Global Attractivity of a Rational Difference Equation
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Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the global attractivity of positive solutions of the nonlinear difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n^3 + bx_{n-1}^2 + cx_n x_{n-1} + dx_{n-1}^3}{Ax_n^3 + Bx_{n-1}^2 + Cx_n x_{n-1} + Dx_{n-1}^3}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \]

where the parameters \(a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D\) are positive real numbers and the initial values \(x_0, x_{-1}\) are arbitrary positive numbers.
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1 Introduction and preliminaries

Difference equations appear naturally as discrete analogues and as numerical solutions of differential and delay differential equations which model phenomena in ecology, economy, automatic control theory and so forth. Recently, there has been a great attention in studying nonlinear difference equations, see, for instance [1], [3], [5], [10], [11], and references cited therein, as well as in studying systems of difference equations (see, e.g. [6], [9]).

Consider the following second-order difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n^3 + bx_{n-1}^2 + cx_n x_{n-1} + dx_{n-1}^3}{Ax_n^3 + Bx_{n-1}^2 + Cx_n x_{n-1} + Dx_{n-1}^3}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \]

(1)

where the initial conditions \(x_0, x_{-1} \in (0, \infty)\) and the parameters \(a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D\) \(\in (0, \infty)\).

In this paper, we study the stability of the unique positive equilibrium point \(\overline{x} = \frac{a+b+c+d}{A+B+C+D}\), the boundedness and the convergence of the solutions of the equation (1).

Now, we review some definitions (see for example [7], [8]), which will be useful in the sequel.

Let \(I\) be an interval of real numbers and let \(F : I \times I \rightarrow I\) be a continuously differentiable function. Consider the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = F(x_n, x_{n-1}) \]

(2)

with initial values \(x_{-1}, x_0 \in I\).

Definition 11 A point \(\overline{x} \in I\) is called an equilibrium point of Eq.(2) if

\[ \overline{x} = F(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) \]

Definition 12 Let \(\overline{x}\) be an equilibrium point of Eq.(2).

(i) The equilibrium \(\overline{x}\) is called locally stable if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exist \(\delta > 0\) such that for all \(x_{-1}, x_0 \in I\) with \(|x_{-1} - \overline{x}| + |x_0 - \overline{x}| < \delta\), we have \(|x_n - \overline{x}| < \varepsilon\), for all \(n \geq 0\).

(ii) The equilibrium \(\overline{x}\) is called locally asymptotically stable if it is locally stable, and if there exists \(\gamma > 0\) such that if \(x_{-1}, x_0 \in I\) and \(|x_{-1} - \overline{x}| + |x_0 - \overline{x}| < \gamma\) then

\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = \overline{x} \]

(iii) The equilibrium \(\overline{x}\) is called global attractor if for all \(x_{-1}, x_0 \in I\), we have

\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = \overline{x} \]

(iv) The equilibrium \(\overline{x}\) is called global asymptotically stable if it is locally stable and a global attractor.

* Corresponding author e-mail: halyacine@yahoo.fr
(v) The equilibrium $\bar{x}$ is called unstable if it is not stable.

(vi) Let $p = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,\bar{x})$ and $q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,\bar{x})$. Then the equation

$$y_{n+1} = py_n + qy_{n-1}, \; n = 0,1,\ldots$$

is called the linearized equation of Eq. (2) about the equilibrium point $\bar{x}$.

The next result, which was given by Clark [2], provides a sufficient condition for the locally asymptotically stability of Eq. (3).

**Theorem 11** Consider the difference equation (3) with $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$|p| + |q| < 1$$

is a sufficient condition for the locally asymptotically stability.

**Definition 13** The difference equation (2) is said to be permanent, if there exist numbers $\alpha, \beta$ with $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ such that for any initial $x_1, \; x_0 \in I$ there exists a positive integer $N$ which depends on the initial conditions such that $\alpha \leq x_n \leq \beta$ for all $n \geq N$.

### 2 Main results

Let $f : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{ax^3 + bxy^2 + cxy + dy^3}{Ax^3 + Bxy^2 + Cxy + Dy^3}.$$ 

In the sequel we need the following real numbers:

$$r_1 = ab - ba, \; r_2 = ac - ca, \; r_3 = ad - da, \; r_4 = cb - bc, \; r_5 = bd - db, \; r_6 = cd - dc.$$ 

**Lemma 21** 1. Assume that

- $\frac{q}{\alpha} \geq \max\left(\frac{b}{p}, \frac{c}{p}\right)$
- $\frac{q}{\beta} \leq \min\left(\frac{b}{p}, \frac{c}{p}\right)$
- $3r_3 + r_4 \geq 0$.

Then, $f$ is nondecreasing in $x$ for each $y$ and it is nonincreasing in $y$ for each $x$.

2. Assume that

- $\frac{q}{\alpha} \leq \min\left(\frac{b}{p}, \frac{c}{p}\right)$
- $\frac{q}{\beta} \geq \max\left(\frac{b}{p}, \frac{c}{p}\right)$
- $3r_3 + r_4 \leq 0$.

Then, $f$ is nonincreasing in $x$ for each $y$ and it is nondecreasing in $y$ for each $x$.

**Proof.**

1. We have, $3r_3 + r_4 \geq 0$ and it is easy to see that $\frac{q}{\alpha} \geq \max\left(\frac{b}{p}, \frac{c}{p}\right)$ and $\frac{q}{\beta} \leq \min\left(\frac{b}{p}, \frac{c}{p}\right)$ implies $r_1, r_2, r_5, r_6 \geq 0$. So the result follows from the two formulae

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{r_2x^4 + r_1x^3 + r_4x + r_3y^3}{(Ax^3 + Bxy^2 + Cxy + Dy^3)^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = \frac{r_2x^4 + r_1x^3 + r_4x + r_3y^3}{(Ax^3 + Bxy^2 + Cxy + Dy^3)^2}.$$
Then,
\[
\frac{a}{A} \leq x_n \leq \frac{d}{D}
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** 1. We have
\[
x_{n+1} - \frac{a}{A} = \frac{-r_2 b x_n x_{n-1} - r_1 x_n^2 x_{n-1} - r_3 x_n^3}{A(A x_n^3 + B x_n^2 + C x_n + D x_n^2)}
\]
\[
x_{n+1} - \frac{d}{D} = \frac{r_3 x_n^3 + r_6 x_n^2 x_{n-1} + r_5 x_n x_{n-1} - 1}{D(A x_n^3 + B x_n^2 + C x_n + D x_n^2)}.
\]
Now using the fact that \( r_1, r_2, r_3, r_5, r_6 \geq 0 \), it follows that
\[
\frac{d}{D} \leq x_n \leq \frac{a}{A}
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \).

2. The proof of 2) is similar and will be omitted.

Here we study the global asymptotic stability of Eq.(1).

**Theorem 23** Let
\[
p_1 = -D a + Ab + (A + C) d,
\]
\[
p_2 = -(B + D) a + (A + C) b + (A - D) c + (A + B + C) d,
\]
\[
p_3 = (A - B - C - D) a + (A + B + C - D) b
\]
\[
\quad + (A + B + C - D) c + (A + B + C + D) d.
\]
Assume that
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &\geq \max \left( \frac{D_1}{D_2}, \frac{D_2}{D_1} \right), \\
\beta &\leq \min \left( \frac{D_1}{D_2}, \frac{D_2}{D_1} \right), \\
3 r_3 + r_4 &\geq 0, \\
\frac{2(2r_1 + 3r_2 + 2r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + 3r_6)}{(\alpha + \beta + c + d)(\alpha + \beta + c + d)} &< 1, \\
p_1, p_2, p_3 &\geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Then the equilibrium point \( \bar{x} = \frac{a/b + c + d}{\alpha + \beta + c + d} \) of Eq.(1) is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a positive solution of equation (1). In view of theorem (21), we need only to prove that \( \bar{x} \) is a global attractor. Let
\[
m = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \inf x_n
\]
and
\[
M = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup x_n.
\]
To prove that
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = \bar{x},
\]
it suffices to show that \( m = M \).
Let \( \varepsilon \in [0, m] \), then exist \( m_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) we get
\[
m - \varepsilon \leq x_n \leq M + \varepsilon.
\]
Thus by using lemma (21), Part 1; we get for all \( n \geq n_0 + 1 \)
\[
x_{n+1} \geq f(m - \varepsilon, M + \varepsilon),
\]
\[
x_{n+1} \leq f(M + \varepsilon, m - \varepsilon).
\]
Then, we get the following inequalities
\[
m \geq f(m - \varepsilon, M + \varepsilon),
\]
\[
M \leq f(M + \varepsilon, m - \varepsilon).
\]
These inequalities yields
\[
m \geq \frac{am^3 + b m^2 + c m + d M^3}{Am^3 + Bm^2 + Cm + Dm^3},
\]
\[
M \leq \frac{am^3 + b m^2 + c m + d M^3}{Am^3 + Bm^2 + Cm + Dm^3}.
\]
So,
\[
mM \geq \frac{M (am^3 + b m^2 + c m + d M^3)}{Am^3 + Bm^2 + Cm + Dm^3},
\]
\[
mM \leq \frac{m (am^3 + b m^2 + c m + d M^3)}{Am^3 + Bm^2 + Cm + Dm^3}.
\]
Hence
\[
\frac{M (am^3 + b m^2 + c m + d M^3)}{Am^3 + Bm^2 + Cm + Dm^3} \leq 0,
\]
which can be written
\[
(M - m) R(m, M) = 0,
\]
where
\[
R(m, M) = \frac{L(m, M)}{K(m, M)},
\]
and
\[
\bullet L(m, M) = dA(m^6 + M^6) + p_1 m M (m^4 + M^4) + p_2 m^2 M^2 (m^2 + M^2) + p_3 m^3 M^3,
\]
\[
\bullet K(m, M) = (A m^3 + B m^2 + C m + D) (A m^3 + B m^2 + C m + D).
\]
Since
\[
R(m, M) > 0,
\]
we get
\[
M \leq m.
\]
So, \( m = M = \bar{x} \).

By the same arguments, we can easily prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 24** Let
\[
q_1 = (B + D) a + Dc - Ad,
\]
\[
q_2 = (B + C + D) a + (A + C) b + (B + D) c - (A + C) d,
\]
\[
q_3 = (A + B + C + D) a + (A + B + C + D) b
\]
\[
+ (A + B + C + D) c + (A + B + C + D) d.
\]

Assume that
• \( n \leq \min\left( \frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{p} \right) \),
• \( n \geq \max\left( \frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{p} \right) \),
• \( 3r_1 + r_2 \leq 0 \),
• \( \frac{-2(2r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4+r_5+2r_6)}{(a+b+c+d)(A+B+C+D)} < 1 \),
• \( q_1, q_2, q_3 \geq 0 \).

Then the equilibrium point \( \bar{x} = \frac{a+b+c+d}{A+B+C+D} \) of Eq. (1) is globally asymptotically stable.

**Theorem 25** Assume that \( q_1, q_2, q_3 \geq 0 \). Then Eq. (1) has no positive prime period two solution.

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exist distinct positive real numbers \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), such that 

\[
\ldots, \alpha, \beta, \alpha, \beta, \ldots
\]

is a period two solution of Eq. (1). Then, \( \alpha, \beta \) satisfy the system

\[
\alpha = f(\beta, \alpha), \quad \beta = f(\alpha, \beta).
\]

Thus, we have

\[
\beta f(\beta, \alpha) = \alpha f(\alpha, \beta),
\]

which gives

\[
(\beta - \alpha)S(\alpha, \beta) = 0
\]

where

\[
S(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{F(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \beta)}
\]

and

• \( F(\alpha, \beta) = aD(\alpha^6 + \beta^6) + q_1 \alpha \beta (\alpha^4 + \beta^4) + q_2 \alpha^2 \beta^2 (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + q_3 \alpha \beta^3 \),
• \( K(\alpha, \beta) = (A\beta^3 + B\beta \alpha^2 + CB^2 \alpha + Da^3)(A\alpha^3 + B\alpha \beta^2 + Ca^2 \beta + D\beta^3) \).

Since

\[
S(\alpha, \beta) > 0.
\]

We get \( \beta = \alpha \), which is a contradiction.

### 3 Numerical examples

In order to illustrate and support our theoretical discussions, we consider interesting numerical examples in this section.

**Example 31** Let 
\( (a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2) \) and 
\( (x_1, x_2) = (0.5, 0.7) \). Then all conditions of theorem (23) are satisfied and we have the following results:

| \( n \) | \( |x_n - \bar{x}| \) | \( n \) | \( |x_n - \bar{x}| \) |
|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| 1     | 0.0507956108   | 31    | 0.0000000000   |
| 2     | 0.0072974127   | 32    | 0.1100000000   |
| 3     | 0.0134001561   | 33    | 0.1100000000   |
| 4     | 0.0014909700   | 34    | 0.2100000000   |
| 58    | 0.3100000000   | 61    | 0.2100000000   |
| 62    | 0.2100000000   | 63    | 0.3100000000   |
| 64    | 0.0000000000   | 65    | 0.2100000000   |

**Example 32** Let 
\( (a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2) \) and 
\( (x_1, x_2) = (1.5, 2.7) \). Then all conditions of theorem (24) are satisfied and we have the following results:

| \( n \) | \( |x_n - \bar{x}| \) | \( n \) | \( |x_n - \bar{x}| \) |
|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| 1     | 0.206160365   | 31    | 0.2510000000   |
| 2     | 0.275199172   | 32    | 0.1580000000   |
| 3     | 0.108454901   | 33    | 0.9900000000   |
| 4     | 0.091238226   | 34    | 0.6200000000   |
| 61    | 0.0000000000   | 91    | 0.0000000000   |
| 62    | 0.0000000000   | 92    | 0.0000000000   |
| 63    | 0.1100000000   | 93    | 0.1100000000   |
| 64    | 0.0000000000   | 94    | 0.0000000000   |
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