

Mathematical Sciences Letters An International Journal

Majorization for Certain Subclasses of Meromorphic Functions Defined by Linear Operator

Trailokya Panigrahi*

Department of Mathematics, School of Applied Sciences, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar-751024, Orissa, India

Received: 2 Feb. 2015, Revised: 21 Jul. 2015, Accepted: 23 Jul. 2015 Published online: 1 Sep. 2015

Abstract: In this paper, majorization problem is studied for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions in the punctured unit disk having a pole of order p at the origin. The subclasses under investigation is the meromorphic analogue of the operator defined by Prajapat (2012) on the p-valent analytic function. Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

Keywords: Meromorphic function, subordination, univalent function, majorization problem

1 Introduction and Definition

Let \sum_p denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} z^{k-p}$$
(1)

which are analytic and *p*-valent in the punctured unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$$

having a pole of order p at origin. In particular for p = 1, we write $\sum_{1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^$

Let f(z) and g(z) be analytic in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . Then we say that f is majorized by g in \mathbb{U} (see [9]) and we write

$$f(z) \prec \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

if there exists a function $\phi(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{U} such that $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$ and

$$f(z) = \phi(z)g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
⁽²⁾

The majorization (2) is closely related to the concept of quasi subordination between analytic functions in \mathbb{U} (see [1]).

For two analytic functions f and g, we say f(z) is subordinate to g(z), written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), if there exists a Schwarz function w, which (by definition) is analytic in \mathbb{U} with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 such that f(z) = g(w(z)) ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). It follows from this definition that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Longrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [10]).

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longleftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

For $f \in \sum_{p}$, $f^{(q)}$ denote qth order ordinary differential operator given by

$$f^{(q)}(z) = (-1)^q \frac{(p+q-1)!}{(p-1)!} z^{-p-q} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k-p)!}{(k-p-q)!} a_{k-p} z^{k-p-q} (p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}^*).$$
(3)

Analogue to the operator defined by Prajapat (see [12]) on the *p*-valent analytic function, we introduce a generalized multiplier transformation operator $\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l)$ as follows. For $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$,

$$\mathscr{I}_p^{-m}(\lambda,l)f(z) = \tfrac{p+l}{\lambda} z^{-p-\tfrac{p+l}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\tfrac{p+l}{\lambda}+p-1} \mathscr{I}_p^{-(m-1)}(\lambda,l)f(t)dt,$$

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: trailokyap6@gmail.com

:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{I}_{p}^{-2}(\lambda,l)f(z) &= \frac{p+l}{\lambda} z^{-p-\frac{p+l}{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{p+l}{\lambda}+p-1} \mathscr{I}_{p}^{-1}(\lambda,l)f(t) dt, \\ \mathscr{I}_{p}^{-1}(\lambda,l)f(z) &= \frac{p+l}{\lambda} z^{-p-\frac{p+l}{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{p+l}{\lambda}+p-1}f(t) dt, \\ \mathscr{I}_{p}^{0}(\lambda,l)f(z) &= f(z), \\ \mathscr{I}_{p}^{1}(\lambda,l)f(z) &= \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z^{1-p-\frac{p+l}{\lambda}} \left(z^{\frac{p+l}{\lambda}+p}f(z) \right)' \\ \mathscr{I}_{p}^{2}(\lambda,l)f(z) &= \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z^{1-p-\frac{p+l}{\lambda}} \left(z^{\frac{p+l}{\lambda}+p} \mathscr{I}_{p}^{1}(\lambda,l)f(z) \right)', \end{split}$$

$$\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda,l)f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z^{1-p-\frac{p+l}{\lambda}} \left(z^{\frac{p+l}{\lambda}+p} \mathscr{I}_p^{m-1}(\lambda,l)f(z) \right)'.$$

Thus for $f \in \sum_p$, we have

$$\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda,l)f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda k + p + l}{p + l}\right)^m a_{k-p} z^{k-p}, \quad (4)$$

$$(\lambda > 0, l > -p, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...\}, z \in \mathbb{U}^*).$$

It is easily verified from (4) that

$$\lambda z (\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' = (p+l) \mathscr{I}_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z) -(l+p+\lambda p) \mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0).$$
(5)

By using the operator $\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l)$ given by (4), we now introduce a new class of meromorphically *p*-valent analytic functions defined as follows.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \sum_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{R}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$ $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ of meromorphic functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ in \mathbb{U}^* if and only if

$$1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z \left(\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)'}{\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l) f(z)} + p + q \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

$$(q \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \ l > -p; \ z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

(6)

where $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)f := (\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l)f)^{(q)}$ represents the q times derivative of $\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l)f$.

In particular, for A = 1 and B = -1, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{R}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l,\gamma,1,-1) &= \mathscr{R}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l,\gamma) \\ &= \Re \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z))'}{\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)} + p + q \right) \right\} > 0. \end{split}$$

We note that, by specializing the parameters p,m,q and γ , we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors.

(i) For m = 0 and q = 0, $\mathscr{R}_p^{0,0}(\lambda, l, \gamma)$ is the class of *p*-valent meromorphic starlike function of order γ in \mathbb{U}^* ;

(ii) for m = 0 and q = 1, $\mathscr{R}_p^{0,1}(\lambda, l, \gamma)$ is the class of *p*-valent meromorphic convex function of order γ in \mathbb{U}^* ;

(iii) for m = 0, q = 0 and p = 1, $\mathscr{R}_1^{0,0}(\lambda, l, \gamma) = S(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$), the class of meromorphic starlike univalent function of order γ ;

(iv) for m = 0, q = 1 and p = 1, $\mathscr{R}_1^{0,1}(\lambda, l, \gamma) = K(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$), the class of meromorphic convex function of order γ ;

(v) for m = 0, q = 0, p = 1 and $\gamma = 1 - \eta$ $(0 \le \eta < 1)$, $\mathscr{R}_{1}^{0,0}(\lambda, l, 1 - \eta) = \Sigma^{*}(\eta)$, the class of meromorphic starlike function of order η has been studied in [8];

(vi) for m = 0, q = 1, p = 1 and $\gamma = 1 - \eta$ ($0 \le \eta < 1$), $\mathscr{R}_1^{0,1}(\lambda, l, 1 - \eta) = \sum_k(\eta)$, the class of meromorphic convex function of order η has been studied in [8].

There are good amount of literature about majorization problems for normalized univalent function and *p*-valent analytic functions defined by various researchers for different classes. For instance, a majorization problem for the normalized classes of starlike functions have been investigated by Altinas et al. [2] and MacGregor [9]. Goswami and Wang [3], Goyal and Goswami [6] generalized these results for the class of multivalent functions using fractional derivatives. For recent expository work on majorization problem see ([4, 5,7]).

Motivated by the aforementioned works, in this paper the author investigates the majorization problem for the class of meromorphic functions using generalized multiplier transformation operator $\mathscr{I}_p^m(\lambda, l)$ which is analogue to the operator defined by Prajapat (see [12]) on the *p*-valent analytic function.

2 Majorization problem for the class $\mathscr{R}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$

We state and prove the following results.

Theorem 1. Let the function $f \in \sum_p$ and suppose that $g \in \mathscr{R}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$. If $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)f(z)$ is majorized by $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$\left|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)\right| \leq \left|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)\right|$$
(7)

for $|z| < r_1$, where $r_1 = r_1(p, \lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$|(A - B)\lambda\gamma - (p + l)B|r^{3} - (p + l + 2\lambda|B|)r^{2} - (|(A - B)\lambda\gamma - (p + l)B| + 2\lambda)r + (p + l) = 0.$$
(8)

Proof. Define

$$h(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z \left(\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z) \right)'}{\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z)} + p + q \right).$$
(9)

Since $g \in \mathscr{R}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$, hence by Definition 1 we have

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$
(10)

© 2015 NSP Natural Sciences Publishing Cor. where $w(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...$ and $w \in \mathscr{P}$, \mathscr{P} denote the well-known class of the bounded analytic functions in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the condition (see [11])

$$w(0) = 0$$
 and $|w(z)| \le |z|$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$.

From (9) and (10) we have

$$\frac{z\left(\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)\right)'}{\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)} = -\frac{p+q+\left[(A-B)\gamma+(p+q)B\right]w(z)}{1+Bw(z)}$$
(11)

An application of principle of mathematical induction on (5) gives

$$\lambda z \left(\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z) \right)' = (p+l)\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda, l)g(z) -(l+p+\lambda p+\lambda q)\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z).$$
(12)

Now using (12) in (11), we get

$$\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z) = \frac{1}{(p+l) - [(A-B)\lambda\gamma - (p+l)B]w(z)} [(p+l)(1+Bw(z))\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)].$$
(13)

Since $|w(z)| \le |z|$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), the equation (13) gives

$$|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)| \leq \frac{1}{(p+l) - |(A-B)\lambda\gamma - (p+l)B||z|} \\ [(p+l)(1+|B||z|) |\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)|].$$
(14)

Since $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)$ is majorized by $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)$ in the punctured unit disk \mathbb{U}^* , hence from (2) we have

$$\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z) = \phi(z)\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z).$$
(15)

Differentiating both sides of (15) with respect to z and simplifying, we get

$$z\left(\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)\right)' = \phi(z)z\left(\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)\right) +z\phi'(z)\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z).$$
(16)

Using (12) and (15) in (16) yields

$$\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z \phi'(z) \mathscr{I}_{p}^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z) + \phi(z) \mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z).$$
(17)

Since $\phi \in \mathscr{P}$, it follows that (see [11])

$$|\phi'(z)| \le \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(18)

Making use of (14) and (18) in (17), we get

$$\begin{split} |\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)| &\leq \left(|\phi(z)| + \frac{\lambda(1-|\phi(z)|^{2})}{1-|z|^{2}} \\ \frac{|z|(1+|B||z|)}{[(p+l)-|(A-B)\lambda\gamma-(p+l)B||z|]}\right)|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)|. \end{split}$$

Upon setting

$$|z| = r$$
 and $|\phi(z)| = \rho$ $(0 \le \rho \le 1)$.

leads to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)| &\leq \frac{\psi(\rho)}{(1-r^2)\left(p+l-|(A-B)\lambda\gamma-(p+l)B|r\right)}\\ &|\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(\rho) = -\lambda r (1 + |B|r)\rho^2 + (1 - r^2) [(p+l) - |(A - B)\lambda\gamma - (p+l)B|r]\rho + \lambda r (1 + |B|r)$$
(19)

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$, with $r_1 = r_1(p, \lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$, where r_1 is the smallest positive root of the equation (8). Furthermore, if $0 \le \sigma \le r_1$, then the function $\chi(\rho)$ defined by

$$\chi(\rho) = -\lambda\sigma(1+|B|\sigma)\rho^2 + (1-\sigma^2)(p+l) -|(A-B)\lambda\gamma - (p+l)B|\sigma)\rho + \lambda\sigma(1+|B|\sigma)$$
(20)

is an increasing function on the interval $0 \le \rho \le 1$, so that

$$\chi(\rho) \le \chi(1) = (1 - \sigma^2) \left[p + l - |(A - B)\lambda\gamma - (p + l)B|\sigma \right]$$
$$(0 \le \rho \le 1, 0 \le \sigma \le r_1).$$

Hence, upon setting $\rho = 1$ in (20), we conclude that (7) of Theorem 1 holds true for $|z| \le |r_1| = r_1(p, \lambda, l, \gamma; A, B)$ where r_1 is the smallest positive root of the equation (8). This complete the proof of Theorem 1. \Box

Letting A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 1. Let the function $f \in \sum_p$ and suppose that $g \in \mathscr{R}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l, \gamma)$. If $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)f(z)$ is majorized by $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda, l) f(z)| \le |\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda, l) g(z)| \quad \text{for } |z| < r_2,$$

where $r_2 = r_2(p, \lambda, l, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$|2\lambda\gamma + p + l|r^3 - (p + l + 2\lambda)r^2$$
$$-(|2\lambda\gamma + p + l| + 2\lambda)r + p + l = 0.$$

Putting m = 0 in Corollary 1, we get

Corollary 2. Let the function $f \in \sum_p$ and suppose that $g \in \mathscr{R}_p^{0,q}(\lambda, l, \gamma)$. If $f^{(q)}(z) \prec \prec g^{(q)}(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|\mathscr{I}_p^{1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)| \leq |\mathscr{I}_p^{1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \leq r_3,$$

where

$$r_{3} = \frac{k_{1} - \sqrt{k_{1}^{2} - 4(p+l)|2\lambda\gamma + p+l|}}{2|2\lambda\gamma + p+l|}$$

and

$$k_1 = |2\lambda\gamma + p + l| + p + l + 2\lambda \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}_0, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*, \lambda > 0)$$





Further setting q = 0 and $\lambda = 1$ in the above result yields **Corollary 3.** Let the function $f \in \sum_p$ and suppose that $g \in \mathscr{R}_p^{0,0}(1,l,\gamma)$. If $f(z) \prec \prec g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|(2p+l)f(z) + zf'(z)| \le |(2p+l)g(z) + zg'(z)|$$
 for $|z| \le r_4$

where

$$r_4 = \frac{k_2 - \sqrt{k_2^2 - 4(p+l)|2\gamma + p+l|}}{2|2\gamma + p+l|}$$

and

$$k_2 = |2\gamma + p + l| + 2 + p + l.$$

Taking $\gamma = 1$, p = 0 and l = 0, the above corollary reduces to the following.

Corollary 4. Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that $g \in \mathscr{R}^{0,0}_1(1,0,1)$. If $f(z) \prec \prec g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$\left| f(z) + \frac{zf'(z)}{2} \right| \le \left| g(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{2} \right| \quad \text{for } |z| \le r_5$$

where

$$r_5 = \frac{3 - \sqrt{6}}{3}.$$

3 Majorization problem for the class $\mathscr{R}(\alpha, \gamma)$

Let $\mathscr{R}(\alpha, \gamma)$ be the class of functions h(z) of the form

$$h(z) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \quad (c_k \ge 0),$$
 (21)

that are analytic in U satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |h(z) + \alpha z h'(z) - 1| < |\gamma| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ \Re(\alpha) \ge 0, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*). \end{aligned} \tag{22} \\ \text{For } \gamma = 1 - \beta \ (0 \le \beta < 1), \text{ the class } \Re(\alpha, \gamma) = \Re(\alpha, 1 - \beta) \end{aligned}$$

 β) was considered by Altintas and Owa [1].

We need the following lemma to prove our result:

Lemma 1. (see [2]) If the function h(z) defined by (21) is in the class $\mathscr{R}(\alpha, \gamma)$, then

$$1 - \frac{|\gamma|}{1 + \Re(\alpha)} |z| \le |h(z)| \le 1 + \frac{|\gamma|}{1 + \Re(\alpha)} |z| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(23)

Theorem 2.

Let the function $f(z) \in \sum_p$ and $g(z) \in \mathscr{R}(\alpha, \gamma)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} and suppose that the function g(z) is so normalized that it also satisfies the following inclusion property

$$\frac{\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)}{\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)} \in \mathscr{R}(\alpha,\gamma).$$
(24)

If $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)$ is majorized by $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)| \le |\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)| \quad (|z| < r_6)$$
(25)

© 2015 NSP Natural Sciences Publishing Cor. where $r_6 = r_6(p, l, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the cubic equation

$$(p+l)|\gamma|r^{3} - (p+l)[1 + \Re(\alpha)]r^{2} - [2\lambda + (p+l)|\gamma| + 2\lambda\Re(\alpha)]r + [1 + \Re(\alpha)](p+l) = 0.$$
 (26)

Proof.

For appropriately normalized analytic function g(z) satisfying the inclusion property (24), we find from (23) of Lemma 1 that

$$\left| \frac{\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda, l)g(z)}{\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z)} \right| \ge 1 - \frac{|\gamma|}{1 + \Re(\alpha)}r$$
$$(|z| = r, \ 0 < r < 1), \tag{27}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)| &\leq \frac{1+\Re(\alpha)}{1+\Re(\alpha)-|\gamma|r}|\mathscr{I}_p^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)|\\ (|z|=r,\,0< r<1). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Since $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)f(z) \ll \mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda, l)g(z)$ $(z \in \mathbb{U}^*)$, there exists an analytic function *w* with |w(z)| < 1 such that

$$\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)f(z) = w(z)\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)g(z).$$
(29)

Therefore, in view of (28) and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$|w'(z)| \le \frac{1 - |w(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$
 (30)

and

$$\left|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)\right| \leq \left[|w(z)| + \frac{\lambda}{(p+l)}\right]$$
$$\frac{(1-|w(z)|^{2})(1+\Re(\alpha))r}{(1-r^{2})(1+\Re(\alpha)-|\gamma|r)}\left|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)\right|.$$
 (31)

Taking $|w(z)| = \rho$ in (31), we have

$$|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)f(z)| \leq \frac{\theta(\rho)}{(p+l)(1-r^{2})(1+\Re(\alpha)-|\gamma|r)} \left|\mathscr{I}_{p}^{m+1,q}(\lambda,l)g(z)\right|,$$
(32)

where

$$\begin{split} \theta(\rho) &= (p+l)(1-r^2)(1+\Re(\alpha)-|\gamma|r)\rho + \lambda r(1+\Re(\alpha)) - \\ \lambda r(1+\Re(\alpha))\rho^2 \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq 1), \end{split}$$

takes on its maximum value at $\rho = 1$ with $r_6 = r_6(p, l, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$ given by (26). Moreover, if $0 \le \eta \le r_6(p, l, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$ where $r_6(p, l, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$ is the root of the cubic equation (26) such that $0 < r_6(p, l, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma) < 1$, then the function $H(\rho)$ defined by

$$H(\rho) = (p+l)(1-\eta^2)(1+\Re(\alpha)-|\gamma|\eta)\rho + \lambda\eta(1+\Re(\alpha))$$
$$-\lambda\eta(1+\Re(\alpha))\rho^2 \quad (0 \le \rho \le 1)$$
(33)

is seen to be an increasing function on the interval $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ so that

$$H(\rho) \le H(1) = (p+l)(1-\eta^2)(1+\Re(\alpha)-|\gamma|\eta)$$

(0 \le \rho \le 1,0 \le \eta \le r_6(p,l,\alpha,\lambda,\gamma)). (34)

Therefore, upon setting $\rho = 1$ in (32), we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Open Problem

In the present paper, we have investigated the majorization problems for the class of *p*-valent meromorphic function. If we define a class $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ such that

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

then we need to modify the generalized operator $\mathscr{I}_p^{m,q}(\lambda,l)$ for the class of *p*-valent analytic function. Further using this modified operator we have to find the new majorization conditions for the modified operator.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

References

- O. Altintas and S. Owa, Majorization and quasisubordination for certain analytic functions, Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 68, 181-185 (1992).
- [2] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan and H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 46, 207-218 (2001).
- [3] P. Goswami and Z.-G. Wang, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., 21, 97-104 (2009).
- [4] S. P. Goyal and S. K. Bansal, Majorization problem for a subclass of *p*-valently analytic functions defined by the Wright generalized hypergeometric function, Mat. Vesnik, 65(3), 403-411 (2013).
- [5] S. P. Goyal, S. K. Bansal and P. Goswami, Majorization for the subclass of analytic functions defined by linear operator using differential subordination, J. Appl. Math. Stat. Inform., 6 (2), 45-50 (2010).
- [6] S. P. Goyal and P. Goswami, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by fractional derivatives, Appl. Math. Lett., 22, 1855-1858 (2009).
- [7] S. P. Goyal and R. Kumar, Majorization problems for certain class of analytic functions involving differential subordination, J. Rajasthan. Acad. Phys. Sci., 10(3), 283-291 (2011).

- [8] S. P. Goyal and J. K. Prajapat, A new class of meromorphic multivalent functions involving certain linear operator, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci., 25, 167-176 (2009).
- [9] T. H. MacGregor, Majorization by univalent functions, Duke Math. J., 34, 95-102 (1967).
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, in: Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [11] Z. Nehari, Conformal Mapping, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Torento, London, 1952.
- [12] J. K. Prajapat, Subordination and superordination preserving properties for generalized multiplier transformation operator, Math. Comput. Modelling, 55, 1456-1465 (2012).



Trailokya Panigrahi is working as an Associate Professor in the Department of Mathematics, KIIT University, Odisha. He has received Ph.D. degree in Mathematics in 2012 from Berhampur University, Odisha (India). His field of interest and specialization is

Complex analysis, Geometric function theory. He has published several research papers in reputed international mathematical journals.