

Lie Symmetry Analysis and Conservation Laws for a Fisher Equation with Variable Coefficients

María Rosa*, María S. Bruzón and María L. Gandarias.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Cádiz, 11500 Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain.

Received: 4 Feb. 2015, Revised: 4 Apr. 2015, Accepted: 5 Apr. 2015

Published online: 1 Nov. 2015

Abstract: In this paper, we study a generalized Fisher equation with variable coefficients which has applications governing the spatiotemporal dynamics of the bacterial population and tumor growth. Conservation laws for this equation are constructed for the first time by using the new conservation theorem due to Ibragimov as well as the Lie symmetries. Furthermore, some conservation laws are derived by employing the direct multipliers method of Anco and Bluman.

Keywords: Lie symmetries, Partial differential equation, Conservation Law, Fisher equation

1 Introduction

The classic simplest case of a nonlinear reaction-diffusion is the Fisher-Kolmogorov equation. This equation was introduced by Fisher in 1937 to model the advance of an advantageous gene through a geographic region. It is given by:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \rho \left(1 - \frac{P}{\bar{P}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $P(x, t)$ represents the gene frequency at location x and time t , D is the diffusion coefficient, \bar{P} is the carrying capacity, that is, the saturation value beyond which the population cannot grow anymore and ρ is the proliferation. D , \bar{P} and ρ are positives constants. Reaction-diffusion equations such as Fisher equation appear in a variety of problems ranging from population genetics to neurobiology and pattern formation [3]. The Fisher equation and its extensions are a family of reaction-diffusion models arising in population dynamics problems [1, 2], most prominently in cancer modelling [4, 5], applications to brain tumor dynamics [6], in the description of propagating crystallization/polymerization fronts [7], chemical kinetics [8], geochemistry [9] and many others fields.

Lie symmetry analysis of differential equations provides a powerful and fundamental framework to the exploitation of systematic procedures leading to the integration by quadrature of ordinary differential equations, to the

determination of invariant solutions of initial and boundary value problems and to the derivation of conservation laws.

The equation analyzed in this paper is a generalized Fisher equation with variable coefficients

$$u_t = f(u) + \frac{1}{c(x)} (c(x)g(u)u_x)_x, \quad (2)$$

where $g(u)$ is the diffusion coefficient depending on the variable u , being x and t the independent variables, $f(u)$ an arbitrary function and $c(x)$ an arbitrary function depending on the space variable x . Let $u(x, t)$ denote the density of tumor cells. In some particular cases this equation has been studied by other authors.

The Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov equation [10] given by

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(u), \quad (3)$$

provides a different generalization to the Fisher equation. This equation is reduced to the well known Huxley equation for $f(u) = u^2(1 - u)$ and has been studied by Hodgkin and Huxley and Kolmogorov. Another important equation of this class is for $f(u) = u(1 - u^2)$, the Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation which arises in the study of nerve cells [11, 12]. Over the last two decades a lot of attention has been paid on using Lie point symmetry methods to exploit the invariance of the generalized equation

$$u_t = (A(u)u_x)_x + B(u)u_x + C(u). \quad (4)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: maria.rosa@uca.es

A complete Lie symmetry classification for the non-linear heat equation (4) with $B = C = 0$ was described by [13]. Equation (4) with $B(u) = 0$ becomes the so called density dependent equation

$$u_t = f(u) + (g(u)u_x)_x, \quad (5)$$

which has been considered in [1]. The integrability properties of a generalized Fisher equation as well as explicit and numerical solutions for specific cases of nonintegrable systems were derived in [14]. In [15] the authors have considered equation (2) with $c(x) = x$, however they stated that a classification of (2) can only be achieved when g is linear in u . In [16] Eq. (2) with $c(x) = x$ has been studied from the point of view of the theory of symmetry reductions in partial differential equations and a group classification was obtained. All the reductions were derived from the optimal system of subalgebras. Some of the reduced equations admit Lie symmetries which yield to further reductions.

The idea of a conservation law has its origin in mechanics and physics. Since a large number of physical theories, including some of the 'laws of nature', are usually expressed as systems of nonlinear differential equations, it follows that conservation laws are useful in both general theory and the analysis of concrete systems. The classical Noether's theorem provides an elegant and constructive way to obtain conservation laws of PDEs which admit a variational principle. However, a limitation of Noether's theorem is that it restricts to variational systems, or says it depends on existence of Lagrangian, where the majority of the PDEs arising in applications do not hold this property. In [17] Anco and Bluman gave a general treatment of a direct conservation law method for partial differential equations expressed in a standard Cauchy-Kovaleskaya form, in particular for evolution equations

$$u_t = G(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_{nx}).$$

In [18] a general theorem on conservation laws for arbitrary differential equations which do not require the existence of Lagrangians was proved. Eq. (2) cannot be derived from a variational principle and Noether's theorem cannot be applied.

Due to the great interest in getting conservation laws and conserved quantities in recent papers [19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26] applying the concept of nonlinear self-adjointness and a theorem related to conservation laws due to Ibragimov [27], conservation laws have been derived for some generalized Fisher equations.

The aim of this paper is to derive nontrivial conservation laws for Eq. (2) by using two different approaches. First, we determine for equation (2) the subclasses of equations which are nonlinearly self-adjoint and we construct, by using the Lie generators admitted by (2) and the theorem in conservation laws [18], some non-trivial conservation laws. Then, taking into account that the multipliers of Anco and Bluman method without containing derivatives

are just the substitutions of nonlinear self-adjointness we also derive some conservation laws of Eq. (2) by using the multipliers direct method [17].

2 Nonlinearly self-adjoint equations

We will prove now by explicit constructions that equation (2) is nonlinearly self-adjoint in the sense of [27]. Equation (2) is written in the form

$$F \equiv u_t - f(u) - \frac{1}{c(x)} [c(x)g(u)u_x]_x = 0. \quad (6)$$

The adjoint equation to equation (6) has the form

$$F^* \equiv \frac{\delta(vF)}{\delta u} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - D_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} \right) - D_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_x} \right) + D_x^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{xx}} \right) - \dots, \quad (8)$$

denotes the variational derivatives (the Euler-Lagrange operator) and v is a new dependent variable. Here D_t , D_x are the total differentiations.

Definition 1. Equation (6) is said to be nonlinearly self-adjoint if the equation obtained from its adjoint equation by the substitution $v = h(x, t, u, u_x, \dots)$, with a certain function $h(x, t, u, u_x, \dots) \neq 0$ is identical to the original equation (6), i.e.:

$$F|_{v=h} = \lambda F.$$

In the particular case, in which $v = h(x, t, u)$ with $h_u \neq 0$, equation (6) is called weak self-adjoint [28]. If $v = h(u)$ with $h_u \neq 0$, equation (6) is called quasi self-adjoint [29].

2.1 The subclass of nonlinearly self-adjoint equations

Let us single out nonlinearly self-adjoint equations from the equations of the form (2). Eq. (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F^* &\equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta u} [v(u_t - f(u) - \frac{1}{c(x)} (c(x)g(u)u_x)_x)] \\ &= -g v_{xx} + \frac{c_x g v_x}{c} - v_t + \frac{c_{xx} g v}{c} \\ &\quad - \frac{(c_x)^2 g v}{c^2} - f_u v. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Setting $v = h(x, t, u)$ in (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &-g h_u u_{xx} - g h_{uu} (u_x)^2 - 2g h_{ux} u_x + \frac{c_x g h_u u_x}{c} - h_u u_t \\ &-g h_{xx} + \frac{c_x g h_x}{c} - h_t + \frac{c_{xx} g h}{c} - \frac{(c_x)^2 g h}{c^2} - f_u h = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^* - \lambda(u_t - f(u) - \frac{1}{c(x)}(c(x)g(u)u_x)_x) = \\
 g u_{xx} \lambda + g_u (u_x)^2 \lambda + \frac{c_x g u_x \lambda}{c} - u_t \lambda + f \lambda - g h_u u_{xx} \\
 - g h_{uu} (u_x)^2 - 2g h_{ux} u_x + \frac{c_x g h_u u_x}{c} - h_u u_t - g h_{xx} \\
 + \frac{c_x g h_x}{c} - h_t + \frac{c_{xx} g h}{c} - \frac{(c_x)^2 g h}{c^2} - f_u h.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the coefficients for the different derivatives of u we obtain that the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -h_u - \lambda &= 0, \\
 \lambda g - g h_u &= 0, \\
 -2g h_{ux} + \frac{c_x g h_u}{c} + \frac{\lambda c_x g}{c} &= 0, \\
 \lambda g_u - g h_{uu} &= 0, \\
 -g h_{xx} + \frac{c_x g h_x}{c} - h_t + \frac{c_{xx} g h}{c} - \frac{(c_x)^2 g h}{c^2} \\
 -f_u h + \lambda f &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and solving this system, we can state the following result:

Theorem 1. Eq. (2) is neither quasi self-adjoint nor weak self-adjoint, however Eq. (2) is nonlinearly self-adjoint, upon the substitution

$$h = h(x, t)$$

for any functions $f = f(u)$, $g = g(u)$ and $c = c(x)$ with $h = h(x, t)$ verifying the following equation

$$g h_{xx} - \frac{c_x g h_x}{c} + h_t - \frac{c_{xx} g h}{c} + \frac{(c_x)^2 g h}{c^2} + f_u h = 0. \tag{11}$$

For f , g and c different from constant we can distinguish the following two cases:

Case 1-For $g = g(u)$ arbitrary, $c = c(x)$ arbitrary and $f(u) = au + b$. Then $h = h(x, t)$ must satisfy the following two conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{xx} - \left(\frac{c_x}{c}h\right)_x &= 0, \\
 h_t + ah &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

whose solution is

$$h = c \left(\alpha + \beta \int \frac{1}{c} dx \right) e^{-at},$$

where α and β are integration constants.

Case 2- For $c = c(x)$ arbitrary, $g = g(u)$ arbitrary and $f = a \int g du$ with a constant. Then $h = h(x, t)$ must satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{xx} - \left(\frac{c_x}{c}h\right)_x + ah &= 0, \\
 h_t &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We point out that the substitutions $h(x, t)$ derived by using the condition of nonlinear self-adjointness correspond to the multipliers of Anco and Bluman method proposed in [17].

3 Lie symmetries

In this section, we perform Lie symmetry analysis for Eq. (2). Let us consider a one-parameter Lie group of infinitesimal transformations in (x, t, u) given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^* &= x + \varepsilon \xi(x, t, u) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\
 t^* &= t + \varepsilon \tau(x, t, u) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\
 u^* &= u + \varepsilon \phi(x, t, u) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where ε is the group parameter. Then one requires that this transformation leaves invariant the set of solutions of Eq. (2). This yields to the overdetermined, linear system of eleven equations for the infinitesimals $\xi(x, t, u)$, $\tau(x, t, u)$ and $\phi(x, t, u)$. The associated Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries is the set of vector fields of the form

$$\mathbf{v} = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \tag{15}$$

Having determined the infinitesimals, the symmetry variables are found by solving the invariant surface condition

$$\Phi \equiv \xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \phi = 0. \tag{16}$$

After solving the determining equations, we can distinguish nine different cases in which the symmetries are admitted by Eq. (2). The functional forms of $c(x)$, $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ as well as the corresponding generators are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Functions c_i , g_i , f_i with $i = 1 \dots 9$ and generators \mathbf{v}_k with $k = 1 \dots 9$.

i	c_i	g_i	f_i	\mathbf{v}_k
1	arbitrary	arbitrary	arbitrary	\mathbf{v}_1
2	$k_1 x^r$	$k_2 u^q$	$k_3 u^p$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$
3	$k_1 x^r$	$k_2 u^q$	$k_3 u$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$
4	$k_1 e^{rx}$	$k_2 u^q$	$k_3 u^p$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4$
5	$k_1 e^{rx}$	$\frac{k_2}{u^2}$	$k_3 u$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5$
6	$k_1 e^{rx}$	k_2	$k_3 u^p$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_6$
7	$k_1 e^{rx}$	$k_2 e^{qu}$	$k_3 e^{pu}$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4 (p \neq q)$
8	$k_1 e^{rx}$	$k_2 e^{qu}$	$\frac{2k_3 e^{qu} r^2}{9q}$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_7, \mathbf{v}_8$
9	$k_1 e^{rx}$	k_2	$k_3 e^{pu}$	$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_9$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{v}_1 &= \partial_t, \\
 \mathbf{v}_2 &= (q + 1 - p)x\partial_x + 2(1 - p)t\partial_t + 2u\partial_u, \\
 \mathbf{v}_3 &= e^{-k_3qt} \partial_t + k_3e^{-k_3qt} u\partial_u, \\
 \mathbf{v}_4 &= \partial_x, \\
 \mathbf{v}_5 &= \frac{e^{-rx}}{r} \partial_x + e^{-rx} u\partial_u, \\
 \mathbf{v}_6 &= \frac{(-x + k_2rt)(p - 1)}{2} \partial_x - (p - 1)t\partial_t + u\partial_u, \\
 \mathbf{v}_7 &= \frac{-3qe^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} \partial_x + e^{-\frac{rx}{3}} \partial_u, \\
 \mathbf{v}_8 &= -qt\partial_t + \partial_u, \\
 \mathbf{v}_9 &= \frac{p(-x + k_2rt)}{2} \partial_x - pt\partial_t + \partial_u.
 \end{aligned}$$

4 Conservation laws

4.1 General theorem for Equation (2)

We use the following theorem on conservation laws proved in [18].

Theorem 2. Any Lie point, Lie-Bäcklund or non-local symmetry

$$\mathbf{v} = \xi^i(x, u, u_{(1)}, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \eta(x, u, u_{(1)}, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \quad (17)$$

of equation (6) provides a conservation law $D_i(C^i) = 0$ for the system (6), (7). The conserved vector is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^i &= \xi^i \mathcal{L} + W \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_i} - D_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ij}} \right) + \dots \right] \\
 &+ D_j(W) \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ij}} - \dots \right] \quad (18)
 \end{aligned}$$

where W and \mathcal{L} are defined as follows:

$$W = \eta - \xi^j u_j, \quad \mathcal{L} = vF(x, u, u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(s)}). \quad (19)$$

We will write generators of point transformation group admitted by Eq. (2) in the form

$$\mathbf{v} = \xi^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u},$$

by setting $t = x^1$, $x = x^2$. The conservation law will be written

$$D_t(C^1) + D_x(C^2) = 0. \quad (20)$$

We will obtain the conservation laws for $g(u) \neq constant$ and by using the generators given in table 1.

1- Let us apply Theorem 2 to the nonlinearly self-adjoint Eq. (2) with $g(u)$, $c(x)$ arbitrary functions and $f = a \int g du$, that is

$$u_t = f(u) + \frac{1}{c(x)} (c(x)g(u)u_x)_x,$$

where $h = h(x)$ must satisfy (13).

We get the conservation law (20) provided by generator

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \partial_t,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^1 &= -g_u h_x u u_x + \frac{c_x g_u h u u_x}{c} + \frac{g h u}{k} - f h + D_x(B), \\
 C^2 &= g g_u h_x u u_{xx} - \frac{c_x g g_u h u u_{xx}}{c} + (g_u)^2 h_x u (u_x)^2 \\
 &- \frac{c_x (g_u)^2 h u (u_x)^2}{c} + \frac{c_x g g_u h_x u u_x}{c} - \frac{(c_x)^2 g g_u h u u_x}{c^2} \\
 &+ f g_u h_x u - \frac{c_x f g_u h u}{c} - D_t(B),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B = \left(g h_x k_l - \frac{c_x g h k_l}{c} \right) u - g h k_l u_x.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^1 &= -g_u h_x u u_x + \frac{c_x g_u h u u_x}{c} + \frac{g h u}{k} - f h, \\
 C^2 &= g g_u h_x u u_{xx} - \frac{c_x g g_u h u u_{xx}}{c} + (g_u)^2 h_x u (u_x)^2 \\
 &- \frac{c_x (g_u)^2 h u (u_x)^2}{c} + \frac{c_x g g_u h_x u u_x}{c} - \frac{(c_x)^2 g g_u h u u_x}{c^2} \\
 &+ f g_u h_x u - \frac{c_x f g_u h u}{c}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This conservation law has been published in [20].

2. Let us apply Theorem 2 to the nonlinearly self-adjoint equation

$$u_t = k_3 u^p + \frac{1}{x^r} [x^r k_2 u^{p-1} u_x]_x, \quad (21)$$

where $g = k_2 u^{p-1}$, $f = k_3 u^p$ and $c = x^r$. In this case $h = h(x)$ must satisfy

$$-\frac{h_x r}{x} + \frac{h r}{x^2} + \frac{h k_3 p}{k_2} + h_{xx} = 0,$$

whose solution in terms of Bessel is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h &= c_1 x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} r} J \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(r-1)^2}, \sqrt{\frac{k_3 p}{k_2}} x \right) \\
 &+ c_2 x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} r} Y \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(r-1)^2}, \sqrt{\frac{k_3 p}{k_2}} x \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us find the conservation law provided by generator

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = 2(1 - p)t\partial_t + 2u\partial_u,$$

in this case we have

$$W = 2u - 2(1 - p)tu_t$$

and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$C^1 = 2hu + D_x(B),$$

$$C^2 = -\frac{2hk_2ru^p}{px} - 2hk_2u^{p-1}u_x + \frac{2h_xk_2u^p}{p} - D_t(B)$$

and

$$B = -\frac{2hk_2rtu^p}{px} + \frac{2hk_2rtu^p}{x} + 2hk_2ptu^{p-1}u_x - 2hk_2tu^{p-1}u_x + \frac{2h_xk_2tu^p}{p} - 2h_xk_2tu^p.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$C^1 = 2hu,$$

$$C^2 = -\frac{2hk_2ru^p}{px} - 2hk_2u^{p-1}u_x + \frac{2h_xk_2u^p}{p}.$$

3. Let us apply Theorem 2 to the nonlinearly self-adjoint equation

$$u_t = k_3u + \frac{1}{x^r} [x^r k_2 u^q u_x]_x, \tag{22}$$

where $f = k_3u$, $g = k_2u^q$, $c = x^r$ and $h = h(x)$ satisfies

$$h_t + k_3h = 0, \\ -\frac{h_x r}{x} + \frac{hr}{x^2} + h_{xx},$$

whose solution is

$$h = (c_1x + c_2x^r)e^{-k_3t}.$$

• For generator

$$v_2 = qx\partial_x + 2u\partial_u,$$

we have

$$W = 2u - qxu_x$$

and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$C^1 = h_xqux + hqu + 2hu + D_x(B),$$

$$C^2 = -h_xk_2qu^q u_x - \frac{2hk_2ru^{q+1}}{x} - hk_2qu^q u_x - 2hk_2u^q u_x + 2h_xk_2u^{q+1} - D_t(B)$$

and

$$B = -hqux.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$C^1 = h_xqux + hqu + 2hu,$$

$$C^2 = -h_xk_2qu^q u_x - \frac{2hk_2ru^{q+1}}{x} - hk_2qu^q u_x - 2hk_2u^q u_x + 2h_xk_2u^{q+1}.$$

• For generator

$$v_3 = e^{-k_3qt} \partial_t + k_3e^{-k_3qt} u \partial_u,$$

we obtain a trivial conservation law.

4. Let us apply Theorem 2 to the nonlinearly self-adjoint equation

$$u_t = k_3u^p + \frac{1}{e^{rx}} [e^{rx} k_2 u^{p-1} u_x]_x, \tag{23}$$

where $f = k_3u^p$, $g = k_2u^{p-1}$ and $c = e^{rx}$. Here $h = h(x)$ must satisfy $h = h(x)$ satisfies (13)

$$-h_x r + \frac{hk_3 p}{k_2} + h_{xx} = 0,$$

whose solution is

$$h = \begin{cases} (c_1x + c_2) e^{\frac{rx}{2}} & \Delta = 0 \\ c_1 e^{\frac{(r+\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}} + c_2 e^{\frac{(r-\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}} & \Delta > 0 \\ e^{\frac{rx}{2}} \left(c_1 \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right) + c_2 \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right) \right) & \Delta < 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\Delta = r^2 - \frac{4k_3p}{k_2}$. Let us find the conservation law provided by generator $v_4 = \partial_x$, we have $W = -u_x$ and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$C^1 = h_xu + D_x(B),$$

$$C^2 = -h_xk_2u^{p-1}u_x - hk_3u^p - D_t(B)$$

and

$$B = -hu.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$C^1 = h_xu,$$

$$C^2 = -h_xk_2u^{p-1}u_x - hk_3u^p.$$

5. Let us apply Theorem 2 to the nonlinearly self-adjoint equation

$$u_t = k_3u + \frac{1}{e^{rx}} \left[e^{rx} \frac{k_2}{u^2} u_x \right]_x, \tag{24}$$

where $f = k_3u$, $g = \frac{k_2}{u^2}$ and $c = e^{rx}$. Here $h(x, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h_t + k_3h &= 0, \\ h_{xx} - rh_x &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whose solution is

$$h = (c_1 e^{rx} + c_2) e^{-k_3t}.$$

• For generator $\mathbf{v}_4 = \partial_x$, we have $W = -u_x$ and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= h_x u + D_x(B), \\ C^2 &= -\frac{h_x k_2 u_x}{u^2} - D_t(B) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = -hu.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= h_x u, \\ C^2 &= -\frac{h_x k_2 u_x}{u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

• For generator $\mathbf{v}_5 = \frac{e^{-rx}}{r} \partial_x + e^{-rx} u \partial_u$, we have

$$W = u e^{-rx} - \frac{e^{-rx}}{r} u_x$$

and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= \frac{h_x u e^{-rx}}{r} + D_x(B), \\ C^2 &= \frac{h_x k_2 e^{-rx}}{u} - \frac{h_x k_2 u_x e^{-rx}}{r u^2} - D_t(B) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = -\frac{h u e^{-rx}}{r}.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= \frac{h_x u e^{-rx}}{r}, \\ C^2 &= \frac{h_x k_2 e^{-rx}}{u} - \frac{h_x k_2 u_x e^{-rx}}{r u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

6. Let us apply Theorem 2 to the nonlinearly self-adjoint equation

$$u_t = k e^{qu} + \frac{1}{e^{rx}} [e^{rx} e^{qu} u_x]_x, \quad (25)$$

where $f = \frac{2k_2 r^2 e^{qu}}{9q}$, $g = k_2 e^{qu}$ and $c = e^{rx}$. Here $h(x)$ satisfies

$$2hr^2 - 9h_x r + 9h_{xx} = 0,$$

whose solution is

$$h = c_1 e^{\frac{2rx}{3}} + c_2 e^{\frac{rx}{3}}.$$

• For generator $\mathbf{v}_4 = \partial_x$, we have $W = -u_x$ and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= h_x u + D_x(B), \\ C^2 &= -h_x k_2 e^{qu} u_x - \frac{2h k_2 r^2 e^{qu}}{9q} - D_t(B) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = -hu.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= h_x u, \\ C^2 &= -h_x k_2 e^{qu} u_x - \frac{2h k_2 r^2 e^{qu}}{9q}. \end{aligned}$$

• For generator $\mathbf{v}_7 = \frac{-3qe^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} \partial_x + e^{-\frac{rx}{3}} \partial_u$, we have

$$W = \frac{3qe^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} u_x + e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}$$

and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= -\frac{3h_x q u e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} + \frac{h q u e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2} + h e^{-\frac{rx}{3}} + D_x(B), \\ C^2 &= \frac{3h_x k_2 q u_x e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} - \frac{h k_2 q u_x e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2} - \frac{h k_2 r e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}}{3} \\ &\quad + h_x k_2 e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}} - D_t(B) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = \frac{3h q u e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r}.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C^1 &= -\frac{3h_x q u e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} + \frac{h q u e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2} + h e^{-\frac{rx}{3}}, \\ C^2 &= \frac{3h_x k_2 q u_x e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2r} - \frac{h k_2 q u_x e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}}{2} - \frac{h k_2 r e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}}{3} \\ &\quad + h_x k_2 e^{qu-\frac{rx}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

• For generator $\mathbf{v}_8 = -qt \partial_t + \partial_u$, we have

$$W = qt u_t + 1$$

and Eqs. (18) yield the conservation law (20) with

$$C^1 = -\frac{2hk_2qr^2te^{qu}u_{xx}}{9} - \frac{2h_xk_2r^2te^{qu}x}{9} - h_{xx} + hk_2qrt e^{qu}u_x - h_xk_2qt e^{qu}u_x + D_x(B),$$

$$C^2 = \frac{2hk_2^2qr^2te^{2qu}u_{xxx}}{9} + \frac{2hk_2^2q^2r^2te^{2qu}(u_x)^2x}{9} + \frac{2hk_2^2qr^3te^{2qu}u_{xx}}{9} + \frac{4hk_2^2r^4te^{2qu}x}{81} + \frac{2hk_2r^2e^{qu}x}{9} - hk_2^2qte^{2qu}u_{xx} + h_xk_2^2qte^{2qu}u_{xx} - hk_2^2q^2rte^{2qu}(u_x)^2 + h_xk_2^2q^2te^{2qu}(u_x)^2 - hk_2^2qr^2te^{2qu}u_x + h_xk_2^2qte^{2qu}u_x - \frac{2hk_2^2r^3te^{2qu}}{9} + \frac{2h_xk_2^2r^2te^{2qu}}{9} - hk_2re^{qu} + h_xk_2e^{qu} - D_t(B)$$

and

$$B = \frac{2hk_2r^2te^{qu}x}{9} + hx + hk_2qt e^{qu}u_x.$$

We simplify the conserved vector by transferring the terms of the form $D_x(\dots)$ from C^1 to C^2 and obtain

$$C^1 = -\frac{2hk_2qr^2te^{qu}u_{xx}}{9} - \frac{2h_xk_2r^2te^{qu}x}{9} - h_{xx} + hk_2qrt e^{qu}u_x - h_xk_2qt e^{qu}u_x,$$

$$C^2 = \frac{2hk_2^2qr^2te^{2qu}u_{xxx}}{9} + \frac{2hk_2^2q^2r^2te^{2qu}(u_x)^2x}{9} + \frac{2hk_2^2qr^3te^{2qu}u_{xx}}{9} + \frac{4hk_2^2r^4te^{2qu}x}{81} + \frac{2hk_2r^2e^{qu}x}{9} - hk_2^2qte^{2qu}u_{xx} + h_xk_2^2qte^{2qu}u_{xx} - hk_2^2q^2rte^{2qu}(u_x)^2 + h_xk_2^2q^2te^{2qu}(u_x)^2 - hk_2^2qr^2te^{2qu}u_x + h_xk_2^2qte^{2qu}u_x - \frac{2hk_2^2r^3te^{2qu}}{9} + \frac{2h_xk_2^2r^2te^{2qu}}{9} - hk_2re^{qu} + h_xk_2e^{qu}.$$

4.2 Direct multipliers method for Equation (2)

In [17] Anco and Bluman gave a general treatment of a direct conservation law method for partial differential equations expressed in a standard Cauchy-Kovaleskaya form, in particular for evolution equations

$$u_t = G(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_{nx}).$$

The nontrivial conservation laws are characterized by a multiplier λ with no dependence on u_t satisfying

$$\hat{E}[u](\Lambda u_t - \Lambda G(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_{nx})) = 0.$$

Here

$$\hat{E}[u] := \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - D_t \frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} - D_x \frac{\partial}{\partial u_x} + D_x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{xx}} + \dots$$

The conserved current must satisfy

$$\Lambda = \hat{E}[u]\Phi'$$

and the flux Φ^x is given by [30]

$$\Phi^x = -D_x^{-1}(\Lambda G) - \frac{\partial \Phi^t}{\partial u_x} G + GD_x \left(\frac{\partial \Phi^t}{\partial u_{xx}} \right) + \dots$$

The conservation law will be written

$$D_t(\Phi^t) + D_x(\Phi^x) = 0.$$

For Eq. (2) by using the Maple package GeM, we get the following multipliers. Each multiplier determines a corresponding conserved density and flux.

1. For $f(u) = u, g(u) = u^q, c(x) = e^x$.

We get that multiplier Λ must satisfy (12) and the solution is

$$\Lambda = k_1e^{x-t} + k_2e^{-t}.$$

We get the the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{x-t}, & \Lambda = e^{-t}, \\ \phi^t = e^{x-t}u, & \phi^t = e^{-t}u, \\ \phi^x = -e^{x-t}u^qu_x, & \phi^x = -e^{-t}u^qu_x - \frac{e^{-t}u^{q+1}}{q+1}. \end{cases}$$

2. For $f(u) = u, g(u) = u^q, c(x) = x^r$. We get that multiplier Λ must satisfy (12) and the solution is

$$\Lambda = k_1x^r e^{-t} + k_2x e^{-t}.$$

We get the the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = x^r e^{-t}, & \Lambda = x e^{-t}, \\ \phi^t = x^r e^{-t}u, & \phi^t = x e^{-t}u, \\ \phi^x = -e^{-t}u^qu_x x^r, & \phi^x = -e^{-t}u^qu_x x - \frac{(r-1)e^{-t}u^{q+1}}{q+1}. \end{cases}$$

3. For $f(u) = au^p, g(u) = pu^{(p-1)}, c(x) = e^{rx}$

We get that Λ must satisfy condition (13)

$$\Lambda_{xx} - r\Lambda_x + a\Lambda = 0, \tag{26}$$

the solutions with $\Delta = r^2 - 4a$ are:

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} k_1x e^{rx/2} + k_2e^{rx/2} & \Delta = 0 \\ k_1 e^{\frac{(\sqrt{\Delta}+r)x}{2}} + k_2 e^{\frac{(r-\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}} & \Delta > 0 \\ e^{\frac{rx}{2}} \left(k_1 \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right) + k_2 \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right) \right) & \Delta < 0 \end{cases}$$

• For $\Delta = 0$ we obtain the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = xe^{\frac{rx}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = xe^{\frac{rx}{2}}u, \\ \phi^x = -pu^{p-1}u_xxe^{\frac{rx}{2}} - \frac{2au^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}}}{r} + \frac{4au^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}}}{r^2}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{rx}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{rx}{2}}, \\ \phi^x = -pu^{p-1}u_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}} - \frac{2au^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}}}{r}. \end{cases}$$

• For $\Delta > 0$ we obtain the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{(\sqrt{\Delta}+r)x}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = e^{\frac{(\sqrt{\Delta}+r)x}{2}}u, \\ \phi^x = \frac{(\sqrt{\Delta}-r)u^pe^{\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+\frac{rx}{2}}}{2a} - \frac{pu^{p-1}u_xe^{\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+\frac{rx}{2}}}{a} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{(r-\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{(r-\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}}, \\ \phi^x = -pu^{p-1}u_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}u^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}}}{2} - \frac{ru^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}}}{2}. \end{cases}$$

• For $\Delta < 0$ we obtain the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^x = -\frac{ru^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}u^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} \\ - pu^{p-1}u_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^x = -\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}u^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} - \frac{ru^pe^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} \\ - pu^{p-1}u_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right). \end{cases}$$

4. For $f(u) = ae^{pu}$, $g(u) = pe^{pu}$, $c(x) = e^{rx}$.

We get that Λ must satisfy condition (26) with $\Delta = r^2 - 4a$.

• For $\Delta = 0$ we obtain the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = xe^{\frac{rx}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = xe^{\frac{rx}{2}}u, \\ \phi^x = -pu_xxe^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} - \frac{rx e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu}}{2} + e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{rx}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{rx}{2}}, \\ \phi^x = -pu_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} - \frac{r e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu}}{2}. \end{cases}$$

• For $\Delta > 0$ we obtain the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{(r+\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = e^{\frac{(\sqrt{\Delta}+r)x}{2}}u, \\ \phi^x = -pu_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+pu} - \frac{r e^{\frac{rx}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+pu}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}e^{\frac{rx}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+pu}}{2} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{(r-\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}}, \\ \phi^t = e^{\frac{(r-\sqrt{\Delta})x}{2}}u, \\ \phi^x = -pu_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+pu} - \frac{r e^{\frac{rx}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+pu}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}e^{\frac{rx}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}x}{2}+pu}}{2}. \end{cases}$$

• For $\Delta < 0$ we obtain the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{rx}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^x = -\frac{r e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} \\ - pu_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda = e^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^t = ue^{\frac{rx}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right), \\ \phi^x = -\frac{r e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}e^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right)}{2} \\ - pu_xe^{\frac{rx}{2}+pu} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}x}{2}\right). \end{cases}$$

5. For $f(u) = au^p$, $g(u) = pu^p$, $c(x) = x^r$.

We get that Λ must satisfy condition (13) and the solution is

$$\Lambda = k_1 x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}r} J\left(\frac{1}{2}|r-1|, \sqrt{ax}\right) + k_2 x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}r} Y\left(\frac{1}{2}|r-1|, \sqrt{ax}\right).$$

We get the the following multipliers and the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes:

$$\Lambda = J\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right) x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\phi^t = J\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right) x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} u,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^x &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} B_7 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} B_6 \\ &\quad - p u^{p-1} u_x x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} B_4 - \frac{r}{2} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} B_4 + \frac{1}{2} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} B_4 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int x^{\frac{r+1}{2}} u^p a (-B_5 - 2B_4 - B_3) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int x^{\frac{r-3}{2}} u^p B_4 (r^2 - 2r + 1) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int \sqrt{a} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} - 1} (2B_1 - 2J_2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|+2}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), & B_2 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|-2}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), \\ B_3 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|+4}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), & B_4 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), \\ B_5 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|-4}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), & B_6 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2} + 1, \sqrt{ax}\right), \\ B_7 &= J\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2} - 1, \sqrt{ax}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda = Y\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right) x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}r},$$

$$\phi^t = Y\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right) x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}r} u,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^x &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} B_7 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} B_6 \\ &\quad - p u^{p-1} u_x x^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} B_4 - \frac{r}{2} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} B_4 + \frac{1}{2} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} B_4 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int x^{\frac{r+1}{2}} u^p a (-B_5 - 2B_4 - B_3) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int x^{\frac{r-3}{2}} u^p B_4 (r^2 - 2r + 1) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int \sqrt{a} u^p x^{\frac{r}{2} - 1} (2B_1 - 2B_2) dx \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|+2}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), & B_2 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|-2}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), \\ B_3 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|+4}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), & B_4 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), \\ B_5 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|-4}{2}, \sqrt{ax}\right), & B_6 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2} + 1, \sqrt{ax}\right), \\ B_7 &= Y\left(\frac{|r-1|}{2} - 1, \sqrt{ax}\right). \end{aligned}$$

5 Conclusions

We have found for the Fisher equation with variable coefficients (2) conservation laws using two different approaches. First he have determined the subclasses of equations which are nonlinearly self-adjoint and in order to derive the conservation laws associated to symmetry generators we have applied the classical Lie method to Eq. (2). By using the property of nonlinear self-adjointness of (2) and the general theorem of conservation laws due to Ibragimov, we have constructed some nontrivial conservation laws for this equation associated with symmetries of the differential equation. It has been proved [31], that the multiplier $\Lambda(x, t, u)$ is identical to the substitution $h(x, t, u)$ of nonlinear self-adjointness, taking this fact into account, we have obtained the corresponding conserved densities and fluxes by using the direct method of the multipliers of Anco and Bluman. The conservation laws by multiplier method are obtained by integral formulae while the conservation laws via nonlinear self-adjointness method are constructed by the formulae in Theorem 2 which avoids the integrals of functions.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the support of Junta de Andalucía FQM-201 group. M. Rosa warmly thanks the international conference on Nonlinear Dynamics and complexity for their support. M.L. Gandarias and M.S. Bruzón acknowledge the support of DGICYT project MTM2009-11875 and FEDER funds.

References

- [1] J.D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg (2002).
- [2] V. Volpert and S. Petrovskii, *Physics of Life Reviews* **6**, 267310 (2003).
- [3] X Yu, YT Gao, ZY Sun, Y Liu - *Nonlinear Dynamics*, **67**, 1023-1030 (2012).
- [4] K. R. Swanson, C.Bridgea, J.D. Murray, C. Ellsworth and Jr. Alvord, *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* **216**, 1-10 (2003).
- [5] V. M. Pérez-García, G.F. Calvo, J. Belmonte-Beitia, D. Diego and L.A. Pérez- Romasanta, *Phys. Rev. E* **84**, 01921 (2011).

- [6] J. Belmonte-Beitia, G.F. Calvo and V.M. Pérez-García, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **19**, 3267-3283 (2014).
- [7] J.F. Douglas, K. Efimenko, D. A. Fischer, F. R. Phelan and J. Genzer, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 10324 (2007).
- [8] I. Epstein and J. A. Pojman, *An Introduction to Nonlinear Chemical Dynamics*, Oxford University Press, New York (1998).
- [9] P. Grindrod, *The Theory and Applications of Reaction-Diffusion Equations*, Oxford University Press, New York (1996).
- [10] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovskii, N. Piscounov and P. Pelce, *Dynamics of curved fronts*, Boston, Academic Press (1988).
- [11] R. Fitzhugh, *Biophys J.* **1**, 445-466 (1961).
- [12] J.S. Nagumo, S. Arimoto and S. Yoshizawa, *Proc IRE* **50**, 2061-2071, (1962).
- [13] L.V. Ovsiannikov, *Dokl. Akad. nauk SSSR* **125**, 492-495 (1959).
- [14] P.S. Bindu, M. Senthilvelan and M. Lakshmanan, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **14**, 1577-1600 (2004).
- [15] A.H. Bokhari, R.A. Al-Rubae and F.D. Zaman, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* **16**, 2689
- [16] M. Rosa, M.S. Bruzón and M.L. Gandarias, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* **25**, 74-83 (2015).
- [17] S. C. Anco and G. Bluman, *Euro. Jnl of Applied mathematics* **41**, 567-585 (2002).
- [18] N.H. Ibragimov, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **333**, 311-28 (2007).
- [19] M.L. Gandarias, M.S. Bruzón and M. Rosa *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **18**, 1600-1606 (2013).
- [20] M. Rosa, M.S. Bruzón and M.L. Gandarias, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry* **53**, 941-948 (2014).
- [21] M.L. Gandarias, M.S. Bruzón and M. Rosa, *Journal of Applied Nonlinear Dynamics* **1**, 1-6, (2015).
- [22] R. Tracina, M.S. Bruzón, M.L. Gandarias and M. Torrisi, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* **19**, 3036-3043 (2014).
- [23] M. Torrisi and R. Tracina, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **14**, 1496-1502 (2013).
- [24] N. A. Kudryashov, D. I. Sinelshchikov, M. V. Demina, *Physics Letters A*, **375**, 1074-1079 (2011).
- [25] J.C.S. Sampaio and I.L. Freire, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2014**, Article ID 804703 (2014).
- [26] B. Muatjetjeja and C.M. Khalique, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **18**, 851-857 (2013).
- [27] N.H. Ibragimov, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **44**, 432002 (2011).
- [28] M.L. Gandarias, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 262001 (2011).
- [29] N.H. Ibragimov *Preprint Archives of ALGA* **4**, 55-60 (2007).
- [30] N. Euler and M. Euler, *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics* **6**, 489504 (2009).
- [31] Z.Y. Zhang, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* **20**, 338-351 (2015).



She works as a teacher in the department of Mathematics at the University of Cádiz, Spain. She has published some papers in various international journals of high impact factor.



María S. Bruzón carried out his studies at the University of Seville, Spain. She is Associated Professor of Mathematics of the Department of Mathematics of the University of Cadiz. Hers current research interests include group analysis, methods of group transformations: classical symmetries, nonclassical methods, direct methods and conservation laws applied to ordinary differential equations and partial differential equations. The research and collaborations have resulted in a large number of publications in leading peer-reviewed journals, over 55, as well as congress proceedings and abstracts.



María L. Gandarias is a Full Professor of Mathematics in the Department of Mathematics of the University of Cadiz, Spain. She has got her PhD. degree, in Mathematics, at the University of Seville, Spain. Hers current research interests include group analysis, methods of group transformation: classical symmetries, nonclassical methods, direct methods and conservation laws applied to ordinary differential equations and partial differential equation. She has published more than ninety five scientific papers about these topics.