

Sohag Journal of Mathematics An International Journal

http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/sjm/090101

Generalized Solution to the Second-Order Quasi-Linear Elliptic Partial Differential Equation under Form-Boundary Conditions in the Euclidean Space

 R^l , $l \geq 3$

Mykola Yaremenko

National Technical University of Ukraine, "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute", Kyiv, Ukraine

Received: 7 Jun. 2021, Revised: 21 Sep. 2021, Accepted: 23 Oct. 2021

Published online: 1 Jan. 2022

Abstract: We study the existence of a generalized solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation under new form-boundary conditions on its coefficients; prove the analog of the Minty-Browder theorem for operator generated by this elliptic differential equation.

Keywords: elliptic partial differential equation, general solution, Minty-Browder's theorem, form-boundary condition, semi-group, monotone operator

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study a new class of differential operators and apply these operators to establish the existence of a weak general solution of a classic second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation under the new conditions on its coefficients [1 - 5, 21-24] ([1 - 42]).

Partial differential equations have been being studied for a long time and there is extensive literature on the conditions on their coefficients under which there are the solutions of these equations in a specific functional space [6, 18, 44, 23]. Let us accentuate several fundamental works the most relevant to the present article. A general framework was established in the Hilbert program in 1900 in so-called Hilbert's problems 19 and 20, these problems address the questions about existence and regularity of solutions of boundary value problems. These problems were studied and partially solved by S. Bernstein, J. Serrin [37], G. Stampacchia, Poincare and officially resolved by Ennio de Giorgi and, John Forbes Nash [29]. Ennio de Giorgi's method was being developed by O. Ladyzhenskaya, N. Uraltseva, O.A. Solonnikov [21-23]. In 1960, J. Moser applied the maximum principle and created a new method of studying the regularity of the

solutions of elliptic differential equations and Harnack's inequality [27, 23] under the assumption that the coefficients are bounded measurable and satisfy a uniform ellipticity condition, these results also were developed by O. Ladyzhenskaya, N. Uraltseva, O.A. Solonnikov.

John Forbes Nash's method has been less popular for a long time and only relatively recently obtain due attention in works U.A. Semenov, L. Hormander, M. Clement, C. Villani, H. Lindblad, and others. Operator approaches were developed by G.Minty in the 1960s [24, 25], he studied maximal monotone operators, in 1963 M. I. Visik introduced the class of elliptic differential operators in the generalized divergence form, F.Browder and H. Brezis [25-27] studied pseudo-monotone operators, T. Kato, Y. Komura [16-20], M. Crandall and A. Pazy [9] generalized the Hille-Yosida-Komura theory in Hilbert spaces [16, 19, 46], which proves correspondence between continuous semi-groups of contractions and maximal monotone operators in Hilbert space, next, was proven that in Banach space m-dissipative operators generate contraction semi-groups [26, 46]. I. Miyadera explored the Komura theorem and the Crandall-Liggett theorem, studied the Kobayashi generation theorem of nonlinear semigroups [26]. The important results of nonlinear problems have been

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: math.kiev@gmail.com



obtained in the works of I.V. Skripnik, M.M. Kukharchuk, who introduced the operators that are studying in this paper [39, 40].

Let X be given Banach space and its dual or adjoint space X^* that consists of all bounded linear functionals from X to R and endowed with the operator norm defined

$$||f||^* = \sup_{0 \neq x \in X} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle|}{||x||} = \sup_{||x|| = 1} |\langle f, x \rangle|.$$

The Banach space is called reflexive if the natural map

$$\begin{cases} F_X: X \to X^{**} \\ F_X\left(x\right)\left(f\right) = f\left(x\right) & \forall x \in X, \ \forall f \in X^* \end{cases}$$

is surjective or mapping "onto" [46].

The relevant exemplars of Banach reflexive spaces are Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, their importance can be justified by their extensive and fundamental applications in the theory of differential equations and other branches of mathematics.

A Lebesgue space $L^p(R^l, d^lx)$ for 1 can bedefined as a set of all real-valued measurable functions defined almost everywhere such that the Lebesgue integral of its absolute value raised to the p- th power is a finite number with its natural norm

$$||u||_{L^{p}} = \left(\int |u(x_{1},...,x_{n})|^{p} d^{l}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} =$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} |u(x)|^{p} d^{l}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \langle |u|^{p} \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The dual or adjoint space of $L^p(R^l, d^lx)$ for 1has a natural isomorphism with $L^{q}(R^{l}, d^{l}x)$, where $\frac{1}{p}$ + $\frac{1}{q} = 1$ or $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. We will use the inequality

$$\langle f,g\rangle \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \leq \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \|f\|_p^p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^q q} \|g\|_q^q,$$

where $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^l), \ g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^l), \ \varepsilon > 0$, and its consequence

$$\left\langle f, f \left| f \right|^{p-2} \right\rangle = \left\| f \right\|_{L^p(R^l)} \left\| f \left| f \right|^{p-2} \right\|_{L^q(R^l)} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^l)}^p + \frac{1}{q} \|f|f|^{p-2} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^l)}^{p-1} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^l)}^p.$$

the $f \in L^p$ yields $f | f |^{p-2} \in L^q$ that justify the last equation

Let us denote $W_k^p(R^l, d^lx)$ given Sobolev space for 1 with a natural norm

$$\|u\|_{W_k^p} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \int \left|u^{(i)}(x_1,...,x_n)\right|^p d^l x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} =$$

$$= \left(\|u\|_p^p + \sum_{1 \le |s| \le m} \|D^s u\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \left\| u^{(i)} \right\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and if $p = \infty$ we have

$$||u||_{W_k^{\infty}} = \max_{i=0,1,...,k} \left(ess \sup_{R^l} \left| u^{(i)}(x_1,...,x_n) \right| \right) =$$

$$= \max_{i=0,1,k} \left| \left| u^{(i)} \right| \right|_{\infty}.$$

The norm of $W_k^p(R^l, d^lx)$ space is equivalent to the norm

$$||u||_{\tilde{W}_k^p} = ||u||_p + ||u^{(i)}||_p$$

that takes into consideration only the firth and the last summands of the $W_k^p(R^l, d^lx)$ norm.

The dual space of $W_k^p(R^l, d^lx)$ for 1 is $W_{-k}^{q}\left(R^{l},\,d^{l}x\right)$, and the dual space of $W_{-k}^{p}\left(R^{l},\,d^{l}x\right)$ for $1 and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ is $W_{k}^{q}\left(R^{l},\,d^{l}x\right)$, Sobolev spaces

To explain the difference between classical theory and the method which is developing in present work we need to formulate Minty-Browder's theorem.

Theorem 1.(Minty-Browder).

A bounded, continuous, coercive and monotone operator Q from a real, separable reflexive Banach space Xinto its adjunct space X^* is surjective. That means that for each continuous linear functional $f \in X^*$ exists a solution $g \in X$ of the equation

$$Q(g) = f$$
.

In the conditions of Minty-Browder's theorem, the operator $Q: X \to X^*$ maps a separable reflexive Banach space Xinto its dual space X^* . If we assume $\dot{X} = W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ it is automatically following that X^* must be in $W_{-1}^q(R^l, d^lx)$, and let denote Q = A then we have that in order Minty-Browder's theorem was true the operator must be bounded, continuous, coercive and monotone operator and mapping as

$$A: W_1^p\left(R^l, d^lx\right) \to W_{-1}^q\left(R^l, d^lx\right).$$

To define conditions on the coefficients under which second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation will have a general solution we need to describe several functional classes.

We are defining a functional class form-bounded functions PK_{β} by formula

$$PK_{\beta}(A) = \left\{ f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^l, d^l x) : \right\}$$



$$\left|\left\langle f|h|^{2}\right\rangle\right| \leq \beta\left\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}h,A^{\frac{1}{2}}h\right\rangle + c\left(\beta\right)\left|\left|h\right|\right|_{2}^{2}\right\},$$

where a $h \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\beta > 0$ is a form-boundary and $c(\beta) \in R^1$.

To understand this condition, we consider in Euclidean space R^l , $l \ge 3$ the simple parabolic partial differential equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u$$
,

which has explicit heat kernel

$$p_0(t, x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right), \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^l.$$

Applying this formula one can study more general heat equation presented as

$$Lu = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial_t} - \sum_{i,k=1,\dots,l} a_{kj}(t,x) \nabla_k \nabla_j - \sum_{k=1,\dots,l} b_k(t,x) \nabla_k \right] u(t,x) = 0$$

with conditions $\exists v, \mu : 0 < v \le \mu < \infty$ such that

$$v \sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2 \le \sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} a_{ij}(t,x) \xi_i \xi_j \le \mu \sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2$$

these are usual boundary conditions and linear perturbation-potential $b_k(t,x): \mathbb{R}^l \mapsto \mathbb{R}^l$.

We will use the notations

$$\nabla \circ a \circ \nabla u = \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u,$$

$$b\nabla u = b \circ \nabla u = \sum_{i=1,\dots,l} b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u.$$

Let us consider fundamental solutions

$$p_0(t, x; \tau, y) =$$

$$(2\pi)^{-l}\int \exp\left(ix\eta - \int_{\tau}^{t}a(\gamma,y)\,\eta^{2}d\gamma\right)d\eta,$$

of parametric equation

$$[\partial_t - a_{kj}(t, y)\nabla_k \nabla_j]u(t, x) = 0.$$

It can be shown that

$$p_0(t, x; \tau, y) =$$

$$(2\pi)^{-l} \int \exp\left(ix\eta - \int_{\tau}^{t} a(\gamma, y) \, \eta \cdot \eta \, d\gamma\right) d\eta =$$

$$= \left(2\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-l} \left(\det\left(\int_{\tau}^{t} a(\gamma, y) \, d\gamma\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\exp\left(\left(-\int_{\tau}^{t}a\left(\gamma,y\right)d\gamma\right)^{-1}\frac{\left(x,x\right)}{4}\right).$$

The elliptic condition gives us estimations

$$\begin{split} v \sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2(t-\tau) &\leq \int_{\tau}^{t} a(\gamma, y) \, d\gamma \eta \cdot \eta \leq \mu \sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2(t-\tau), \\ v \sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2(t-\tau)^{-1} &\leq \left(\int_{\tau}^{t} a(\gamma, y) \, d\gamma \right)^{-1} \eta \cdot \eta \leq \\ \mu \sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2(t-\tau)^{-1}, \end{split}$$

and we are obtaining Gaussian estimations [6, 10, 16] for our heat kernel as

$$\begin{split} \left(2\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-l} v^{\frac{-l}{2}}(t-\tau)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-v\left|x\right|^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right) &\leq p_0(t,x;\tau,y) \leq \\ &\leq \left(2\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-l} \mu^{\frac{l}{2}}(t-\tau)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\left|x\right|^2}{4\mu(t-\tau)}\right). \end{split}$$

The fundamental solution of the last equation is

$$p_1(t,x;\tau,z) = p_0(t,x-z;\tau,z) +$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{t} d\eta \int p_0(t,x-y;\eta,y) F(\eta,y;\tau,z) dy,$$

where the $F(\eta, y; \tau, z)$ is heat kernel density, fundamental solution. It can be rewritten as

$$p_1(t,x;\tau,z) = p_0(t,x-z;\tau,z) +$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{t} d\eta \int p_0(t,x-y;\eta,y) b \circ \nabla p_0(\eta,y;\tau,z) dy.$$

Let us assume $b \circ a^{-1} \circ b \in PK_{\beta}(A)$ for some $\beta < 1$ then

$$|\langle \nabla h \circ bh \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\beta} \, \langle Ah, h \rangle + c \, (\beta) \, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\beta}} ||h||_2^2, \quad h \in D \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

and according to the KLMN-theorem [46], there is a preserving C_0 - semigroups of L^∞ -contraction $e^{-t\Lambda_n}$, $\frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}} \leq n \leq \infty$ such that $\Lambda_2 = A + b \circ \nabla$.

If we assume that A is Laplace operator $A = \Delta$ then we are obtaining a form-boundary estimation

$$|\langle \nabla h \circ bh \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\beta} \|\nabla h\|^2 + \frac{c(\beta)}{2\beta} \|h\|^2 \quad \forall h \in D(\Delta).$$

As an exemplar, we formulate a theorem.

Theorem 2. (a consequence of [16]). Presume that, for some $q > \frac{l}{2}$, l > 2,

$$a(\cdot): \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^l \otimes \mathbb{R}^l, \quad a(\cdot) \in \left[L^1_{loc}(\Omega)\right]^{l \times l},$$



$$v\sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} a_{ij}(t,x)\xi_i\xi_j$$
 for some $v > 0$

and perturbation $b \cdot \nabla$ satisfies a condition

$$b \circ a^{-1} \circ b \in L^q + L^{\infty}$$
.

Then

1. The operator $B_1 = B_1(b) = \nabla \circ b$ of a domain

$$D(B_1) = \{ u \in L^1; |\nabla u| \in L^1_{loc}; b \circ \nabla u \in L^1 \}$$

is A_1 -bunded with relative bound zero namely $D(B_1) \supset D(A_1)$ and holds

$$||B_1h||_1 \le \alpha ||A_1h||_1 + k(\alpha) ||h||_1, \quad h \in D(A_1)$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ and $k(\alpha) < \infty$.

2. There are s > 0 and $\beta(s) < 1$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{s} \|B_{1}e^{-tA_{1}}h\|_{1} dt \leq \beta(s) \|h\|_{1}, \quad h \in D(A_{1}).$$

3. The operator A_1+B_1 of the domain $D(A_1)$ generates C_0 - semigroup T_1^t consistent with $T^t=\exp\left(-t\left(A+b\circ\nabla\right)\right)$ and there is an estimation

$$||T_1^t||_{1\to 1} \le \frac{1}{1-\beta(s)} \exp\left(-t\frac{\log\left(1-\beta(s)\right)}{s}\right), \quad t>0.$$

Counterexample. Let us consider a linear operator $-\Lambda_p \supset \nabla a \nabla - b \nabla$ of the domain $D(A_p)$ that the operator generates a holomorphic semigroup in $L^p(R^l,d^lx)$ -space. Let holds a condition $b \circ a^{-1} \circ b \in PK_{\beta}(A)$ and denote $b_n = \chi_n b$, where χ_n is an indicator of set $\{x \in R^l: (b \circ a^{-1} \circ b)(x) \leq n\}$ and

$$strong L^p - \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp(-t\Lambda_p(b_n)) = \exp(-t\Lambda_p(b))$$

uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$. Then

if $\beta < 1$, $p \in \left[\frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}, \infty\right[$ the operator $A + b\nabla$ generates $!_0$ contraction semigroup and holds the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \exp(-t\Lambda_p) \right\|_{p \to p} &\leq \exp\left(\frac{c(\beta)t}{p-1}\right), \\ \left\| \exp(-t\Lambda_p) \right\|_{p \to s} &\leq C \exp\left(\frac{c(\beta)t}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right) t^{\frac{-(s-p)l}{2ps}}, \\ \frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}} &$$

if $1 \le \beta < 4$, $p < s \in \left[\frac{2}{2 - \sqrt{\beta}}, \infty\right[$ the operator sum $A + b\nabla$ is not well defined however there is a semigroup

$$\exp(-t\Lambda_p(b)) \equiv \operatorname{strong} L^p - \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp(-t\Lambda_p(b_n)), \quad t \ge 0,$$

and this limit is a definition of our semigroup.

In contrast to the condition of Minty-Browder's theorem [40], in general, the semigroup generators map a real, separable reflexive Banach space *X* into itself as does semigroup.

The goals of the presented work are to combine the linear perturbation theory that has been stated above with the theory of quasi-linear elliptic operator and apply this theory in the case of a quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation by using a new class of operators $A^p: W_1^p(R^l, d^lx) \to W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx), l \ge 3$ in Sobolev spaces.

We will consider a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation in Euclidean space R^l , $l \ge 3$

$$\lambda u - \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x,u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u \right) + b(x,u,\nabla u) = f, (1)$$

where the $f \in L^p \cap L^\infty$ and the a_{ij} is an elliptic matrix that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^l$, l > 2 satisfies an inequality

$$v(|u|)\sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2 \le \sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} a_{ij}(x,u)\xi_i\xi_j \le \mu(|u|)\sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi_i^2,$$
 (2)

where the v(s) is a positive nonincreasing continuous function for $s \ge 0$ and $\mu(s)$ is a positive nondecreasing continuous function for $s \ge 0$ [10-12]. Function $a_{ij}(x,u)$ satisfies the conditions

$$a_{ij}(x,u)\xi_{j} - a_{ij}(x,v)\eta_{j} \ge \mu_{6}(x)(\xi_{i} - \eta_{i}),$$
 (3)

where the μ_6 is a measurable function such that [12]

$$0 < \delta < \langle \mu_6(\cdot) | u | \rangle < \infty \tag{4}$$

Function $b(x, u, \nabla u)$ satisfies the conditions

$$|b(x, u, \nabla u)| \le \mu_1(x) |\nabla u| + \mu_2(x) |u| + \mu_3(x)$$
 (5)

$$|b(x,u,\nabla u)-b(x,v,\nabla v)| <$$

$$\mu_4(x) |\nabla (u - v)| + \mu_5(x) |u - v|$$
 (6)

where the $\mu_1^2 \in PK_{\beta}$, $\mu_2 \in PK_{\beta}$, $\mu_4^2 \in PK_{\beta}$, $\mu_5 \in PK_{\beta}$, $\mu_3 \in L^q(R^l)$.

Exemplar. Let us consider an equation with Gilbarg-Serrin's matrix [10, 12]

$$a \circ d^2 u \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{l} a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u = 0,$$

where $a_{ij}=\delta_{ij}+b\frac{x_ix_j}{|x|^2},\,b=-1+\frac{l-1}{1-\chi},\quad\chi<1,\quad l\geq 3.$ It can be shown that

$$da = b(l-1)\frac{x}{|x|^2},$$

that can be defined as a limit



$$(a_{ij})^{-1} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{b}{b+1} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2},$$
$$da \circ a^{-1} \circ da = (1+b)^{-1} \left(\frac{l-1}{|x|}\right)^2$$

and holds an estimation

$$\langle \nabla \varphi \circ a \circ \nabla \varphi \rangle \geq (1+b) \frac{l-2}{2} \left\| \frac{\varphi}{|x|} \right\|_2^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_1^2(R^l), \ l \geq 3,$$

so $\beta = 4\left(1 + \frac{\chi}{l-2}\right)^2$ we have $\nabla a \circ a^{-1} \circ \nabla a \in PK_{\beta}(A)$ here $c(\beta) = 0$, for $\beta < 4$ it is necessary that $\chi \in (-2(l-2), 0)$.

Let us consider the boundary condition u(|x|=1)=1 for the equation with Gilbarg-Serrin's matrix. It is easy to see that two functions $u \equiv 1$ and $u = |x|^{\chi}$ convert our equation into tautology.

If $\chi = -\frac{l-2}{s}$ then $\beta = 4\left(1 + \frac{\chi}{l-2}\right)^2$ and $\beta \le 4$ when p > s and function $u = |x|^{\chi} \in L^p$ in the ball $K_1(0)$, on another hand the operator estimation

$$\left\| \exp(-t\Lambda_p) \right\|_{p \to s} \le C \exp\left(\frac{c(\beta)t}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right) t^{\frac{-(s-p)l}{2ps}},$$

$$\frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}$$

must be true so $|x|^\chi \in L^{\frac{pl}{l-2}}(K_1(0))$ however, it is impossible since $|x|^\chi \notin L^{\frac{pl}{l-2}}_{loc}$ the function $|x|^\chi$ does not belong to the class of possible solutions and is not a solution.

If $\beta > 4$ then the equation $a \circ d^2 u = 0$ has always two solutions.

The main result of this paper is an analog of Minty-Browder's theorem [40], which states the operator A^P associated with the equation (1) and mapping $W_1^P(R^l, d^lx)$ space into $W_{-1}^P(R^l, d^lx)$ space under the conditions (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and $\mu_1^2 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_2 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_4^2 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_5 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_3 \in L^q(R^l)$, and for $\lambda > \lambda_0$ is subjective if $l \geq 3$. That means that a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation has a solution belonging to $W_1^P(R^l, d^lx)$, $l \geq 3$ space under the conditions (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and $\mu_1^2 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_2 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_4 \in PK_\beta$, $\mu_5 \in PK_\beta$

2 Properties of

$A^p: \hat{W_1^p}\left(R^l, d^lx\right) \to W_{-1}^p\left(R^l, d^lx\right)$ operator generated by quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation

The introduction of operators $A^p: W_1^p(R^l, d^lx) \to W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx)$ was motivated by the definition of a general weak solution in $W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ functional spaces. This type of nonlinear operators was introduced by Mykola Makarovich Kukharchuk.

Definition 1.(of a general solution.) A general solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation (1) from $W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ functional space can be defined as an element of $W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$, which satisfies an integral identity

$$\lambda \langle u, v \rangle + \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1,...,l} a_{ij} \nabla_j u, \nabla_i v \right\rangle + \left\langle b(\cdot, u, \nabla u), v \right\rangle = \left\langle f, v \right\rangle$$

for all elements v from $W_{1,0}^q(R^l,d^lx)$ $l \geq 3$.

Applying this definition of a general solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation one can construct the differential form $h^p_\lambda: W^p_1 \times W^q_1 \to R$ as follow

$$h_{\lambda}^{p}(u,v) \equiv \lambda \langle u,v \rangle + \langle \nabla v \circ a \circ \nabla u \rangle + \langle b(\cdot,u,\nabla u),v \rangle,$$

this form well defined on $u \in W_1^p(R^l,d^lx)$, $v \in W_1^q(R^l,d^lx)$. Since the differential equation is quasi-linear, the form $h_\lambda^p:W_1^p\times W_1^q\to R$ is not linear on the first argument, the function b can be nonlinear, and linear and continuous on the second argument.

For any fixed element $u \in W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ (first argument), this form determines a continuous linear functional on $W_1^q(R^l, d^lx)$ (as a function of a second argument) and so the element of $W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx)$, thus we have that for any fixed element $u \in W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ form $h_{\lambda}^p: W_1^p \times W_1^q \to R$ defines the element of $W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx)$ space, consequently, there is a mapping or operator A^p from $W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ into $W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx)$ such that

$$h_{\lambda}^{p}(u,v) = \langle A^{p}(u), v \rangle.$$

So constructed operators are different from both operator types (operators of Minty-Browder's theorem and semi-groups generators), first, they do not map $W_1^P(R^l,d^lx)$ into its dual as operators in Minty-Browder's theorem [40] so the conditions of continuity, coerciveness, monotony must be revised and the analog of Minty-Browder theorem requires additional investigation; second, these operators do not map the reflexive Banach space into itself as do operators of semigroups theory [20]

Let us estimate the form $h_{\lambda}^{p}(u, v)$ on arbitrary elements $u \in W_{1}^{p}(R^{l}, d^{l}x), v \in W_{1}^{q}(R^{l}, d^{l}x), l \geq 3$

$$\begin{split} \left|h_{\lambda}^{p}\left(u,v\right)\right| &\equiv \\ \left|\lambda\left\langle u,v\right\rangle + \left\langle\nabla v\circ a\circ\nabla u\right\rangle + \left\langle b(\cdot,u,\nabla u),v\right\rangle\right| &\leq \\ &\leq \lambda \left\|u\right\|_{p} \left\|v\right\|_{q} + \left\langle\nabla v\circ a\circ\nabla u\right\rangle + \\ &\left\langle\mu_{1}(\cdot)\left|\nabla u\right| + \mu_{2}(\cdot)\left|u\right| + \mu_{3}(\cdot),v\right\rangle &\leq \\ &\leq \lambda \left\|u\right\|_{p} \left\|v\right\|_{q} + \left\langle\nabla v\circ a\circ\nabla u\right\rangle + \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{p} \left\|\mu_{1}(\cdot)v\right\|_{q} + \\ &\left\|u\right\|_{p} \left\|\mu_{2}(\cdot)v\right\|_{q} + \left\|\mu_{3}(\cdot)\right\|_{p} \left\|v\right\|_{q}. \end{split}$$



Next, we can write

$$\|\nabla u\|_{p} \|\mu_{1}(\cdot)v\|_{q} \leq \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} + \frac{1}{q} \|\mu_{1}(\cdot)v\|_{q}^{q},$$

$$\|\mu_{1}(\cdot)v\|_{q}^{q} \leq \left\langle |\mu_{1}|^{q} \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)^{2} \right\rangle \leq$$

$$\beta \left\langle \nabla \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \circ a \circ \nabla \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \right\rangle + c(\beta) \|v\|_{q}^{q}$$

$$\left\langle \nabla \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \circ \nabla \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \right\rangle \leq \|v^{q-2}\|_{\frac{q}{q-2}} \||\nabla v|^{2}\|_{\frac{q}{2}} =$$

$$\|v\|_{q}^{q-2} \|\nabla v\|_{q}^{2}$$

$$\|v\|_{q}^{q-2} \|\nabla v\|_{q}^{2} \leq \frac{q-2}{q\sigma} \|v\|_{q}^{q} + \frac{2\sigma}{q} \|\nabla v\|_{q}^{q}$$

for $\sigma > 0$. Next, we estimate

$$\|u\|_{p} \|\mu_{2}(\cdot)v\|_{q} \leq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p} + \frac{1}{q} \|\mu_{2}(\cdot)v\|_{q}^{q}$$

$$\|\mu_{2}(\cdot)v\|_{q}^{q} \leq \left\langle |\mu_{2}|^{q} \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)^{2} \right\rangle \leq$$

$$\beta \left\langle \nabla \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \circ a \circ \nabla \left(|v|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \right\rangle + c\left(\beta\right) \|v\|_{q}^{q}.$$

We have obtained that

$$\begin{split} \left|h_{\lambda}^{p}\left(u,v\right)\right| &\leq \lambda \left\|u\right\|_{p} \left\|v\right\|_{q} + \left\langle\nabla v \circ a \circ \nabla u\right\rangle + \\ &\frac{1}{p} \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{p}^{p} + \frac{1}{p} \left\|u\right\|_{p}^{p} + \frac{2}{q} \beta \left(\left(\frac{q-2}{q\sigma} + c\left(\beta\right)\right) \left\|v\right\|_{q}^{q} + \\ &\frac{2\sigma}{a} K \left\langle\nabla v \circ a \circ \nabla v\right\rangle\right) + \left\|\mu_{3}(\cdot)\right\|_{p} \left\|v\right\|_{q}, \end{split}$$

where the K depends on the matrix $[a_{ij}]$.

Assuming that $v = u |u|^{p-2}$ we have

$$\left|h_{\lambda}^{p}\left(u,u|u|^{p-2}\right)\right| \equiv \left|\lambda\left\langle u,u|u|^{p-2}\right\rangle + \left\langle\nabla\left(u|u|^{p-2}\right)\circ a\circ\nabla u\right\rangle + \left\langle b(\cdot,u,\nabla u),u|u|^{p-2}\right\rangle\right| \leq \lambda \left\|w\right\|^{2} + (p-1)\left\langle\left(\left|u\right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u\right)\circ a\circ\left(\left|u\right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle\mu_{1}(\cdot)\left|\nabla u\right| + \mu_{2}(\cdot)\left|u\right| + \mu_{3}(\cdot),\left|u\right|^{p-1}\right\rangle \leq \lambda \left\|w\right\|^{2} + \frac{4\left(p-1\right)}{p^{2}}\left\langle\nabla w\circ a\circ\nabla w\right\rangle + \frac{2}{p}\left\langle\mu_{1}\left|\nabla w\right|,\left|w\right|\right\rangle + \beta \left\langle\nabla w\circ a\circ\nabla w\right\rangle + c\left(\beta\right)\left\|w\right\|^{2} + \left\|\mu_{3}\right\|\left\|u\right\|^{p-1},$$
where we have denoted $w = u\left|u\right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ and $\nabla w = \frac{p}{2}\left|u\right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u.$
Next, we can write

 $\left\langle \mu_1 \left| \nabla u \right|, \left| u \right|^{p-1} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mu_1 \left| u \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left| \nabla u \right|, \left| u \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\rangle \le$

$$\frac{2}{p} \langle \mu_1 | \nabla w |, |w| \rangle,$$

$$\langle \mu_2(\cdot), w^2 \rangle \leq \beta \langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \rangle + c(\beta) \|w\|^2,$$

$$\langle \mu_3(\cdot), |u|^{p-1} \rangle \leq \|\mu_3\| \left\| |u|^{p-1} \right\| = \|\mu_3\| \|u\|^{p-1},$$
by Holder's inequality

$$\frac{2}{p} \langle \mu_1 | \nabla w |, |w| \rangle \leq \frac{2}{p} \| \mu_1 w \| \| \nabla w \|,$$

$$\| \mu_1 w \| = \left\langle (\mu_1 w)^2 \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \| w \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
So
$$\frac{2}{p} \langle \mu_1 | \nabla w |, |w| \rangle \leq \frac{2}{p} \| \mu_1 w \| \| \nabla w \| =$$

$$\frac{2}{p} \| \nabla w \| \left\langle (\mu_1 w)^2 \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$\frac{2}{p} \| \nabla w \| \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \| w \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \| \nabla w \|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \| w \|^2 \right) \right).$$

We can conclude that

$$\begin{split} \left| h_{\lambda}^{p} \left(u, u | u |^{p-2} \right) \right| &\leq \lambda \left\| w \right\|^{2} + \frac{4 \left(p - 1 \right)}{p^{2}} \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + \\ &\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left\| \nabla w \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^{2} \right) \right) + \\ &\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{p}}{p} \left\| \mu_{3} \right\|^{p} + \frac{1}{\sigma^{q} q} \left\| u \right\|^{p}, \end{split}$$

or in a more compact form, we have had

$$\begin{split} \left| h_{\lambda}^{p} \left(u, u | u |^{p-2} \right) \right| &\leq \\ \left(\lambda + \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{p} + 1 \right) c \left(\beta \right) + \frac{1}{\sigma^{q} q} \right) \| w \|^{2} + \\ &+ \left(\frac{4 \left(p - 1 \right)}{p^{2}} + \frac{\beta \varepsilon^{2}}{p} + \beta \right) \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + \\ &+ \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \| \nabla w \|^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{p}}{p} \| \mu_{3} \|^{p} \,, \end{split}$$

for ε , $\sigma > 0$.

The form h_{λ}^{p} generates mapping A^{p} from W_{1}^{p} into W_{-1}^{p} that can be written as

$$h_{\lambda}^{p}(u,v) = \langle A^{p}(u), v \rangle.$$



Definition 2.An operator $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ is said to be coercive operator if and only if the form

$$h_{\lambda}^{p}(u,v) = \langle A^{p}(u), v \rangle$$

satisfies a condition

$$\lim_{||u|u|^{p-2}||_{W_1^q} \to \infty} \frac{h_{\lambda}^p\left(u,u|u|^{p-2}\right)}{||u|u|^{p-2}||_{W_1^q}} = \infty.$$

Definition 3.An operator $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ is called accretive operator $\operatorname{in} L^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ if and only if this operator for any $u,v\in W_1^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ satisfies an inequality

$$\left\langle A^{p}\left(u\right) - A^{p}\left(v\right), \left(u - v\right) | u - v|^{p-2} \right\rangle \ge$$

$$-c\left(l, \left\|u\right\|_{W_{1}^{p}}, \left\|u - v\right\|_{W_{1}^{p}}\right),$$

where the $c\left(l,\|u\|_{W_1^p},\|u-v\|_{W_1^p}\right)$ is a continuous positive function and such that

$$\lim_{t \to a} c \left(l, \|u\|_{W_1^p}, \rho t \right) t^{1-p} = 0,$$

where the ρ and t are positive real numbers.

Definition 4.An operator $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ is called quasi-continuous if and only if for any functions u,v that belong $W_{1,0}^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ space, there is a limit

$$\omega - \lim_{t \to 0} A^{p} (u + tv) = A^{p} (u)$$

with respect to the weak topology of the $W_{-1}^p(R^l,d^lx)$.

Proposition 1.An operator $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ is a coercive operator.

Proof. To prove that $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ the operator is a really coercive operator, we have to estimate form $h_{\lambda}^p\left(u,u\,|u|^{p-2}\right)$ from below

$$\begin{split} h_{\lambda}^{p}\left(u,u|u|^{p-2}\right) &= \lambda \left\langle u,u|u|^{p-2}\right\rangle + \\ \left\langle \nabla \left(u|u|^{p-2}\right) \circ a \circ \nabla u \right\rangle + \left\langle b(\cdot,u,\nabla u),u|u|^{p-2}\right\rangle &\geq \\ &\geq \lambda \left\|w\right\|^{2} + \frac{4\left(p-1\right)}{p^{2}} \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \mu_{1}(\cdot)\left|\nabla u\right| + \mu_{2}(\cdot)\left|u\right| + \mu_{3}(\cdot),\left|u\right|^{p-1}\right\rangle &\geq \\ &\geq \left(\lambda - \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{p} + 1\right)c\left(\beta\right) + \frac{1}{\sigma^{q}q}\right)\right) \left\|w\right\|^{2} + \\ &+ \left(\frac{4\left(p-1\right)}{p^{2}} - \left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon^{2}}{p} + \beta + \frac{1}{\nu p} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right) \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle - \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\sigma^p}{p} \|\mu_3\|^p$$
,

where the β is a form-bound, the measure of singularity; $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\sigma > 0$, exists $\varepsilon > 0$; and we have denoted the $w = (u - v)|u - v|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ and $\nabla w = \frac{p}{2}|u - v|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla(u - v)$.

Proposition 2. An operator $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ is an accretive operator.

To prove this statement, we are estimating

$$\langle A^p(u) - A^p(v), (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \rangle =$$

$$= \lambda \left\langle u, (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle +$$

$$\left\langle \nabla \left((u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right) \circ a(\cdot,u) \circ \nabla u \right\rangle +$$

$$+ \left\langle b(\cdot,u,\nabla u), (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle -$$

$$- \lambda \left\langle v, (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle -$$

$$\left\langle \nabla \left((u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right) \circ a(\cdot,v) \circ \nabla v \right\rangle +$$

$$- \left\langle b(\cdot,v,\nabla v), (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle =$$

$$= \lambda \left\langle u-v, (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle +$$

$$\left\langle \nabla_i \left((u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right) \left(a_{ij}(\cdot,u) \nabla_j u - a_{ij}(\cdot,v) \nabla_j v \right) \right\rangle +$$

$$+ \left\langle b(\cdot,u,\nabla u) - b(\cdot,v,\nabla v), (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle \geq$$

$$\geq \lambda \left\langle u-v, (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle +$$

$$\left\langle \mu_6(\cdot) \nabla (u-v) \circ \nabla \left((u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right) +$$

$$\left\langle \mu_6(\cdot) \nabla (u-v) \circ \nabla \left((u-v)|u-v|^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle -$$

$$- \left\langle \mu_4(\cdot) |\nabla (u-v)| + \mu_5(\cdot) |u-v|, (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle \geq$$

$$\geq \lambda \left\| w \right\|^2 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} M_1 \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle -$$

$$- \left\langle \mu_4(\cdot) |\nabla (u-v)| + \mu_5(\cdot) |u-v|, (u-v)|u-v|^{p-2} \right\rangle,$$

$$\text{where } w = (u-v)|u-v|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \text{ and }$$

$$\nabla w = \frac{p}{2} |u-v|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla (u-v).$$

$$\text{Next, we have }$$

$$\frac{2}{p} \left\langle \mu_4(x) |\nabla w|, |w| \right\rangle \leq \frac{2}{p} \left\| \mu_4 w \right\| \left\| \nabla w \right\| =$$

$$\frac{2}{p} \left\| \nabla w \right\| \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{p} \left\| \nabla w \right\| \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{p} \left\| \nabla w \right\| \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{p} \left\| \nabla w \right\| \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^2 \right) \right),$$



and the last term can be estimated as

$$\langle \mu_5(\cdot) | u - v |, (u - v) | u - v |^{p-2} \rangle = \langle \mu_5, w^2 \rangle \le$$

$$\beta \langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \rangle + c(\beta) \|w\|^2.$$

Thus, we are obtaining

$$\begin{split} \left\langle A^{p}\left(u\right)-A^{p}\left(v\right),\left(u-v\right)|u-v|^{p-2}\right\rangle \geq \\ \lambda\left\|w\right\|^{2}+\frac{4\left(p-1\right)}{p^{2}}K\left\langle\nabla w\circ a\circ\nabla w\right\rangle-\\ -\left(\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left\|\nabla w\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(\beta\left\langle\nabla w\circ a\circ\nabla w\right\rangle+c\left(\beta\right)\left\|w\right\|^{2}\right)\right)+\\ +\beta\left\langle\nabla w\circ a\circ\nabla w\right\rangle+c\left(\beta\right)\left\|w\right\|^{2}\right)\geq \\ \geq\left(\lambda-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}c\left(\beta\right)}{p}-c\left(\beta\right)\right)\left\|w\right\|^{2}+\\ \left(\frac{4\left(p-1\right)}{p^{2}}K-\beta\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{p}-\frac{1}{p\varepsilon^{2}v}-\beta\right)\left\langle\nabla w\circ a\circ\nabla w\right\rangle\geq0, \end{split}$$

where the constant K depends on the ellipticity of the matrix a.

The last estimation is proving statement 2.

Proposition 3. An operator $A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p$ is a quasicontinuous operator.

Proof. Assuming that $u, v \in W_{1,0}^p(R^l, d^lx)$ and $w \in W_1^q(R^l, d^lx)$, we are obtaining

$$\langle A^{p}(u+tv) - A^{p}(u), w \rangle =$$

$$\lambda \langle u+tv, w \rangle + \langle \nabla w \circ a(\cdot, u+tv) \circ \nabla (u+tv) \rangle +$$

$$+ \langle b(\cdot, u+tv, \nabla (u+tv)), w \rangle - \lambda \langle u, w \rangle -$$

$$\langle \nabla w \circ a(\cdot, u) \circ \nabla u \rangle - \langle b(\cdot, u, \nabla u), w \rangle =$$

$$= \lambda t \langle v, w \rangle +$$

$$\langle \nabla_{i}w, (a_{ij}(\cdot, u+tv) \nabla_{j}(u+tv)) - (a_{ij}(\cdot, u) \nabla_{j}(u)) \rangle +$$

$$+ \langle b(\cdot, u+tv, \nabla (u+tv)) - b(\cdot, u, \nabla u), w \rangle =$$

$$= \lambda t \langle v, w \rangle + t \langle \mu_{6}(\cdot) \nabla w \nabla v \rangle +$$

$$t \langle \mu_{4}(\cdot) |\nabla v| + \mu_{5}(\cdot) |v|, w \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Statement 3 is proven.

Proposition 4. Let us assume $S_R = (\overrightarrow{C}: |\overrightarrow{C}| = R)$ is a sphere, where R > 0 is a given number a radius of the sphere, and assume that $\overrightarrow{B}: R^n \to R^n$ is given continuous mapping such that satisfies a condition $\langle \overrightarrow{B}(\overrightarrow{C}), \overrightarrow{C^*} \rangle \ge 0$. Then there is at least one point $\overrightarrow{C}: |\overrightarrow{C}| \le R$ such that $\overrightarrow{B}(\overrightarrow{C}) = 0$.

Proof. Let us prove this statement by assuming the opposite, which asserts that for all \vec{C} such that $|\vec{C}| \leq R$ the inequality

$$\stackrel{\rightarrow}{B} \left(\stackrel{\rightarrow}{C} \right) \neq 0$$

is hold. In ball $B_R = (\stackrel{\rightarrow}{C} : |\stackrel{\rightarrow}{C}| \le R)$, let us consider the mapping

$$\overrightarrow{A}\left(\overrightarrow{C}\right) = -R \frac{\overrightarrow{B}\left(\overrightarrow{C}\right)}{\left|\overrightarrow{B}\left(\overrightarrow{C}\right)\right|},$$

it is obvious that the map $\stackrel{\rightarrow}{A}: V_R \to S_R$ is continuous mapping thus according to the Brouwer's fixed-point theorem there is a fixed point $\stackrel{\rightarrow}{C}$ such that

$$\overrightarrow{A}(\overrightarrow{C}) = \overrightarrow{C}.$$

However, it is impossible since

$$\left\langle \overrightarrow{A} \left(\overrightarrow{C} \right), \overrightarrow{C^*} \right\rangle = -R \frac{\left\langle \overrightarrow{B} \left(\overrightarrow{C} \right), \overrightarrow{C^*} \right\rangle}{\left| \overrightarrow{B} \left(\overrightarrow{C} \right) \right|} \le 0$$

and simultaneously we have

$$\left\langle \vec{A} \left(\vec{C}\right), \overset{\rightarrow}{C^*} \right\rangle = \left\langle \vec{C}, \overset{\rightarrow}{C^*} \right\rangle = R^n > 0.$$

The obtaining contradiction proves statement 4.

3 Existence of a weak generalized solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation

We are going to establish the existence of a general solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation by applying the Galerkin method [12], which consists of choosing approximations by restricting the operator that is generated by this equation to some finite-dimensional subspaces and then pass to the limit.

Theorem 3.(analog of Minty-Browder theorem). Let operator $A^p:W_1^p(R^l,d^lx)\to W_{-1}^p(R^l,d^lx)$ be generated by differential form $h_\lambda^p:W_1^p\times W_1^q\to R$ associated with a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation (1) with conditions (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and $\mu_1^2\in PK_\beta, \quad \mu_2\in PK_\beta, \quad \mu_4^2\in PK_\beta, \quad \mu_5\in PK_\beta, \quad \mu_3\in L^q(R^l), \quad l>2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda>\lambda_0; \quad \text{assume operator} \quad A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p \quad \text{satisfies the coercive, accretive and} \quad quasi-continuous \quad conditions \quad then \quad the \quad operator \quad A^p:W_1^p\to W_{-1}^p \quad \text{is surjective.}$



Theorem 4.Theorem (the existence of a general solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation). A second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation (1) under the conditions (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and $\mu_1^2 \in PK_{\beta}, \quad \mu_2 \in PK_{\beta}$ $\mu_4^2 \in PK_{\beta}, \quad \mu_5 \in PK_{\beta}, \ \mu_3 \in L^q(R^l), \ f \in L^p \cap L^{\infty}, \ l > 2$ and $\lambda > \lambda_0$ has a general solution $u \in W_1^p(R^l, d^l x)$.

Proof. Let us assume that $\{v_i\}$ and $\{v_i^*\}$ are two smooth bases of $W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ and $W_1^q(R^l, d^lx)$ spaces respectively, and let $[v_1,...v_k]$ be a linear span of the elements of the bases with the property

$$\langle u_k, u_k^* \rangle = \|u_k\|_p^p,$$

where we denoted $u_k = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$ and $u_k^* = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^* v_i^*$ by the

Next step is to construct a Galerkin approximate solution, in order to achieve this we are writing a system of k equations as follow

$$\langle A^p(u_k) - f, v_i^* \rangle = 0, i = 1, ..., k,$$

and show that this system has a solution in the linear span of the first n elements of our basis $\{v_i\}$. Indeed, this system defines a continuous mapping of a sphere in Euclidean space into itself

$$\overrightarrow{B}\left(\overrightarrow{C}\right):B_{i}\left(\overrightarrow{C}\right)=\langle A^{p}\left(u_{k}\right)-f,v_{i}^{*}\rangle$$

so operator $A^p: W_1^p(R^l, d^lx) \to W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx)$ according to statement 4 and statement 1 satisfies the following condition

$$\left\langle \overrightarrow{B} \left(\overrightarrow{C} \right), \overrightarrow{C} \right\rangle = \left\langle A^p \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i \right) - f, \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^* v_i^* \right\rangle =$$

$$\langle A^p(u_k) - f, u_k | u_k |^{p-2} \rangle \ge$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{h_{\lambda}^{p}\left(u_{k}, u_{k}|u_{k}|^{p-2}\right)}{\|u_{k}|u_{k}|^{p-2}\|_{W_{1}^{q}}} - \|f\|_{p}\right) \left\|u_{k}|u_{k}|^{p-2}\right\|_{W_{1}^{q}} \geq 0.$$

Since mapping $A^p:W^p_1\to W^p_{-1}$ is continuous on finite-dimensional subspaces of the $W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ space hence there is an element \vec{C} , $|\vec{C}| = R$ such that $\overrightarrow{B}(\overrightarrow{C}) = 0$, if R > 0 large enough. Thus, there is a sequence $\{u_k(x)\}$ such that

$$\langle A^p(u_k) - f, v_i^* \rangle = 0.$$

Coerciveness of the opera $A^p:W_1^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)\to W_{-1}^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ applies an inequality

$$||A^p(u_k)||_{W_{-1}^p} \le ||f||_{L^p}.$$

Now we have to show that this sequence converges to the solution of the quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation. To achieve this goal we consider the integral tautology

$$\lambda \langle u_k, \xi \rangle + \langle d\xi \circ a \circ du_k \rangle + \langle b(x, u_k, \nabla u_k), \xi \rangle \equiv \langle f, \xi \rangle,$$

where we presume $\xi = u_k |u_k|^{p-2}$ thus it yields the equation

$$\lambda \langle u_k, u_k | u_k |^{p-2} \rangle +$$

$$\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \left\langle \nabla \left(u_k | u_k |^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right) \circ a \circ \nabla \left(u_k | u_k |^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle b, u_k | u_k |^{p-2} \right\rangle \equiv \left\langle f, u_k | u_k |^{p-2} \right\rangle.$$

Easy to see that

$$|\langle b, u_k | u_k |^{p-2} \rangle| \le$$

$$\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left\| \nabla w \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} \left(\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c \left(\beta \right) \left\| w \right\|^{2} \right) \right) +$$

$$+\beta \left\langle \nabla w \circ a \circ \nabla w \right\rangle + c\left(\beta\right) \|w\|^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{p}}{p} \|\mu_{3}\|^{p} + \frac{1}{\sigma^{q}q} \|w\|^{2},$$

where the $w = u_k |u_k|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ and $\nabla w = \frac{p}{2} |u_k|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k$. Let estimate the term on the right as

$$|\langle f, u_k | u_k |^{p-2} \rangle| \le ||f||_p ||u_k | u_k |^{p-2} ||_q \le ||f||_p ||u_k ||_p^{p-1}$$

and for $\rho > 0$ it gives next estimation

$$||f||_p ||u_k||_p^{p-1} \le \frac{\rho^p}{p} ||f||_p^p + \frac{1}{q\rho^q} ||u_k||_p^p.$$

Correspondingly we are obtaining an estimation

$$||u_k|| + ||\nabla u_k|| \le c(\lambda, p, l, \lambda_0, N) ||f||$$

and as a result, we have

$$||u_k||_{W_1^p} < C,$$

where constant depends only on equation coefficients. Since the operator $A^p:W_1^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)\to W_{-1}^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ is a quasi-continuous operator there is a converge subsequence $\{u_{k'}(x)\}$ such that there are the weak limits $u_{k'} \xrightarrow{W_1^p} u_0 \text{ and } A^p(u_{k'}) \xrightarrow{W_{-1}^p} y.$

Now we have to show that $y = A^p(u_0) = f$, to achieve this goal let us consider an integral tautology

$$\langle A^p(u_{k'}), v_i^* \rangle = \langle f, v_i^* \rangle, i = 1, ..., k'$$

and find the limit in $W_{-1}^p(R^l,d^lx)$ topology when $k'\to +\infty$ we are obtaining

$$\lim_{k'\to\infty} A^p\left(u_{k'}\right) = y = f.$$

Since the operator $A^p(\cdot): W_1^p(R^l, d^lx) \to W_{-1}^p(R^l, d^lx)$ is accretive in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^l, d^l x)$ space we are passing to the limit

$$\lim_{k'\to\infty} \left\langle A^p(u_{k'}) - A^p(v), (u_{k'}-v) \left| u_{k'}-v \right|^{p-2} \right\rangle =$$



$$\langle y - A^p(v), (u_0 - v) | u_0 - v |^{p-2} \rangle \ge 0.$$

Presuming that $v = u_0 - tz$, t > 0, $z \in W_1^p(R^l, d^lx)$ and dividing by t^{p-1} one obtains

$$\langle y - A^p(u_0 - tz), z | z |^{p-2} \rangle \ge 0.$$

Since vector $z \in W_1^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ is an arbitrary element of $W_1^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$, l>2 space and operator $A^p:W_1^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)\to W_{-1}^p\left(R^l,d^lx\right)$ is a quasi-continuous, we have obtained

$$y = A^p(u_0) = f$$
,

and have proven that for given initial conditions we constructed the functional sequence $\{u_{k'}\}$ that converges to the element $u_0 \in W_1^P(R^l, d^lx)$ so vector $u_0 \in W_1^P(R^l, d^lx)$ is a general solution of given second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation with form-boundary conditions.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the connection between parabolic and elliptic differential equations, clarified the definition of $A^p(\cdot):W_1^p(R^l,d^lx)\to W_{-1}^p(R^l,d^lx)$ operators and investigated their properties, applied these results we proved the analog of Minty-Browder's theorem and established the existence of general solution of a second-order quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equation under fair weak conditions. In future works, we are going to construct a semigroup of contraction that is generated by $A^p(\cdot):W_1^p(R^l,d^lx)\to W_{-1}^p(R^l,d^lx)$ operators and study its properties.

5 Perspective

The theoretical approach proposed in this article could be also adapted for the analysis of partial differential equations of parabolic and hyperbolic types, and can be developed to account of high order derivatives.

This is an interesting research perspective since, it will allow to study the equations, which describes the evolutionary processes and the propagation of waves.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to A.M. Samoilenko for helpful discussions and comments that improved this paper.

References

- Barbu V. Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces, Legden, Nordhoff International Publiching, (1976), 352 p.
- [2] Benjamini I., Chavel I., Feldman E.A. Heat kernel lower bounds on Riemannian manifolds using the old ideas of Nash, Proc. London Math. Soc., (1996), V.72, P. 215 - 240.
- [3] Belluce L.P., Kirk W.A. Fixed point theorems for families of contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math., (1966), V.18, N. 2, P. 213 - 217.
- [4] Berlyiand A.G., Semenov Yu. A. On the L_p -theory of Schrodinger semigroups, Siberian Math. J., (1990), V.31, P. 16 26.
- [5] Boychuk I. Weakly perturbed nonlinear boundary-value problem in critical case, Studies of the University of Zilina. Mathematical Series, October, (2009), V. 23, N. 1., P. 1-8.
- [6] Brezis H., Pazy A. Semigroups of non-linear contractions on convex sets, J. Func. Anal, (1970), V. 6, P. 237-281.
- [7] Browder F.E. Existence of periodic solutions for nonlinear equations of evolution, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, (1965), V. 53, P. 1100 - 1103.
- [8] Browder F.E. Nonlinear equations of evolution type and nonlinear accretive operators in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, (1967), V.73, P. 867 - 874.
- [9] Crandall M.G., Pazy A. Nonlinear semi-groups of contractions and dissipative sets, J. Func. Anal, (1969), V. 3, P. 376 - 418.
- [10] Chichurin A.V. Integration of Chazy equation with constant coefficients, Nonlinear Oscillations, (2003), Vol. 6, N. 1, P. 133-143.
- [11] Chichurin A.V. Integration of special linear equations of the second order, Nonlinear Oscillations, (2003), Vol. 6, N. 2, P. 279-287.
- [12] David E.E., Evans W.D. Hardy operators, functional spaces and embeddings, E.E. David, W.D. Evans., Berlin, Springer, (2004), 326 p.
- [13] Fabes E.B. Gaussian upper bounds on fundamental solutions of the parabolic equation: the method of Nash in Dirichlet forms, Lectures Notes in Math, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, (1993), P.1 20.
- [14] Goldstein J. Semigroups of linear operators and applications, Oxford, Oxford University Press, (1985), 245 p.
- [15] Kasyanov P. Faedo-Galerkin method for the second-order nonlinear evolution equations with the operators of the Volterra type, International Conference on Differential Equations Dedicated to the 100th Anniversary of Ya.B.Lopatynsky, Book of Abstracts (Lviv, September 12-17, 2006), Ivan Franko National University of Lviv. Lviv, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, (2006). P.104-105.
- [16] Kato T. Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, (1967), V. 3, P. 375 - 402.
- [17] Kato T. Perturbation theory for linear operators, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer-Verlag, (1980), 578 p.
- [18] Kato T. Non-linear semigroups and evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, (1967), V. 19, P. 508 - 520.
- [19] Komura Y. Differentiability of nonlinear semigroups, J. Math. Soc. Japan, (1969), V. 21, P. 375-402.



- [20] Komura Y. Nonlinear semi-groups in Hilbert space, J. Math. Soc. Japan, (1967), V. 19, P. 493 - 507.
- [21] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, "The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow," Gos. Izdat. Fiz-Mat., Moscow, (1961) [Russian]; Engl. transl., Gordon and Breach, 2nd ed., (1969); Engl. transl. Math. USSR, Izv. 20, (1983).
- [22] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, Attractors for Semigroups and Evolution Equations, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, (1991).
- [23] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Uraltseva, "Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations," Nauka, Moscow, (1964) [Russian]; English transl., Academic Press, San Diego, (1968).
- [24] Minty G. Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space, Duke Math. J, (1962), V. 29, P. 341 - 346.
- [25] Minty G. On the generalization of a direct method of the calculus of variations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, (1967), V. 73, N. 3, P. 315 - 321.
- [26] Miyadera I. On perturbation theory for semi-groups of operators, Tohoku Math. J, (1966), V. 18, P. 299 310.
- [27] J. Moser, A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1960), 457-468.
- [28] Nagy B. Spectral mapping theorems for semigroups of operators, Acta Science Math, (1976), V. 38, P. 343-351.
- [29] Nash J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations, Amer. J. Math, (1958), V. 80, P. 931 954.
- [30] Naniewicz Z. Mathematical theory hemivariational inequalities and applications, – Marcel Dekker., Inc., New York, Basel Hong Kong, (1995), 267 p.
- [31] Nirenberg L. Remarks on strongly elliptic partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., (1955), V. 8, P. 648-674.
- [32] Opial Z. Weak convergence of the sequences of successive approximants for non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (1967), V. 73, P. 591 597.
- [33] Pederson R.N. On an inequality of Opial, Beesack and Levinson, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, (1965), V. 16, P.174 234.
- [34] Papageorgiou N.S. Existence of solutions for the second order evolution inclusion, Journal of applied mathematics and stochastic analysis, (1994), Vol.7, N. 4, P. 525-535.
- [35] Papageorgiou N.S. Second order nonlinear evolution inclusions: structure of the solution set, Acta Math. sinica, English series, (2006), Vol. 22 N. 1, P. 195-206.
- [36] Papageorgiou N.S. On multivalued evolutions equations and differential inclusions in Banach spaces, Comment. Math. Unaiv. San. Pauli, (1987), Vol. 36, P. 21-39.
- [37] Serrin J. The problem of Dirchlet for quasilinear elliptic differential equations with many independent variables, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London, Ser. A 264 (1969).
- [38] Stein E. Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., (1993).
- [39] Visik M.I. On strongly elliptic systems of differential equations, Mat. Sb. 29, (1951).
- [40] Visik M.I. Quasi-linear strongly elliptic systems of differential equations in divergence form, Trudi Mosk. Math. Ob. 12, (1963), [Russian]; Engl. transl., Transl. Moscow Math. Soc. 12 (1963).
- [41] Yanchuk S. Dynamical approach to complex regional economic growth based on Keynesian model for China, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, (2003), V. 18, P. 937-952.

- [42] Yaremenko M.I. The existence of solution of evolution and elliptic equations with singular coefficients, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, (2017), Vol., 15, Issue., 3. pp. 172- 204.
- [43] Yaremenko M.I. Quasi-linear evolution and elliptic equations, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics, Vol.11., N. 3, (2017) pp. 1645-1669.
- [44] Yaremenko M.I. Sequence of semigroups of nonlinear operators and their applications to study the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations, Scientific Journal of the ternopil national technical university, (2016), pp. 149-160.
- [45] Yosida K. On the differentiability and the representation of one parameter semi-groups of linear operators, J. Math. Soc., Japan 1, (1948).
- [46] Yosida K. Functional analysis, Springer, (1965).