

Notes on G -Algebra and its Derivations

Deena Al-Kadi^{1,*} and Rodyna Hosny^{1,2}

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistic, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

Received: 18 Apr. 2016, Revised: 22 Jul. 2017, Accepted: 28 Jul. 2017

Published online: 1 Sep. 2017

Abstract: In this paper we generate a G -algebra from a non-empty set and we obtain the quotient G -algebra via normal subalgebra. Furthermore, we prove a fundamental theorem on homomorphism for G -algebra. We prove that every G -algebra satisfying the associative law is a 2-group. We also show that every BP -algebra is a G -algebra and introduce a necessary condition for which the converse will be true. Finally, we introduce the notion of a left-right (resp. right-left) derivation of G -algebra. We show that the composition of two derivations is a derivation and we investigate some related properties.

Keywords: Derivations; G -algebra, p -semisimple

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The notion of G -algebra was introduced in [1], as a generalization of QS -algebra. It has been shown in [1] that every QS -algebra is a G -algebra but the converse need not, in general, be true. The notion of derivations as defined in rings and near-rings theory (see [2]) has been applied to BCI -algebra in 2004 by Jun and Xin. Then they introduced a new concept of derivations in BCI -algebra. As in [3], a map $d : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a left-right derivation (briefly (l, r) -derivation) of X if it satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$. If d satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then d is said to be a right-left derivation (briefly (r, l) -derivation) of X . If d is both (l, r) - and (r, l) -derivation, then d is a derivation of X . Many researches have been done on derivations of BCI -algebra in different aspects. For example, (α, β) -derivations of BCI -algebra was introduced in [4] and some related properties are investigated. In [5], the notion of t -derivations of BCI -algebra was given and the study was extended to t -derivations in a p -semisimple BCI -algebra. A new kind of derivations in BCI -algebra has been introduced in [6]. Other authors applied the notion of derivations, as defined above, on different classes of abstract algebras: BCC -algebra, B -algebra and BCI -algebra and some related properties have been investigated ([7], [8], [9]).

Motivated by the work done on derivations of BCI -algebras and derivations of other algebra classes, we study in this paper derivations of G -algebra. We start in Section 1 by giving definitions and propositions needed. In Section 2, inspired by the work done on BG -algebras [10], we construct a G -algebra from a non-empty set; we use the notion of normal subalgebra to obtain the quotient G -algebra. As a consequence, we obtain the fundamental theorem of homomorphisms of G -algebra. Also we show that every BP -algebra is a G -algebra and we show that every G -algebra satisfying the identity $(z * x) * (z * y) = y * x$, is a BP -algebra. In the final section, we start with the concept of (l, r) - and (r, l) -derivations as defined in [3] then the concept is applied to G -algebra and a new form of the definition is introduced using the fact that $x \wedge y = x$ in G -algebra. We conclude the section with Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 where we show that the composition of two derivations d_1 and d_2 is also a derivation and that $d_1 \circ d_2 = d_2 \circ d_1$ when d_2 is a (l, r) -derivation and d_1 is a (r, l) -derivation.

We recall the following definitions and propositions related to QS -algebra, BP -algebra and G -algebra.

Definition 1.1. ([11], [12]) Let X be a set with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0. Then $(X, *, 0)$ is called a QS -algebra, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $x * 0 = x$,
- (2) $(z * x) * (z * y) = y * x$,

* Corresponding author e-mail: dak12le@hotmail.co.uk

- (3) $x * x = 0$,
 (4) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 1.2. ([11], [13]) An algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is called a BP -algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $x * x = 0$,
 (2) $x * (x * y) = y$,
 (3) $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 1.3. ([1, Definition 2.1]) A G -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying the axioms:

- (1) $x * x = 0$,
 (2) $x * (x * y) = y$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 1.1. ([1, Proposition 2.1]) If $(X, *, 0)$ is a G -algebra, then the following conditions hold:

- (1) $x * 0 = x$,
 (2) $0 * (0 * x) = x$, for any $x \in X$.

Proposition 1.2. ([1, Proposition 2.2]) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a G -algebra. Then, the following conditions hold for any $x, y \in X$,

- (1) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
 (2) $x * y = 0 \implies x = y$,
 (3) $0 * x = 0 * y \implies x = y$.

Lemma 1.1. ([1, Lemma 2.1]) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a G -algebra. Then $a * x = a * y$ implies $x = y$ for any $a, x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.4. ([10]) A non-empty subset N of X is said to be normal of X if:

$$(x * a) * (y * b) \in N \text{ for any } x * y, a * b \in N.$$

Definition 1.5. ([1]) For any G -algebra X , consider the set $B(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = 0\}$. If $B(X) = \{0\}$ then G -algebra is said to be p -semisimple.

2 G -algebra

In this section, we construct a G -algebra from a given binary operation, we also create a G -algebra from a given one using normal subalgebra.

In any G -algebra, we can define a partial order \leq by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $y * x = 0$. This means that a G -algebra can be considered as a partially ordered set with $x \leq x$ and $x \leq y * (y * x)$. Denote $y * (y * x)$ by $x \wedge y$

Table 1: Cayley table

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	1	0	2	3	4
2	2	1	0	3	4
3	3	1	2	0	4
4	4	1	2	3	0

for all $x, y \in X$. Thus using Definition 1.3(2), we have $x \wedge y = x$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a G -algebra. Then for any $x, y, z \in X$, we have:

- (1) For $x \neq y$, $x \wedge y \neq y \wedge x$,
 (2) $x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$,
 (3) $x \wedge 0 = x$ and $0 \wedge x = 0$,
 (4) For $x \neq 0$, $x \wedge (y * z) \neq (x \wedge y) * (x \wedge z)$.

Proof. Direct to prove.

Next we define a binary operation $*$ on X as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set with $0 \in X$. Define a binary operation $*$ on X for all $x, y \in X$ by:

$$x * y := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq 0, x = y, \\ y & \text{if } y \neq 0, x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. If we define a binary operation $*$ on X as in Definition 2.1, then $(X, *, 0)$ is a G -algebra.

Proof. We will show that $x * (x * y) = y$, in the three cases as follows:

If $x \neq y$ then $x * (x * y) = x * y = y$.

If $x = y$ then it is easy to see that $x * (x * y) = x * (x * x) = x * 0 = x = y$.

If $y = 0$, we have $x * (x * y) = x * (x * 0) = x * x = 0 = y$.

The following G -algebra is constructed using Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in which $*$ is defined by the Cayley table (Table 1). Then $(X, *, 0)$ is a G -algebra.

Definition 2.2. A non-empty subset S of a G -algebra X is called a G -subalgebra if for all $x, y \in S$ implies $x * y \in S$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a G -algebra. Then every normal subset N of X is a subalgebra of X but the converse is not true.

Proof. Let $x, y \in N$, then by Proposition 2.1(1), $x * 0, y * 0 \in N$. Since N is normal, we have $(x * y) * (0 * 0) \in N$.

Table 2: Cayley table

*	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

That is, $x * y \in N$. Therefore, N is a subalgebra of X . The converse is proved using the following example.

Example 2.2. Consider the G -algebra given by the Cayley table (Table 2). It is easy to see that $N = \{0, 2\}$ is a subalgebra of X but is not normal of X as $0 * 2, 1 * 2 \in N$ but $(0 * 1) * (2 * 2) = 1 * 0 = 1 \notin N$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a G -algebra and N a normal subalgebra of X . The relation R on X defined by: xRy if and only if $x * y \in N$, where $x, y \in X$, is an equivalence relation on X .

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Since N is normal, we have $0 \in N$. Thus, $x * x \in N$. Hence, R is reflexive. To prove that R is symmetry, consider $y * y \in N$ and $x * y \in N$. As N is normal, we have $(y * x) * (y * y) = (y * x) * 0 = y * x \in N$. For transitivity, suppose that $x * y \in N$ and $y * z \in N$. Then, $x * y \in N$ and $z * y \in N$. As N is normal, we have, $x * z \in N$. This proves that R is an equivalence relation on X .

Definition 2.3. For each element $x \in X$, the equivalence class of x denoted by $[x]_N$ is the set of all elements $y \in Y$ such that x is related to y . That is, $[x]_N = \{y \in X \mid xRy\}$.

Consider the set of all equivalence classes $\{[x]_N \mid x \in X\} =: X/N$. We show in the next theorem that X/N the quotient of G -algebra X by N is a G -algebra as well. Furthermore, we obtain the fundamental theorem of homomorphisms of G -algebras as a consequence.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a G -algebra and N be a normal subalgebra of X . Then X/N is a G -algebra.

Proof. Define the operation $*$ by $[x]_N * [y]_N := [x * y]_N$. The operation is well defined as if xRp and yRq then $x * p \in N$ and $y * q \in N$. From the definition of normality, we have $(x * y) * (p * q) \in N$, that is, $(x * y)R(p * q)$. Thus, $[x * y]_N = [p * q]_N$. To check that X/N is a G -algebra, take $[x]_N \in X/N$. Then $[x]_N * [x]_N = [x * x]_N = [0]_N = \{x \in X \mid xR0\} = \{x \in X \mid x * 0 \in N\} = \{x \in X \mid x \in N\} = N$, (where N is the zero element in X/N). Hence the first condition holds. Also,

$$[x]_N * ([x]_N * [y]_N) = [x * (x * y)]_N = [y]_N.$$

Therefore, X/N is a G -algebra.

In the next part, known notions are applied to G -algebras.

Definition 2.4. Let X and Y be G -algebras. A mapping $\phi: X \longrightarrow Y$ is called a homomorphism if $\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y)$, $\forall x, y \in X$. The homomorphism ϕ is said to be a monomorphism (resp., an epimorphism) if it is injective (resp., surjective). If the map ϕ is both injective and surjective then X and Y are said to be isomorphic, written $X \cong Y$. For any homomorphism $\phi: X \longrightarrow Y$, the set $\{x \in X \mid \phi(x) = 0_Y\}$ is called the kernel of ϕ and denoted by $\text{Ker}\phi$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\phi: (X, *, 0_X) \longrightarrow (Y, \circ, 0_Y)$ be a homomorphism of G -algebras, then we have the following:

- (i) $\phi(0_X) = 0_Y$,
- (ii) $\text{Ker}\phi$ is a normal G -subalgebra of X ,
- (iii) $\text{Im}\phi = \{y \in Y \mid y = \phi(x), \text{ for some } x \in X\}$ is a G -subalgebra.

Proof.

- (i) Using Definition 1.3(1), $\phi(0_X) = \phi(0_X * 0_X) = \phi(0_X) * \phi(0_X) = 0_Y$.
- (ii) Obviously, $\text{Ker}\phi \neq \emptyset$, as $0_X \in \text{Ker}\phi$. Let $x * y, a * b \in \text{Ker}\phi$. Then, $\phi(x) * \phi(y) = \phi(a) * \phi(b) = 0_Y$. From Proposition 1.2(2) we get that $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$ and $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$. It follows that, $\phi((x * a) * (y * b)) = \phi(x * a) * \phi(y * b) = (\phi(x) * \phi(a)) * (\phi(y) * \phi(b)) = 0_Y$. Consequently, $(x * a) * (y * b) \in \text{Ker}\phi$ and so $\text{Ker}\phi$ is a normal G -subalgebra of X .
- (iii) Direct to prove.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\phi: (X, *, 0_X) \longrightarrow (Y, \circ, 0_Y)$ be an epimorphism of G -algebras and $N = \text{Ker}\phi$, then we have $X/N \cong Y$.

Proof. Define a mapping $\psi: X/\text{Ker}\phi \longrightarrow Y$ by

$$\psi([x]_{\text{Ker}\phi}) = \phi(x), \forall x \in X.$$

Suppose $[x]_{\text{Ker}\phi} = [y]_{\text{Ker}\phi}$, then $x * y \in \text{Ker}\phi$. It follows that, $\phi(x * y) = 0_Y$. From Proposition 1.2(2), $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. That is, $\psi([x]_{\text{Ker}\phi}) = \psi([y]_{\text{Ker}\phi})$. Hence, ψ is well defined. The mapping ψ is a homomorphism, since $\psi([x]_{\text{Ker}\phi} * [y]_{\text{Ker}\phi}) = \psi([x * y]_{\text{Ker}\phi}) = \phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) = \psi([x]_{\text{Ker}\phi}) * \psi([y]_{\text{Ker}\phi})$. To check that ψ is injective, let $[x]_{\text{Ker}\phi}, [y]_{\text{Ker}\phi} \in X/\text{Ker}\phi$ with $[x]_{\text{Ker}\phi} \neq [y]_{\text{Ker}\phi}$. Then $x * y \notin \text{Ker}\phi$. It follows that $\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) \neq 0_Y$ i.e. $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$. Hence, $\psi([x]_{\text{Ker}\phi}) \neq \psi([y]_{\text{Ker}\phi})$. The mapping ψ is surjective as for any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = \psi([x]_{\text{Ker}\phi})$. Thus ψ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof.

Observe that G -algebra may not satisfy the associative law as in Example 2.2. If the associative law holds then we have the following:

Theorem 2.5. Let $(X, *, 0_X)$ be a G -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The associative law holds on X .
- (ii) X is a 2-group.

Proof. Consider the associative law $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$. Put $x = y = z$, we get $0 * x = x * 0$. From Proposition 2.1(1), we will have $0 * x = x * 0 = x$. Thus, the element 0 is the identity of X . From Definition 1.3(1), we have $x * x = 0$, this means that every element x has an inverse which is the element x its self. Therefore, $(X, *, 0)$ is a group. Moreover, having $x * x = 0$ makes $(X, *, 0)$ a 2-group. The converse is obvious.

In the next part we investigate the relation between G -algebra and BP -algebra.

Theorem 2.6.

- (i) Every BP -algebra is a G -algebra.
- (ii) Every G -algebra satisfying $(z * x) * (z * y) = y * x$ is a BP -algebra.

Proof. Easy and direct to prove.

Definition 2.5. We say that an element $x \in X$ is minimal if $x * y = 0$ implies $y = x$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $(X, *, 0_X)$ be a G -algebra. Then:

- (i) X is p -semisimple.
- (ii) Every element in X is a minimal element.

Proof. (i) We have $B(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = 0\}$. It follows from Proposition 1.2(2) that $B(X) = \{x \in X \mid x = 0\} = \{0\}$. Hence, every G -algebra is a p -semisimple.

(ii) Let x be an element in X such that $y \leq x$ for some $y \in X$. Hence, $x * y = 0$ and so, from Proposition 1.2(2), $x = y$. Thus x is a minimal element in X .

3 Derivations of G -algebra

In this section we start by introducing the notation of a derivation of a G -algebra.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a G -algebra and d a self-map of X . We say that

d is (l, r) -derivation of X if $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$, d is (r, l) -derivation of X if $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$. If d is both (l, r) -derivation and (r, l) -derivation of X then we say that d is a derivation of X .

Remark 3.1. In G -algebra, we have defined $x \wedge y = x$. Thus, to check that d is (l, r) -derivation of X , it is enough to check that $d(x * y) = d(x) * y$. Similarly, if $d(x * y) = x * d(y)$ then d is (r, l) -derivation of X .

Hence we can re-write Definition 3.1 as follows:

Definition 3.2. Let X be a G -algebra and d a self-map of X . We say that d is a derivation of X if d is (l, r) -derivation of X and (r, l) -derivation of X . That is, for all $x, y \in X$:

Table 3: Cayley table

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	3	2	1
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	1	0	3
3	3	2	1	0

$$d(x * y) = d(x) * y \text{ and } d(x * y) = x * d(y), \text{ respectively.}$$

Example 3.1. Consider the G -algebra given by Cayley table (Table 2). Define a map $d : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{0, 2\}, \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by direct calculations we have $d(2 * 2) = d(0) = 1$ and $d(2) * 2 = 1 * 2 = 2$. As $d(2 * 2) \neq d(2) * 2$ then d is not (l, r) -derivation of X . Similarly, d is not (r, l) -derivation of X as $d(1 * 2) \neq 1 * d(2)$. Hence, d is not a derivation of X .

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in which $*$ is defined by (Table 3). Define a map $d : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 3. \end{cases}$$

Then it is straight forward to check that d is a derivation of X .

Theorem 3.1. In G -algebras X , the identity map is a derivation on X .

Proof. We need to consider two cases to prove that d is a derivation of X . We will show that d is a (l, r) -derivation of X and it can be shown similarly that d is a (r, l) -derivation of X .

Let $x, y \in X$. If $x = y$ then $d(x * x) = d(0) = 0$. On the other hand, $d(x) * x = x * x = 0$.

If $x \neq y$ then either $d(x * y) = d(x)$ or $d(x * y) = d(y)$. If $d(x * y) = d(x)$ then on one hand, $d(x * y) = x$. On the other hand, $d(x * y) = d(x) * y$. Thus, $x = x * y$ and so, from Proposition 2.1(1), $y = 0$. Therefore, $d(x) * y = x$. Consider the case $d(x * y) = d(y)$. Then $d(x * y) = y$. We have, $d(x * y) = d(x) * y = x * y$. Therefore, $y = x * y$. Thus, $d(x * y) = d(x * (x * y)) = d(y) = y$. Hence, d is a (l, r) -derivation of X .

Definition 3.3. A derivation d of a G -algebra is said to be regular if $d(0) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. If d is a regular derivation of a G -algebra X , then d is the identity map on X .

Proof. If d is a regular derivation of a G -algebra X then $d(0) = 0$. From Definition 1.3(1) we know that $x * x = 0$ and so $d(x * x) = d(0)$. Hence, $d(x) * x = 0$. Therefore, from Proposition 1.2(2), we have $d(x) = x$.

In the next part we define the composition of two derivations then we study some related properties.

Definition 3.4. Let X be a G -algebra and d_1, d_2 be two self-maps of X . We define $d_1 \circ d_2: X \longrightarrow X$, as $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x) = d_1(d_2(x))$, for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a G -algebra and d_1, d_2 be two derivations of X . Then $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a derivation of X .

Proof. We will prove that $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a (l, r) -derivation using the assumption that d_1, d_2 are (l, r) -derivations. Let $x, y \in X$. Then $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = d_1(d_2(x * y)) = d_1(d_2(x) * y) = d_1(d_2(x)) * y = (d_1 \circ d_2)(x) * y$.

We can prove similarly that $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a (r, l) -derivation of X and thus $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a derivation of X .

Lemma 3.2. Let X be a G -algebra and d_1, d_2 are either two (l, r) -derivations or (r, l) -derivations of X . Then the composition of the derivations is not necessary commutative.

Proof. Suppose that d_1, d_2 are (l, r) -derivations, then $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = d_1(d_2(x * y)) = d_1(d_2(x) * y) = d_1 d_2(x) * y$. On the other hand, $(d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y) = d_2(d_1(x * y)) = d_2(d_1(x) * y) = d_2 d_1(x) * y$. Hence, $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) \neq (d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y)$.

Similarly, we can show that if d_1, d_2 are (r, l) -derivations, then $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = x * d_1 d_2(y)$ and $(d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y) = x * d_2 d_1(y)$. Therefore, $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) \neq (d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y)$ in this case as well.

In the next theorem we give the assumptions needed for d_1, d_2 to assure that the composition between them is commutative.

Theorem 3.3. Let X be a G -algebra and suppose that d_2 is a (l, r) -derivation and d_1 is a (r, l) -derivation of X . Then the composition of the derivations is commutative.

Proof. We will show that $d_1 \circ d_2 = d_2 \circ d_1$ for all $x, y \in X$. To start, suppose that d_2 is a (l, r) -derivation, then $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = d_1(d_2(x * y)) = d_1(d_2(x) * y) = (d_2(x) * d_1(y))$ as d_1 is a (r, l) -derivation. Thus,

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = d_2(x) * d_1(y). \quad (1)$$

Similarly, suppose that d_1 is a (r, l) -derivation, then $(d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y) = d_2(d_1(x * y)) = d_2(x * d_1(y)) =$

$(d_2(x) * d_1(y))$ as d_2 is a (l, r) -derivation. Hence,

$$(d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y) = d_2(x) * d_1(y). \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we have $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = (d_2 \circ d_1)(x * y)$. Let $y = 0$, then $(d_1 \circ d_2)(x) = (d_2 \circ d_1)(x)$ as $x * 0 = x$. Therefore, $d_1 \circ d_2 = d_2 \circ d_1$.

4 Conclusion

We have used the notion of normal subalgebra to obtain the quotient G -algebra. We proved that every G -algebra satisfying the associative law is a 2-group. We also showed that every BP -algebra is a G -algebra and introduce a necessary condition for which the converse will be true. Finally, we introduced the notion of a left-right (resp. right-left) derivation of G -algebra and we investigated some related properties.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments that improved the paper.

References

- [1] R. K. Bandrur, and N. Rafii, On G -algebras, Scientia Magna International Book Series **8**, No. 3, 1 - 7 (2012).
- [2] H. E. Bell, and G. Mason, On derivations in near-rings and rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34**, No. 1, 135 - 144 (1992).
- [3] Y. B. Jun, and X. L. Xin, On Derivations of BCI -algebras, Information Sciences **159**, No. 3, 167 - 176 (2004).
- [4] G. Mudiuddin, and A. M. Al-Roqi, On (α, β) -derivations in BCI -algebras, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society **11**, 11 pages (2012).
- [5] G. Mudiuddin, and A. M. Al-Roqi, On t -derivations of BCI -algebras, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2012**, 12 Pages (2012).
- [6] K. J. Lee, A new kind of derivations in BCI -algebras, Applied Mathematical Sciences **7**, No. 84, 4185 - 4194 (2013).
- [7] H. A. S. Abujabal, and N. O. Al-Shehri, Some results on derivations of BCI -algebras, Journal of Natural Sciences and Mathematics-Lahore **46**, No. 1/2, 13 - 19 (2006).
- [8] N. O. Al-Shehrie, Derivations of B -algebras, JKAU: Sci. **22**, No. 1, 71 - 83 (2010).
- [9] C. Prabpayak, and U. Leerawat, On derivations of BCC -algebras, Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.) **43**, 398 - 401 (2009).
- [10] C. B. Kim, and H. S. Kim, On BG -algebras, Demonstratio Mathematica **XLI**, 497 - 505 (2008).
- [11] S. A. Nematoalah Zadeh, A. Radfar, and A. Borumand Saeid, On BP -algebras and QS -algebras, The Journal of Mathematics and Computer science **5**, No. 1, 17 - 21 (2012).
- [12] M. Kondo, On the class of QS -algebras, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences **2004**, No. 49, 2629 - 2639 (2004).
- [13] S. S. Ahn, and J. S. Han, On BP -algebra, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics **42**, No. 5, 551 - 557 (2014).

Deena S. Al-Kadi is an Associate Professor of Pure Mathematics at Taif University in Saudi Arabia . She recieved her Phd. degree in the representation theory of algebras, in the study of Self-injective algebras and the second Hochschild cohomology group from Leicester University (UK). She has published research articles in the area of Pure Mathematics in different international journals.



Rodyna A. Hosny is a Lecturer of pure mathematics in Mathematics Department at Zagazig University. She received the PhD degree in Pure Mathematics. Her research interests are in the areas of pure mathematics such as General topology, Soft topology, Rough sets, Fuzzy topology and Algebra. She is a referee in several international journals in the frame of pure mathematics. She has published research articles in various international journals.