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#### Abstract

In this paper, a continuous fractional boundary value problem invovling fractional $q$-derivative of the Riemann-Liouville type is considered. Using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, the existence of positive solutions for the problem is obtained. By applying Leggett-Williams fixed-point theorem, the multiplicity of positive solutions is also achieved. Moreover, two examples are presented to illustrate the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the problem.


Keywords: Continuous fractional calculus; Fractional q-differences; Positive solutions; Existence and multiplicity; Fixed point theorem.

## 1 Introduction

$q$-difference calculus (i.e. quantum calculus) was first introduced by Jackson[1,2]. Then, it was extended to fractional $q$-difference calculus by Agarwal[3] and Al-Salam[4]. Due to its various applications in many subjects, including quantum mechanics, particle physics and hypergeometric series, many researchers devoted their efforts to develop the theory in this field and many results were made, such as the $q$-Taylor's formula, $q$-Laplace transform[5], ...etc.

During the last few years, the study of positive solutions for fractional boundary value problems as well as their its various applications in physics and engineering flourished. Many results were obtained by applying Caputo derivative and standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative (see $[6,7,8,9,10]$ and references therein). But for fractional $q$-difference boundary value problems, there were few. In 2011, R. Ferreira[11] investigated existence of positive solutions for a class of fractional $q$-difference boundary value problems

$$
\text { (A) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\alpha} u(t)=-f(t, u(t)), \\
u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=D_{q} u(1)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is nonnegative continuous and $2<\alpha \leq 3$. Recently, Li et al.[12, 13] have addressed eigenvalue problems of problem $(A)$, some
existence and nonexistence theorems for solutions with different eigenvalues were obtained.

In this paper, we consider a continuous fractional boundary value problem

$$
(P) \begin{cases}D_{q}^{\alpha} u(t)=-f(t, u(t)), & 0<t<1 \\ u(0)=D_{q}^{k} u(0)=0, & 1 \leq k \leq n-2, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ D_{q}^{\beta} u(1)=0, & 1 \leq \beta \leq n-2\end{cases}
$$

where $n-1<\alpha \leq n, n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{N}, f:[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ is nonnegative continuous and $D_{q}^{\alpha}$ is the fractional $q$-derivative of Riemann-Liouville type with order $\alpha$. Let $n=3, \beta=1$ in the problem $(P)$, it becomes problem $(A)$. Thus, the problem $(P)$ we considered is a more general case and the results we obtained will extend the work of R. Ferreira and Li et al.

This paper is designed as follows: In Section 2, we recall some notations of $q$-integral and $q$-derivative. Then, the corresponding Green's function is obtained and some fixed points theorems are given. In Section 3, the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions are obtained using the fixed point theorems on cone. In Section 4, two examples are presented to show the main results.
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## 2 Preliminaries

We use the notations indicated in Jackson's work [1,2]. $q$ derivative of function $u(t)$ for $0<q<1$ is defined by

$$
\left(D_{q} u\right)(t)=\frac{u(t)-u(q t)}{(1-q) t}, \quad\left(D_{q} u\right)(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(D_{q} u\right)(t)
$$

and for higher order $q$-derivative,

$$
\left(D_{q}^{0} u\right)(t)=u(t), \quad\left(D_{q}^{n} u\right)(t)=D_{q}\left(\left(D_{q}^{n-1} u\right)(t)\right), n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

For $q$-integral of function $u$ defined on $[0, b]$, we have

$$
\left(I_{q} u\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d_{q} s=t(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n} u\left(t q^{n}\right), t \in[0, b]
$$

The fundamental formula of calculus can also be applied to $q$-derivative and $q$-integral, i.e.

$$
\left(D_{q} I_{q} u\right)(t)=u(t),
$$

and if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(t)=u(0)$, then

$$
\left(I_{q} D_{q} u\right)(t)=u(t)-u(0) .
$$

Let $[\alpha]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{\alpha}}{1-q}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The power function $(a-b)^{\alpha}$ can be expressed as

$$
(a-b)^{(\alpha)}=a^{\alpha} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a-b q^{n}}{a-b q^{\alpha+n}} .
$$

From the definition, we can see $(a-b)^{(\alpha)}=a^{\alpha}\left(1-\frac{b}{a}\right)^{(\alpha)}$ and $a^{(\alpha)}=a^{\alpha}$.

Let

$$
\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)=\frac{(1-q)^{(\alpha-1)}}{(1-q)^{\alpha-1}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \cdots\}
$$

and we have $\Gamma_{q}(\alpha+1)=[\alpha]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)$.
Fractional $q$-difference calculus was established by Agarwal [3] and Al-Salam [4]. Here we use Agarwal's notations.

Definition 1.[3] Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $u$ be a function defined on interval $[0,1]$. The fractional q-integral of Riemann-Liouville type is $\left(I_{q}^{0} u\right)(t)=u(t)$ and
$\left(I_{q}^{\alpha} u\right)(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)} u(s) d_{q} s, \alpha>0, t \in[0,1]$.
Definition 2.[3] The definition of fractional $q$-derivative of Riemann-Liouville type on interval $[0,1]$ is $\left(D_{q}^{0} u\right)(t)=$ $u(t)$ and

$$
\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} u\right)(t)=\left(D_{q}^{m} I_{q}^{m-\alpha} u\right)(t), \alpha>0
$$

where $m$ is the smallest integer satisfying $m \geq \alpha$.

Lemma 1.[3] The following formulas hold for $t \in[0,1]$ and $\alpha, \beta>0$
(1) $\left(I_{q}^{\beta} I_{q}^{\alpha} u\right)(t)=\left(I_{q}^{\alpha} I_{q}^{\beta} u\right)(t)=\left(I_{q}^{\beta+\alpha} u\right)(t)$;
(2) $\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} I_{q}^{\alpha} u\right)(t)=u(t)$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{q}^{\alpha} D_{q}^{p} u\right)(t)=\left(D_{q}^{p} I_{q}^{\alpha} u\right)(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{t^{\alpha-p+\mathrm{k}}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha+\mathrm{k}-p+1)}\left(D_{q}^{k} u\right)(0),  \tag{3}\\
& p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we list some equalities that will be used later. They have been proved by R. Ferreira[11].
Lemma 2.[11] Let ${ }_{t} D_{q}$ be the derivative with respect to $t$. Then the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) }{ }_{t} D_{q}(t-s)^{(\alpha)}=[\alpha]_{q}(t-s)^{(\alpha-1)} ;  \tag{1}\\
& \text { (2) } D_{q}^{\beta} t^{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\beta+1)} t^{\alpha-\beta} ; \\
& \text { (3) }(t-a)^{(\alpha)} \geq(t-b)^{(\alpha)}, a \leq b \leq t, \alpha>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.Problem $(P)$ has a unique solution with

$$
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is the Green's function of problem $(P)$ with

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \begin{cases}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}-(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof.From $\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} u\right)(t)=-f(t, u(t))$ and Lemma 2.1(3) with $p=n$, we have $\left(I_{q}^{\alpha} D_{q}^{n} I_{q}^{n-\alpha} u\right)(t)=-I_{q}^{\alpha} f(t, u(t))$. Then the general solution to the problem is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s+ \\
& c_{1} t^{\alpha-1}+c_{2} t^{\alpha-2}+\cdots+c_{n} t^{\alpha-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

From condition $u(0)=0$, we can get $c_{n}=0$. Then, differentiating both sides with respect of $t$, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{q} u\right)(t)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}[\alpha-1]_{q}(t-q s)^{(\alpha-2)} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \\
& +[\alpha-1]_{q} c_{1} t^{\alpha-2}+[\alpha-2]_{q} c_{2} t^{\alpha-3}+\cdots+[\alpha-n+1]_{q} c_{n-1} t^{\alpha-n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Boundary condition $\left(D_{q} u\right)(0)=0$ means $c_{n-1}=0$. Differentiating both sides with respect of $t$ successively and using boundary condition $\left(D_{q}^{k} u\right)(0)=0$, $k=2,3, \ldots, n-2$ similarly, we obtain $c_{n-2}=c_{n-3}=\cdots=c_{2}=0$. Now, the equation becomes $u(t)=-I_{q}^{\alpha} f(t, u(t))+c_{1} t^{\alpha-1}$. Differentiating both sides with order $\beta$, where $1 \leq \beta \leq n-2$ and with Lemma 2.2(2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D_{q}^{\beta} u\right)(t) & =-D_{q}^{\beta} I_{q}^{\beta} I_{q}^{\alpha-\beta} f(t, u(t))+c_{1} D_{q}^{\beta} t^{\alpha-1} \\
& =-I_{q}^{\alpha-\beta} f(t, u(t))+c_{1} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\beta)} t^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\beta)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \\
& +c_{1} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\beta)} t^{\alpha-\beta-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By boundary condition $\left(D_{q}^{\beta} u\right)(1)=0$, we have $c_{1}=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s$. Then, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & =\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \\
& -\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left\{\int _ { 0 } ^ { t } \left((1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1} s\right.\right. \\
& \left.-(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}\right) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} \\
& \left.+\int_{t}^{1}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1} f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s\right\} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

Next, we will give some properties of Green's function.
Lemma 4.The Green's function of problem $(P)$ satisfies following conditions:
(1) for $0 \leq t, s \leq 1, G(t, s) \geq 0$,
(2) for $0 \leq s \leq 1, \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} G(t, s)=G(1, s)$,
(3) for any $0<\tau<1$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

$$
\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} G(t, s) \geq \tau^{\alpha-1} G(1, s)
$$

Proof.(1) For $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, we write

$$
G_{1}(t, s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}-(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}\right\}
$$

and for $0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1$,

$$
G_{2}(t, s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}
$$

Obviously, $G_{1}(0, s)=0$ and $G_{2}(t, s) \geq 0$. For $t \neq 0$, using Lemma 2.2 (3), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1}(t, s) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}-(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}-\left(1-q \frac{s}{t}\right)^{(\alpha-1)} t^{\alpha-1}\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-(1-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}\right\} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for $0 \leq t, s \leq 1$, we obtain $G(t, s) \geq 0$.
(2) Obviously, for variable $t, \quad G_{2}(t, s)$ increases
monotonically. And for fixed $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{t} D_{q} G_{1}(t, s)= & \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}[\alpha-1]_{q} t^{\alpha-2}\right. \\
& \left.-[\alpha-1]_{q}(t-q s)^{(\alpha-2)}\right\} \\
= & \frac{t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}[\alpha-1]_{q}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-\left(1-q \frac{s}{t}\right)^{(\alpha-2)}\right\} \\
\geq & \frac{t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}[\alpha-1]_{q}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-(1-q s)^{(\alpha-2)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\alpha-\beta-1 \leq \alpha-2$ since $1 \leq \beta \leq n-2$. So ${ }_{t} D_{q} G_{1}(t, s) \geq 0$. Then, for variable $t, G_{1}(t, s)$ also increases monotonically. Hence, $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} G(t, s)=G(1, s)$.
(3) If $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{G_{1}(t, s)}{G(1, s)} & =\frac{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} t^{\alpha-1}-(t-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}}{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-(1-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}} \\
& =\frac{t^{\alpha-1}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-\left(1-q \frac{s}{t}\right)^{(\alpha-1)}\right\}}{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-(1-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}} \\
& \geq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}\left\{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-(1-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}\right\}}{(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}-(1-q s)^{(\alpha-1)}}=t^{\alpha-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1$,

$$
\frac{G_{2}(t, s)}{G(1, s)}=t^{\alpha-1}
$$

Hence $G(t, s) \geq t^{\alpha-1} G(1, s)$.
The proof is complete.
Lemma 5.[14](Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem) Let subset $K$ be a cone in Banach space E. There exist two bounded open subsets $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ in $K$ satisfying $0 \in \Omega_{1} \subset \overline{\Omega_{1}} \subset \Omega_{2}$. If operator $T: \overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1} \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous and satisfies one of following conditions:
(1) $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|, u \in \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|, u \in \partial \Omega_{2} ;$
(2) $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|, u \in \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|, u \in \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then, $T$ has a fixed point in $\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}$.
Definition 3.[15] Let $K$ be a cone of Banach space E. If $\theta: K \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a continuous map and for $u, v \in K$, $0<t<1$, the following inequality holds

$$
t \boldsymbol{\theta}(u)+(1-t) \theta(v) \leq \theta(t u+(1-t) v) .
$$

Then, function $\theta$ is concave, nonnegative and continuous on cone $K$.

Lemma 6.[15](Leggett-Williams fixed-point theorem) Let $K$ be a cone of Banach space E. $\theta$ defined above is concave, nonnegative and continuous on $K$ and satisfies $\theta(u) \leq\|u\|$. Denote $K_{r_{1}}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\| \leq r_{1}\right\}$. For all $u \in K_{r_{1}}$, let $K\left(\theta, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\left\{u \in K:\|u\| \leq r_{1}, \theta(u) \geq r_{2}\right\}$. If $T: K_{r_{1}} \rightarrow K_{r_{1}}$ is completely continuous and the following conditions hold for $0<r_{3}<r_{2}<r_{1}$ :
(C1) $\|T u\|<r_{3}$ for $u \leq r_{3}$;
(C2) Set $\left\{u \in K\left(\theta, r_{1}, r_{2}\right): \theta(u)>r_{2}\right\}$ is non-empty, and $\theta(T u)>r_{2}$ for $u \in K\left(\theta, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$.
Then, there exist three different fixed points $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ of $T$ with

$$
\theta\left(u_{1}\right)<r_{2},\left\|u_{1}\right\|>r_{3}, \theta\left(u_{2}\right)>r_{2},\left\|u_{3}\right\|<r_{3} .
$$

## 3 Main Results

We consider problem $(P)$ in Banach space $C[0,1]$. The norm $\|u\|$ is defined by $\|u\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)|$.

Let $K=\left\{u \in C[0,1]: \min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \geq \tau^{\alpha-1}\|u\|, u(t) \geq 0\right\}$, where $\tau=q^{n}$ for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $K \in C[0,1]$ is a cone containing nonnegative functions.

For $0 \leq t \leq 1, u \in K$, denote $T$ as

$$
(T u)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s
$$

Then $T u \in C[0,1]$ is well defined.

Lemma 7.Operator $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Given $u \in K$, from nonnegativity of $G, f$ and Lemma 2.4, one has $T u \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} T u(t) & =\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{1} \tau^{\alpha-1} \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \\
& =\tau^{\alpha-1}\|T u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $T u \in K . T$ is continuous by continuity of $f, G$. For any bounded set $\Omega \subset K$ and $u \in \Omega$, we have $\|u\|<M$ where $M$ is a positive constant. Let $L=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1,0 \leq u \leq M} f(t, u)+1$. We can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T u(t)| & \leq \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s) f(s, u(s))| d_{q} s \leq L \int_{0}^{1} G(1, s) d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{L}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)} d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{L}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $T(\Omega)$ is bounded. Next, we consider the equicontinuity of $T(\Omega)$. That is, given $\varepsilon>0$, let $\delta=\frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}{L} \varepsilon$, for each $u \in \Omega, 0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq 1$, and
$t_{2}-t_{1}<\delta$, then $\left|(T u)\left(t_{2}\right)-(T u)\left(t_{1}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|(T u)\left(t_{2}\right)-(T u)\left(t_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left(G\left(t_{2}, s\right)-G\left(t_{1}, s\right)\right) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s\right| \\
& \leq L \int_{0}^{1}\left(G\left(t_{2}, s\right)-G\left(t_{1}, s\right)\right) d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{L}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left(\int _ { 0 } ^ { t _ { 1 } } \left((1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}\left(t_{2}{ }^{\alpha-1}-t_{1}{ }^{\alpha-1}\right)-\left(\left(t_{2}-q s\right)^{(\alpha-1)}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\left(t_{1}-q s\right)^{(\alpha-1)}\right)\right) d_{q} s \\
&+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left((1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}\left(t_{2}{ }^{\alpha-1}-t_{1}{ }^{\alpha-1}\right)-\left(t_{2}-q s\right)^{(\alpha-1)}\right) d_{q} s \\
&\left.\quad+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}\left(t_{2}{ }^{\alpha-1}-t_{1}{ }^{\alpha-1}\right) d_{q} s\right) \\
& \leq \frac{L}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)^{(\alpha-\beta-1)}\left(t_{2}{ }^{\alpha-1}-t_{1}{ }^{\alpha-1}\right) d_{q} s \leq \frac{L}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \delta=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuousby by ArzelaAscoli theorem. The proof is complete.

Denote

$$
A=\int_{0}^{1} G(1, s) d_{q} s, \quad B=\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} \int_{\tau}^{1} G(t, s) d_{q} s
$$

Theorem 1.Suppose $f(t, u)$ defined on $[0,1] \times[0,+\infty)$ is nonnegative continuous. Let $\tau=q^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0<r_{1}<r_{2}$ be two positive constants. If the following assumptions are satisfied
(H1) for $(t, u) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, r_{1}\right], f(t, u) \leq \frac{r_{1}}{A}$;
(H2) for $(t, u) \in[\tau, 1] \times\left[\tau^{\alpha-1} r_{2}, r_{2}\right], f(t, u) \geq \frac{r_{2}}{\tau^{\alpha-1} B}$.
Then, there exists one positive solution $u^{*} \in K$ to problem $(P)$.

Proof.Denote $\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|<r_{1}\right\}$, then for $u \in \partial \Omega_{1}$, we get $0 \leq u \leq r_{1}$ on $[0,1]$. By assumption (H1), we can obtain
$\|T u\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(1, s) \frac{r_{1}}{A} d_{q} s=r_{1}=\|u\|$.
Hence, for $u \in \partial \Omega_{1}$, we have $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|$.
Denote $\Omega_{2}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|<r_{2}\right\}$, then for $u \in \partial \Omega_{2}$, we have $\tau^{\alpha-1} r_{2}=\tau^{\alpha-1}\|u\| \leq u \leq r_{2}$ on $[\tau, 1]$. By assumption (H2) for $t \in[\tau, 1]$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T u)(t)= & \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s \geq \tau^{\alpha-1} \int_{\tau}^{1} G(1, s) \frac{r_{2}}{\tau^{\alpha-1} B} d_{q} s \\
& \geq \tau^{\alpha-1} \int_{\tau}^{1} \min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} G(t, s) \frac{r_{2}}{\tau^{\alpha-1} B} d_{q} s=r_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for $u \in \partial \Omega_{2}$, we have $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|$. Hence, by completely continuity of $T$ on $K$ and Lemma 2.5 , there exists one fixed point $u^{*}$ of $T$ in $\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}$ with $r_{1} \leq\left\|u^{*}\right\| \leq r_{2}$. Therefore, the proof is complete.
The following theorem concerns the multiplicity of positive solutions.

Theorem 2.Suppose $f(t, u)$ defined on $[0,1] \times[0,+\infty)$ is nonnegative continuous. Let $\tau=q^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0<a<$ $\tau^{\alpha-1}$. If the following assumptions are satisfied:
(A1) for $(t, u) \in[0,1] \times[0, b], f(t, u) \leq \frac{b}{A}$;
(A2) for $(t, u) \in[0,1] \times[0, a], f(t, u) \leq \frac{a}{A}$;
(A3) for $(t, u) \in[\tau, 1] \times\left[\tau^{\alpha-1} b, b\right], f(t, u) \geq \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1} b}{B}$.
Then there exist three positive solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ of problem ( $P$ ) satisfying
$\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}\right|>a>\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{3}\right|, \min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{2}\right|>\tau^{\alpha-1} b>\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}\right|$
Proof.From Lemma 2.6, we denote $\theta(u)=\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1}|u|, r_{1}=$ $b, r_{2}=\tau^{\alpha-1} b$ and $r_{3}=a$.
If $u \in K_{b}$, then $0 \leq u \leq b$. By assumption (A1), we obtain $\|T u\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(1, s) \frac{b}{A} d_{q} s=b$.
Hence $T u \in K_{b}, T: K_{b} \rightarrow K_{b}$ is completely continuous. From assumption (A2), for $u \leq a$, we can similarly get $\|T u\|<a$. This is condition (C1).
Let $u(t)=\frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}+1}{2} b$. Since $0<\tau=q^{n}<1$, then $\tau^{\alpha-1} b<\theta(u)=\frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}+1}{2} b<b$. This means $u(t)=\frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}+1}{2} b \quad \in \quad K\left(\theta, b, \tau^{\alpha-1} b\right) \quad$ and $\theta(u)=\frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}+1}{2} b>\tau^{\alpha-1} b$.
So set $\left\{u \in K\left(\theta, b, \tau^{\alpha-1} b\right): \theta(u)>\tau^{\alpha-1} b\right\}$ is non-empty. For $u \in K\left(\theta, b, \tau^{\alpha-1} b\right)$, then for $t \in[\tau, 1]$, we have $\tau^{\alpha-1} b \leq u(t) \leq b$. From assumption (A3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(T u) & =\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1}|(T u)(t)|=\min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d_{q} s\right| \\
& >\int_{\tau}^{1} \min _{\tau \leq t \leq 1} G(t, s) \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1} b}{B} d_{q} s=\tau^{\alpha-1} b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, condition (C2) holds. Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, the result is achieved.

## 4 Examples

To illustrate existence of positive solution, we present the following example:

Example 1.Consider following fractional boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0.5}^{3.5} u(t)=-u^{2} e^{u}, 0<t<1 \\
u(0)=D_{0.5} u(0)=D_{0.5}^{2} u(0)=0, D_{0.5}^{1.5} u(1)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this problem, $\alpha=3.5, q=0.5, \beta=1.5, f(t, u)=u^{2} e^{u}$.

$$
A=\int_{0}^{1} G(1, s) d_{q} s \leq \frac{1-(1-q)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \approx 0.3762
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\min _{0.5 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{0.5}^{1} G(t, s) d_{q} s \geq 0.5^{\alpha-1} \int_{0.5}^{1} G(1, s) d_{q} s \\
& \geq 0.5^{\alpha-1} \frac{\left(1-\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha-\beta-1}-(1-q)^{\alpha-1}}{2 \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \approx 0.1301
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $r_{1}=\frac{3}{4}, r_{2}=25, \tau^{\alpha-1} \approx 0.1767$,
$f(t, u)=u^{2} e^{u} \leq r_{1}^{2} e^{r_{1}} \approx 1.5878 r_{1}<2.6582 r_{1}=$ $\frac{r_{1}}{0.3762} \leq \frac{r_{1}}{A}$, for $u \in\left[0, r_{1}\right]$;
$f(t, u)=u^{2} e^{u} \geq\left(\tau^{\alpha-1} r_{2}\right)^{2} e^{\tau^{\alpha-1} r_{2}} \approx 64.70 r_{2}>$ $43.497 r_{2}=\frac{1}{0.1767 \times 0.1301} r_{2} \geq \frac{r_{2}}{\tau^{\alpha-1} B}$, for $u \in\left[\tau^{\alpha-1} r_{2}, r_{2}\right]$.

Then by Theorem 3.1, there exists one solution $u(t)$ of this example with $\frac{3}{4} \leq\|u\| \leq 25$.

To illustrate multiplicity of positive solutions, we present another example as follows:
Example 2.Consider following fractional boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0.5}^{3.5} u(t)=-f(u), 0<t<1, \\
u(0)=D_{0.5} u(0)=D_{0.5}^{2} u(0)=0, D_{0.5}^{1.5} u(1)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 u^{2}, u \leq 1 \\
9+u, u \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Example 4.1, we obtain $A \leq 0.3762, B \geq 0.1301$. Choose $a=0.1$, and $b$ such that $\tau^{\alpha-1} b=1$, then $b=\frac{1}{\tau^{\alpha-1}} \approx 5.6593$,
$f(u) \leq 9+u \approx 14.6593<15.04 \approx \frac{5.6593}{0.3762} \leq \frac{b}{A}$, for $u \in$ $[0, b]$;
$f(u)=10 u^{2}=0.1<0.2658 \approx \frac{0.1}{0.3762} \leq \frac{a}{A}$, for $u \in[0, a]$;
$f(u)=9+u>9>7.686 \approx \frac{1}{0.1301} \geq \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1} b}{B}$, for $u \in$ $[1, b]$.
Then by Theorem 3.2, there exist three positive solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ of this example with
$\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}\right|>0.1>\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{3}\right|, \min _{0.5 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{2}\right|>1>\min _{0.5 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}\right|$
That is

$$
0.1<\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}\right| \leq 5.65935, \quad 0<\min _{0.5 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}\right|<1
$$

$1<\min _{0.5 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{2}\right|<\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{2}\right| \leq 5.65935, \quad 0<\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{3}\right|<0.1$.
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