in field of complex analysis. He has published seventy research articles in reputed international journal of mathematical sciences. He had guided seven PhD students successfully and ten students are undergoing PhD.



O. S. Babu is Associate Professor of mathematics at Dr.Ambedkar Govt. Arts College affiliated to university of Madras, India. He is having twenty years of teaching experience. His research area is Geometric function theory in field of complex analysis. He has published research articles in reputed international journal of mathematical sciences.



G. Murugusundaramoorthy works as a Senior Professor of mathematics at the School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, VIT University, Vellore632 014 , Tamilnadu, India. He received his Ph.D. degree in complex analysis (Geometric Function Theory) from the Department of Mathematics, Madras Christian College, University of Madras, Chennai, India, in 1995. He is having twenty years of teaching and research experience. His research areas includes special classes of univalent functions , special functions and harmonic functions . and published good number of papers in reputed refereed indexed journals .