

The internal blood pressure equation involving incomplete I -functions

Kamlesh Jangid¹, S.D. Purohit¹, Kottakkaran Sooppy Nisar^{2,*} and T. Shefeeq³

¹Department of HEAS (Mathematics), Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, 324010, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Sciences, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Wadi Aldawaser, 11991, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Mathematics, Farook College(Autonomous), Kozhikode-673632, Kerala, India

Received: 10 May 2020, Revised: 17 Aug. 2020, Accepted: 19 Aug. 2020

Published online: 1 Sep. 2020

Abstract: Mathematical structures are used in the areas of biology, physics, engineering and social sciences. A model will help us interpret the system, analyze the impacts of various components, and make behavioral predictions. In this paper, we developed an internal blood pressure model that involves incomplete I -functions. Next, while taking a course in the constraints of incomplete I -functions, we give a few special cases of our model, and also mention some known results.

Keywords: Incomplete Gamma Function, Incomplete I -functions, \bar{I} -functions, Mellin-Barnes type integrals.

1 Introduction

Mathematical modelling seems to be the expression for physical systems through comprehensible mathematical equations, the theoretical and computational study of which provides constructive interpretation, clarification and direction for the application that occurs. Mathematical modeling is used in diverse fields of biological sciences, engineering, and many more [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12].

Recently, Kumar et al. [13] established the Mathematical modelling of internal blood pressure involving incomplete \bar{H} -functions and then they gave many important special cases of the main results. Motivated from this study, we established the internal blood pressure model involving the incomplete I -functions.

We think back to the commonly applied incomplete Gamma functions $\Gamma(s, x)$ and $\gamma(s, x)$ described as:

$$\gamma(s, x) := \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (\Re(s) > 0; x \geq 0) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Gamma(s, x) := \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad (x \geq 0; \Re(s) > 0 \text{ when } x = 0), \quad (2)$$

respectively, follow the formula of decomposition presented by:

$$\Gamma(s, x) + \gamma(s, x) = \Gamma(s) \quad (\Re(s) > 0). \quad (3)$$

The incomplete I -functions $\gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ and $\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ were presented and analyzed by Jangid et al. [14, Eqs.(1)-(4)] in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \phi(s, x) z^s ds \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Phi(s, x) z^s ds \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: n.sooppy@psau.edu.sa

for all $z \neq 0$, where

$$\phi(s, x) = \frac{\{\gamma(1 - \zeta_1 + \ell_1 s, x)\}^{A_1} \prod_{j=1}^m \{\Gamma(\eta_j - \Im_j s)\}^{B_j}}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \{\Gamma(\zeta_j - \ell_j s)\}^{A_j}} \times \frac{\prod_{j=2}^n \{\Gamma(1 - \zeta_j + \ell_j s)\}^{A_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \{\Gamma(1 - \eta_j + \Im_j s)\}^{B_j}} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Phi(s, x) = \frac{\{\Gamma(1 - \zeta_1 + \ell_1 s, x)\}^{A_1} \prod_{j=1}^m \{\Gamma(\eta_j - \Im_j s)\}^{B_j}}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \{\Gamma(\zeta_j - \ell_j s)\}^{A_j}} \times \frac{\prod_{j=2}^n \{\Gamma(1 - \zeta_j + \ell_j s)\}^{A_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \{\Gamma(1 - \eta_j + \Im_j s)\}^{B_j}}. \quad (7)$$

The incomplete I -functions $\gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ and $\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ defined in (4) and (5) exists for all $x \geq 0$ under the same contour and conditions as stated by Rathie [15].

The incomplete I -functions are the generalizations of incomplete \bar{I} -functions, I -function, incomplete \bar{H} -functions, incomplete H -functions, and \bar{H} -functions etc., which are given under:

(i) Considering that $x = 0$ for the (5), incomplete I -function $\Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ reduce to the I -function [15] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : 0), (\zeta_2, \ell_2; A_2), \dots, (\zeta_p, \ell_p; A_p) \\ (\eta_1, \Im_1; B_1), \dots, (\eta_q, \Im_q; B_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1), \dots, (\zeta_p, \ell_p; A_p) \\ (\eta_1, \Im_1; B_1), \dots, (\eta_q, \Im_q; B_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

(ii) Setting B_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) = 1, the functions (4) and (5) reduce to the incomplete \bar{I} -functions [16] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \gamma \bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \Gamma \bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

(iii) Setting B_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) = 1 and A_j ($j = n+1, \dots, p$) = 1, the functions (4) and (5) reduce to the incomplete \bar{H} -functions [17] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,n}, (\zeta_j, \ell_j; 1)_{n+1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \gamma \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,n}, (\zeta_j, \ell_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,n}, (\zeta_j, \ell_j; 1)_{n+1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \Gamma \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,n}, (\zeta_j, \ell_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(iv) Setting B_j ($j = 1, \dots, q$) = 1 and A_j ($j = 1, \dots, p$) = 1, the functions (4) and (5) reduce to the incomplete H -functions [17] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; 1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; 1)_{1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \gamma H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1, x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; 1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; 1)_{1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \Gamma H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1, x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(v) Setting B_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) = 1, A_j ($j = n+1, \dots, p$) = 1 and $x = 0$ in (14), the function (14) reduces to the \bar{H} -function [18] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : 0), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,n}, (\zeta_j, \ell_j; 1)_{n+1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{1,n}, (\zeta_j, \ell_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\eta_j, \Im_j)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \Im_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The present paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 provides the main findings of the study. In Section 3, we provided some particular cases of the main results and finally the concluding remark is given in Section 4.

2 Main Results

Throughout in this paper, letting p denote the internal blood pressure in blood vessels with volume v . Also, we suppose on any time p_1 and v_1 represents the partial changes in internal pressure and volume, respectively.

Theorem 1. If $v > v_1, p > p_1$ and $x \geq 0$ then the subsequent rule shall be observed:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Gamma}I_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-v, v_1; 1), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{1,q}, (1 - v, v_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= \tau {}^{\Gamma}I_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-p, p_1; 1), \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{1,q}, \\ (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p}, \\ (1 - p, p_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &+ \mathfrak{s} {}^{\Gamma}I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

provided τ is a proportional constant and \mathfrak{s} is a constant of integration.

Proof. Saxena [19, p.77] presented the mathematical concept of an internal blood pressure in the blood vessel as follows:

$$v \propto p.$$

Which results in the next differential equation

$$\frac{dv}{dp} = \tau; \quad v \rightarrow 0, \quad p \rightarrow 0$$

where τ is a proportional constant. With respect to the integration among both ends, we acquire

$$v = \tau p + \mathfrak{s}$$

where \mathfrak{s} is a constant of integration. The above equation may be described to

$$\frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v)} = \tau \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p)} + \mathfrak{s}.$$

If, p is replaced by $p + p_1 s$ and v is replaced by $v + v_1 s$ and multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \Phi(s, x) z^s$, then integrating with respect to s in the direction of contour \mathcal{L} (defined in 5) and we get the needed result by taking account of (5).

Theorem 2. If $v > v_1, p > p_1$ and $x \geq 0$ then the following law is hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\gamma}I_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-v, v_1; 1), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{1,q}, (1 - v, v_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= \tau {}^{\gamma}I_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-p, p_1; 1), \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{1,q}, \\ (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p}, \\ (1 - p, p_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &+ \mathfrak{s} {}^{\gamma}I_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The claim (17) of the Theorem 2 can be determined using the same lines as the Theorem 1.

3 Special Cases

We shall report a few important limiting cases of key outcomes in this section.

If B_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) = 1 is set, the incomplete I -functions (4) and (5) will reduce the incomplete \bar{I} -functions defined by (9) and (10), then Theorems 1 and 2 will give the following corollaries:

Corollary 1. If $v > v_1, p > p_1$ and $x \geq 0$ then the following law is hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-v, v_1; 1), \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{m+1,q}, \\ (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p}, \\ (1 - v, v_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= \tau {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-p, p_1; 1), \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{m+1,q}, \\ (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p}, \\ (1 - p, p_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &+ \mathfrak{s} {}^{\Gamma}\bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. If $v > v_1, p > p_1$ and $x \geq 0$ then the following law is hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\gamma}\bar{I}_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-v, v_1; 1), \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{m+1,q}, \\ (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p}, \\ (1 - v, v_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= \tau {}^{\gamma}\bar{I}_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (-p, p_1; 1), \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{m+1,q}, \\ (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p}, \\ (1 - p, p_1; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &+ \mathfrak{s} {}^{\gamma}\bar{I}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} (\zeta_1, \ell_1; A_1 : x), (\zeta_j, \ell_j; A_j)_{2,p} \\ (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; 1)_{1,m}, (\eta_j, \mathfrak{S}_j; B_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

In addition, specializing the parameters in (4) and (5), we may obtain internal blood pressure equations as special cases for functions expressed in section 1 of our main results as follows:

- (i) Using the relationship (8), we may obtain an internal blood pressure equation involving I -function.
- (ii) Using the relationships (11) and (12), the equations of internal blood pressure involving incomplete \bar{H} -functions given by Kumar *et al.* [13] may be obtained.
- (iii) Using the relationships (13) and (14), we may obtain the internal blood pressure equations involving incomplete H -functions.

(iv) Setting $x = 0$, B_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) = 1 and A_j ($j = n + 1, \dots, p$) = 1 in (16), then incomplete I -function ${}^{\Gamma}I_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$ reduces to \bar{H} -function (see relationship 15), we get a known result from Chaurasia [20].

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we reproduced internal blood pressure equations involving incomplete I -functions. In addition, special cases of our main results have been provided in Section 3. The results of this study would be very useful in the biology study.

Acknowledgment

The research of the author Shefeeq. T is supported under the faculty research Project under RUSA 2.0, MHRD, Govt. of India, Project Ref No: G3/RUSA/FRP(2)/2019.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

- [1] A. Abdel-Aty, M.M.A. Khater, R.A.M. Attia, M. Abdel-Aty, H. Eleuch, On the new explicit solutions of the fractional nonlinear space-time nuclear model, *Fractals* **28** (2020) 2040035.
- [2] R. Agarwal, S.D. Purohit and Kritika, A mathematical fractional model with non-singular kernel for thrombin-receptor activation in calcium signaling, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42** (2019) 7160–7171.
- [3] R. Agarwal, M.P. Yadav, D. Baleanu, S.D. Purohit, Existence and uniqueness of miscible flow equation through porous media with a nonsingular fractional derivative, *AIMS Mathematics* **5**(2) (2020) 1062–1073.
- [4] M.M.A. Khater, R.A.M. Attia, A. Abdel-Aty, W. Alharbi, D. Lu, Abundant analytical and numerical solutions of the fractional microbiological densities model in bacteria cell as a result of diffusion mechanisms, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **136** (2020) 10982.
- [5] M.M.A. Khater, C. Park, A. Abdel-Aty, R.A.M. Attia, D. Lu, On new computational and numerical solutions of the modified Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation arising in electrical engineering, *Alexandria Engineering Journal* **59** (2020) 1099–1105.
- [6] M.M.A. Khater, R.A.M. Attia, A. Abdel-Aty, Computational analysis of a nonlinear fractional emerging telecommunication model with higher-order dispersive cubic–quintic, *Inf. Sci. Lett.* **9** (2020) 83–93.
- [7] A.M. Mishra, S.D. Purohit, K.M. Owolabi and Y.D. Sharma, A nonlinear epidemiological model considering asymptotic and quarantine classes for SARS CoV-2 virus, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **138** (2020) 109953.
- [8] K.M. Owolabi, K.C. Patidar and A. Shikongo, Mathematical analysis and numerical simulation of a tumor-host model with chemotherapy application, *Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci.* **2018** (2018) 21.
- [9] K.M. Owolabi, K.C. Patidar and A. Shikongo, A fitted operator for tumor cells dynamics in their micro-environment, *Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci.* **2019** (2019) 18.
- [10] S.D. Purohit, A.M. Khan, D.L. Suthar and S. Dave, The impact on raise of environmental pollution and occurrence in biological populations pertaining to incomplete H -function, *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* (Accepted) (2020).
- [11] J. Singh, D. Kumar, Z. Hammouch and A. Atangana, A fractional epidemiological model for computer viruses pertaining to a new fractional derivative, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **316** (2018) 504–515.
- [12] J. Singh, D. Kumar and D. Baleanu, On the analysis of fractional diabetes model with exponential law, *Adv. Diff. Eqs.* **2018** (2018) 231.
- [13] D. Kumar, M.K. Bansal, K.S. Nisar and J. Singh, Mathematical modelling of internal blood pressure involving incomplete \bar{H} -functions, *J. Interdis. Math.* **22**(7) (2019) 1213–1221.
- [14] K. Jangid, S. Bhattar, S. Meena, D. Baleanu, M.A. Qurashi and S.D. Purohit, Some fractional calculus findings associated with the incomplete I -functions, *Adv. Diff. Eqs.* **2020** (2020) 265.
- [15] A.K. Rathie, A new generalization of generalized Hypergeometric functions, *Le Matematiche* **LII** (1997) 297–310.
- [16] K. Jangid, S. Bhattar, S. Meena, D. Baleanu and S.D. Purohit, Certain classes of the incomplete I -functions and their properties, Communicated (2020).
- [17] H.M. Srivastava, R.K. Saxena and R.K. Parmar, Some Families of the Incomplete H -Functions and the Incomplete \bar{H} -Functions and Associated Integral Transforms and Operators of Fractional Calculus with Applications, *Rus. J. Math. Phy.* **25**(1) (2018) 116–138.
- [18] A.A. Inayat-Hussain, New Properties of Hypergeometric Series Derivable from Feynman Integrals. II: A Generalisations of the H -Function, *J. Phys. A* **20** (1987) 4119–4128.
- [19] V.P. Saxena, Introductory Bio-Mathematics, Vishwa Prakashan, New Delhi (1989).
- [20] V.B.L. Chaurasia, Equation of internal blood pressure and the H -function, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, **30**(4) (2004) 719–720.