

Properties of D-metric Spaces and weighted composition Operators Between Hyperbolic Function Spaces

Samy A. Abd-Elhafeez¹, A. Kamal^{1,2} and M. Hamza Eissa^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, AlMithnab, Qassim University, Buridah 51931, Saudi Arabia

Received: 22 June 2021, Revised: 19 Dec. 2021, Accepted: 23 Dec. 2021

Published online: 1 Mar. 2022

Abstract: The two weighted hyperbolic classes $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ are introduced and studied. Moreover, D -metric space in $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ and $Q_K^*(p, q)$ general spaces is presented. We show that the two classes $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ and $Q_K^*(p, q)$ are complete metric space. Finally, we establish the conditions needed for the weighted $uC_{\mathcal{U}}$ operator to be bounded and compact.

Keywords: D-metric Spaces, weighted composition operator, Hyperbolic Function Spaces.

1 Introduction

The open unit disk $\Upsilon = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{C} : |\gamma| < 1\}$, where \mathbb{C} is the complex plane and $\partial\Upsilon$ is the boundary. Assuming that $\vartheta(\Upsilon)$ be the space of all analytic functions in Υ . Assuming also that $B(\Upsilon)$ is a concerned subset of $\vartheta(\Upsilon)$ consisting of those $\mathcal{L} \in \vartheta(\Upsilon)$ for which $|\mathcal{L}(\gamma)| < 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Upsilon$.

The concerned Green's function of Υ is given by $\Lambda(\gamma, a) = \log \frac{1}{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|}$, with $\mathcal{U}(\gamma) = \frac{a-\gamma}{1-\bar{a}\gamma}$, where the points $\gamma, a \in \Upsilon$ give the Möbius transformation by the singular point $\gamma \in \Upsilon$.

The definition of the linear composition operator $C_{\mathcal{U}}$ is defined as $C_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{U})$ (see [1–3]).

The definition of the weighted composition operator $uC_{\mathcal{U}}$ given in [4] as follow

$$(uC_{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{L})(\gamma) = u(\gamma)\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma)), \quad \gamma \in \Upsilon \text{ and } u \in \vartheta(\Upsilon)$$

A function $\mathcal{L} \in B(\Upsilon)$ belongs to α -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}, 0 < \alpha < \infty$, if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon} (1 - |\gamma|)^{\alpha} |\mathcal{L}'(\gamma)| < \infty.$$

The little α -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, 0}$ consisting of all $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ so that

$$\lim_{|\gamma| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |\gamma|^2) |\mathcal{L}'(\gamma)| = 0.$$

The author in ([5]) introduced the definition of logarithmic Bloch-type space as follows

Definition 1. Let $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$ and \mathcal{L} be an analytic function in Υ the logarithmic Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{\log \beta}^{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log \beta}^{\alpha}} = \left\{ \mathcal{L} \in \vartheta(\Upsilon) : \|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log \beta}^{\alpha}} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon} (1 - |\gamma|)^{\alpha} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\gamma|)} \right) |\mathcal{L}'(\gamma)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Case 1: $\beta = 0$ then $\mathcal{B}_{\log \beta}^{\alpha}$ becomes the α -Bloch space \mathcal{B}_{α}

Case 2: $\alpha = \beta = 1$ then $\mathcal{B}_{\log \beta}^{\alpha}$ becomes the logarithmic Bloch space.

* Corresponding author e-mail: mohammed.essa2001@yahoo.com

The authors in ([6]) introduced the definition of $Q_{K(p,q)}$ which has attracted a lot of attention in recent years. It defined as follows

Definition 2. Let $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is right-continuous and non decreasing functions. If $0 < p < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, then an analytic function \mathcal{L} in Υ is said to belong to the space $Q_K(p, q)$ if

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{Q_K(p,q)} := \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{\Upsilon} |\mathcal{L}'(\gamma)|^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) < \infty.$$

2 Preliminaries

Definition 3. (see [7]) \mathcal{B}_α^* is define the concerned hyperbolic Bloch space as follow

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha^* = \{\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \in B(\Upsilon) \text{ and } \sup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon} (1 - |\gamma|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) < \infty\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) = \frac{|\mathcal{L}'(\gamma)|}{1 - |\mathcal{L}(\gamma)|^2}$, is concerned the hyperbolic derivative of $\mathcal{L} \in B(\Upsilon)$. See ([8])

$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}^*$ is define the little concerned hyperbolic Bloch-type class $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}^*$ consisting of all $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^*$ where

$$\lim_{|\gamma| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |\gamma|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) = 0.$$

The norm of \mathcal{B}_α^* is defined by

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^*} = |\mathcal{L}(0)| + \sup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon} (1 - |\gamma|)^\alpha |\mathcal{L}^*(\gamma)|.$$

Now, we will introduce the definition of weighted hyperbolic Bloch.

Definition 4. Let $\alpha > 0$, for $\mathcal{L} \in B(\Upsilon)$ if

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^*} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon} (1 - |\gamma|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\gamma|)} \right) \mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) < \infty,$$

thus \mathcal{L} belongs to the $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^*$.

Definition 5. (see [1]) Let $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is right-continuous and non decreasing functions. If $p > 0$, $q > -2$, then $\mathcal{L} \in \Upsilon$ is belongs to the space $Q_K^*(p, q)$ if

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{Q_K^*(p,q)} := \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{L}^*(\gamma)^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) < \infty.$$

Definition 6. A weighted composition operator $uC_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^* \rightarrow Q_K^*(p, q)$ is said to be bounded if there is a positive constant C so that $\|C_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}\|_{Q_K^*(p,q)} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^*}$ for all $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^*$.

Definition 7. A weighted composition operator $C_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^* \rightarrow Q_K^*(p, q)$ is said to be compact if it maps any ball in $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^*$ onto a precompact set in $Q_K^*(p, q)$.

Definition 8. (see [9]) Let $p, s > 0$, $\alpha < \infty$, $q > -2$ and \mathcal{U} is a holomorphic mapping from $\Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$. then $C_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^* \rightarrow Q_K^*(p, q)$ is compact if and only if for any bounded sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^\beta}^*$ which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of Υ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}_n\|_{Q_K^*(p,q)} = 0$.

3 D-metric space

The properties Topological of D- metric space in general from has been introduced in [10–12].

Definition 9. [13] If X is a nonempty set and \mathbb{R} a set of real numbers. If the function $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $D(l, s, \gamma) \geq 0$ for all $l, s, \gamma \in X$ and equality iff $l = s = \gamma$ (non negativity),

(ii) $D(l, s, \gamma) = D(l, \gamma, s) = \dots$

(iii) $D(l, s, \gamma) \leq D(l, s, a) + D(l, a, \gamma) + D(a, s, \gamma)$ for all $l, s, \gamma, a \in X$.

Then D is called a D - metric on X .

(X, D) represents D -metric space of a set X with D -metric D . The generalization of D -metric space has been introduced in [11].

Example1.1: [13] Assume that (l, d) is ordinary metric space and function D_1 on X^3 can be define in the following form

$$D_1(l, s, \gamma) = \max\{d(l, s), d(s, \gamma), d(\gamma, l)\},$$

for all $l, s, \gamma \in X$. Thus, D_1 is a D -metric on X and (X, D_1) is a D -metric space.

Example1.2: [13] Assume that (X, d) is ordinary metric space and function D_2 on X^3 can be define in the following form

$$D_2(l, s, \gamma) = d(l, s) + d(s, \gamma) + d(\gamma, l)$$

for $l, s, \gamma \in X$. Thus, D_2 is a D -metric on X and (X, D_2) is a D -metric space.

Remark. From Example1.1, we can deduce that the D -metric D_1 is the diameter of a set containing of the points l, s, γ in X . From Example1.2, we can deduce that the D -metric D_2 is the sum of the lengths of the sides of a triangle with vertices l, s, γ in X (the perimeter of a triangle).

Definition 10. (Cauchy sequence , completeness) [14] A sequence (l_n) in a metric space $X = (l, d)$ is called a Cauchy sequence if For every $m, n > N$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an $N = N(\varepsilon)$ where

$$d(l_m, l_n) < \varepsilon.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges then the space X is complete.

Theorem 1. [13] Let (X, d) is ordinary metric on X and $(X, D_1), (X, D_2)$ be corresponding D -metrics on X . Then, (X, D_1) and (X, D_2) are complete if and only if (X, d) is complete.

4 D-metrics in $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ and $Q_K^*(p, q)$

Now, we present a D -metric space on $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ and $Q_K^*(p, q)$.

Assume that $0 < p, -2 < q < \infty$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. First, we can get a D -metric in $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$, for $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) &:= D_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta) + \|\mathcal{L} - \Lambda\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*} + \|\Lambda - \vartheta\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*} \\ &+ \|\vartheta - \mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*} + |\mathcal{L}(0) - \Lambda(0)| + |\Lambda(0) - \vartheta(0)| + |\vartheta(0) - \mathcal{L}(0)|, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$D_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta) := d_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda) + d_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\Lambda, \vartheta) + d_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\vartheta, \mathcal{L})$$

and

$$D_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta) := \left(\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) - \Lambda^*(\gamma)| + \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\Lambda^*(\gamma) - \vartheta^*(\gamma)| + \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\vartheta^*(\gamma) - \mathcal{L}^*(\gamma)| \right)$$

$$\times \left((1 - |\gamma|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\gamma|)} \right) \mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) \right).$$

Therefor, for $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta \in Q_K^*(p, q)$ we present a D -metric on $Q_K^*(p, q)$ by

$$D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q)) := D_{Q_K^*(p, q)}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta) + \|\mathcal{L} - \Lambda\|_{Q_K^*(p, q)} + \|\Lambda - h\|_{Q_K^*(p, q)} + \\ \|\vartheta - f\|_{Q_K^*(p, q)} + |\mathcal{L}(0) - \Lambda(0)| + |\Lambda(0) - \vartheta(0)| + |\vartheta(0) - \mathcal{L}(0)|,$$

where

$$D_{Q_K^*(p, q)}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta) := d_{Q_K^*(p, q)}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda) + d_{Q_K^*(p, q)}(\Lambda, \vartheta) + d_{Q_K^*(p, q)}(\vartheta, \mathcal{L})$$

and

$$d_{Q_K^*(p, q)}(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda) := \left(\sup_{\gamma \in Y} \int_Y |\mathcal{L}^*(\gamma) - \Lambda^*(\gamma)|^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q (1 - |\varphi(\gamma)|^2)^s dA(\gamma) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proposition 1 The $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ class with the D -metric $D(\cdot, \cdot; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*)$ is a complete metric space. Then, $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log, 0}^*$ is a closed (and therefore complete) subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta, a \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$. Thus, clearly

$$(i) D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) \geq 0, \text{ for all } \mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*.$$

$$(ii) D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) = D(\mathcal{L}, \vartheta, g; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) = D(\Lambda, \vartheta, \mathcal{L}; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*).$$

$$(iii) D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) \leq D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, a; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) + D(\mathcal{L}, a, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) + D(a, \Lambda, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*)$$

for all $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta, a \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$.

$$(iv) D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) = 0 \text{ implies } \mathcal{L} = \Lambda = \vartheta.$$

Then, D is a D -metric on $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$, and $(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*, D)$ is D -metric space.

Now, the Theorem 1 can be used to prove the completeness. Assume that $(\mathcal{L}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in metric space $(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*, d)$. So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ where $d(\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_m; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m > N$. Since $(\mathcal{L}_n) \subset B(Y)$, the family (\mathcal{L}_n) is uniformly bounded and hence normal in Y . Hence, there is $\mathcal{L} \in B(Y)$ and a subsequence $(\mathcal{L}_{n_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ where \mathcal{L}_{n_j} converges to \mathcal{L} uniformly on compact subsets of Y . It follows that \mathcal{L}_n also converges to \mathcal{L} uniformly on compact subsets, and from the Cauchy formula, the same also holds for the derivatives. Now let $m > N$. Thus, the uniform convergence yields.

$$\left| \mathcal{L}_n^*(\gamma) - \mathcal{L}_m^*(\gamma) \right| (1 - |\gamma|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\gamma|)} \right) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathcal{L}_n^*(\gamma) - \mathcal{L}_m^*(\gamma) \right| (1 - |\gamma|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\gamma|)} \right) \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_m; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $\gamma \in Y$, and it follows that $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*} \leq \|\mathcal{L}_m\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*} + \varepsilon$. Then $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ as desired. Moreover, the above inequality and the compactness of the usual $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ space tends to $(\mathcal{L}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to \mathcal{L} with respect to the metric d , and $(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*, D)$ is complete D -metric space.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_m; \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*) \leq \varepsilon$.

Now, we introduce the characterization of complete D -metric space $D(\cdot, \cdot; Q_K^*(p, q))$.

Proposition 2 The $Q_K^*(p, q)$ class with the D -metric $D(\cdot, \cdot; Q_K^*(p, q))$ is a complete metric space. Hence, $Q_{K, 0}^*(p, q)$ is a closed (and therefore complete) subspace of $Q_K^*(p, q)$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta, a \in Q_K^*(p, q)$. Then clearly

- (i) $D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q)) \geq 0$, for all $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta \in Q_K^*(p, q)$.
- (ii) $D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q)) = D(\mathcal{L}, \vartheta, g; Q_K^*(p, q)) = D(\Lambda, \vartheta, \mathcal{L}; Q_K^*(p, q))$.
- (iii) $D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q)) \leq D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, a; Q_K^*(p, q)) + D(\mathcal{L}, a, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q))$
 $+ D(a, \Lambda, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q))$

for all $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta, a \in Q_K^*(p, q)$.

(iv) $D(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \vartheta; Q_K^*(p, q)) = 0$ tends to $\mathcal{L} = \Lambda = \vartheta$.

Therefore, D is a D -metric on $Q_K^*(p, q)$, and $(Q_K^*(p, q), D)$ is D -metric space.

We use the Theorem 1 to proof the complete, assume that $(\mathcal{L}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in the metric space $(Q_K^*(p, q), d)$, that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $d(\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_m; Q_K^*(p, q)) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m > N$. Since $(\mathcal{L}_n) \subset B(Y)$, such that \mathcal{L}_{n_j} converges to \mathcal{L} uniformly on compact subsets of Y . It follows that \mathcal{L}_n also converges to \mathcal{L} uniformly on compact subsets, now assume that $m > N$, and $0 < r < 1$. Then, the by using Fatou's we got

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Y(0,r)} \left| \mathcal{L}_n^*(\gamma) - \mathcal{L}_m^*(\gamma) \right|^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) \\ &= \int_{Y(0,r)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathcal{L}_n^*(\gamma) - \mathcal{L}_m^*(\gamma) \right|^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y(0,r)} \left| \mathcal{L}_n^*(\gamma) - \mathcal{L}_m^*(\gamma) \right|^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

and by take $r \rightarrow 1^-$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Y (\mathcal{L}_n^*(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) \\ &\leq 2^p \varepsilon^p + 2^p \int_Y (\mathcal{L}_m^*(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\|\mathcal{L}_n\|_{Q_K^*(p,q)}^p \leq 2^p \|\mathcal{L}_m\|_{Q_K^*(p,q)}^p + 2^p \varepsilon^p.$$

And thus $\mathcal{L} \in Q_K^*(p, q)$. We also find that $\mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ with respect to the metric of $(Q_K^*(p, q), D)$ and $(Q_K^*(p, q), D)$ is complete D -metric space.

5 weighted composition operators of $uC_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^* \rightarrow Q_K^*(p, q)$

The boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators on $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ and $Q_K^*(p, q)$ spaces are studied in this section. We use the following notation in the proof

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha, \beta, p, q; a) = \sup_{a \in Y} \int_Y \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) dA(\gamma).$$

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, let we have the two functions $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ with the constant C ,

$$(|\mathcal{L}^*(\gamma)| + |\Lambda^*(\gamma)|) \geq \frac{C}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} > 0, \quad \text{for each } z \in Y.$$

Now, we introduce the following theorem

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{U} be a holomorphic mapping from $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $p, q > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Thus the weighted composition operator $uC_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$ is bounded if and only if,

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}} \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha, \beta, p, q; a) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Proof. First direction let $\sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}} \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha, \beta, p, q; a) < \infty$ is achieved, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^*$ with $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^*} \leq 1$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|uC_{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)}^p \\ &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} (\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))^p |\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) \\ &\leq \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))^p (1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p \\ &\quad \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) \\ &= \|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^*}^p \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) \\ &= \|\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^*}^p \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha, \beta, p, q; a) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Second direction, by using the fact that for each function $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^*$, the analytic function $uC_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$. Then, using the functions of lemma 1.2

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^p \left\{ \|uC_{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{L}_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)}^p + \|uC_{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{L}_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)}^p \right\} \\ &= 2^p \left\{ \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \left((\mathcal{L}_1^*(\mathcal{U}(\gamma)))^p + (\mathcal{L}_2^*(\mathcal{U}(\gamma)))^p \right) |\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) dA(\gamma) \right\} \\ &\geq C \left\{ \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) \right\} \\ &\geq C \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha, \beta, p, q; a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $uC_{\mathcal{U}}$ is bounded, the proof is completed.

The weighted composition operator $uC_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$ is compact if and only if for every sequence $\mathcal{L}_n \in \mathbb{N} \subset \mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$ norm and $\mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on compact subset of the unit disk (where \mathbb{N} be the set of all natural numbers), hence,

$$\|uC_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{L}_n)\|_{\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we introduce the compactness in the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $0 < p < \infty$, $-1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Thus the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $uC_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log^{\beta}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$ is compact.

(ii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha, \beta, p, q; a) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The first direction that (ii) achieved. Assume $B := \bar{B}(\Lambda, \delta) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^*$, where $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^*$ and $\delta > 0$, is a closed ball, and Assume that $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B$ is any sequence. We need to arrive that the image has a convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$, which implies that the proof for compactness of $uC_{\mathcal{U}}$. Again, $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B(\mathcal{Y})$ implies that, there is a subsequence

$\{\mathcal{L}_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ which converges uniformly on the compact subsets of Υ to an analytic function \mathcal{L} . By using the derivative of an analytic function from Cauchy formula, the sequence $\{\mathcal{L}'_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of Υ to \mathcal{L}' . It follows that also the sequences $\{\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{\mathcal{L}'_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of Υ to $\{\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{U}\}$ and $\{\mathcal{L}' \circ \mathcal{U}\}$, respectively. Therefore, $\mathcal{L} \in B \subset \mathcal{B}_\alpha^*$ since for any fixed $R, 0 < R < 1$, the uniform convergence yield $d(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda; \mathcal{B}_\alpha^*) \leq \delta$ (see [10] pp.130).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since (ii) is achieved, we may fix $r, 0 < r < 1$, where

$$\sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) dA(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

By the uniform convergence, we may fix $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ where

$$|(\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U}(0)) - (\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{U}(0))| \leq \varepsilon. \text{ for all } j \geq N_1. \quad (4)$$

The condition (ii) is known to imply the compactness of $uC_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^* \rightarrow Q_K^*(p, q)$, hence, possibly to passing once more to a subsequence and adjusting the notations, we may assume that

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U}) - (\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{U})\|_{Q_K^*(p, q)} \leq \varepsilon. \text{ for all } j \geq N_2 \text{ for some } N_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

Now, assume

$$I_1(a, r) = \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \left((\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) - (\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) \right)^p K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q dA(\gamma).$$

and

$$I_2(a, r) = \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \leq r} \left((\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) - (\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) \right)^p K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q dA(\gamma).$$

$\{\mathcal{L}_{n_j}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset B$ and $\mathcal{L} \in B$, it follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(a, r) &= \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \left((\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) - (\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) \right)^p K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q dA(\gamma) \\ &= \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \left| \frac{(\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})'(\gamma)}{1 - |(\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})(\gamma)|^2} - \frac{(\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})'(\gamma)}{1 - |(\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})(\gamma)|^2} \right|^p \\ &\quad K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q dA(\gamma) \\ &= \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \left| \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'_{n_j}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))}{1 - |\mathcal{L}_{n_j}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))|^2} - \frac{\Lambda(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))}{1 - |\Lambda(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))|^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right) \right|^p \\ &\quad \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) \\ &\leq D_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*}(\mathcal{L}_{n_j}, \Lambda) \sup_{a \in \Upsilon} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \\ &\quad \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma) \\ &\leq \delta \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, for the uniform convergence on compact subsets of Υ , we get an $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ where for all $j \geq N_3$,

$$\left| \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'_{n_j}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))}{1 - |\mathcal{L}_{n_j}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))|^2} - \frac{\Lambda(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))}{1 - |\Lambda(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))|^2} \right) (1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

for all z with $|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \leq r$. Thus, for such j ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2(a, r) &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \leq r} \left((\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) - (\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})^*(\gamma) \right)^p K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q dA(\gamma) \\
 &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \leq r} \left| \frac{(\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})'(\gamma)}{1 - |(\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \circ \mathcal{U})(\gamma)|^2} - \frac{(\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})'(\gamma)}{1 - |(\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U})(\gamma)|^2} \right|^p \\
 &\quad K(\Lambda(\gamma, a)) (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q dA(\gamma) \\
 &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \leq r} \left| \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{n_j}'(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))}{1 - |\mathcal{L}_{n_j}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))|^2} - \frac{\Lambda(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))}{1 - |\Lambda(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))|^2} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^\alpha \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right) \right|^p \\
 &\quad \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma). \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \leq r} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)|)} \right)^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dA(\gamma) \\
 &\leq C\varepsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where C is the bounded obtained from (2). Combining (4), (5), (6) and (7) we get $\mathcal{L}_{n_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$. From the converse direction, Assume that $\mathcal{L}_n(\gamma) := \frac{1}{2} n^{\alpha-1} z^n$ for all $n \in N$, $n \geq 2$. Thus $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ belongs to the ball $B := \bar{B}(\Lambda, \delta) \subset \mathcal{B}_\alpha^*$ (see [7]). let $C_{\mathcal{U}}$ maps the closed ball $\bar{B}(0, 3) \subset \mathcal{B}_\alpha^*$ to a compact subset of $\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$. Then, we have an unbounded increasing subsequence $\{\mathcal{L}_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ where the image of the subsequence $\{C_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges with respect to its norm. Where, $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{C_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converge to 0 function uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{Y} , the limit of the last sequence must be 0. Thus,

$$\|n_j^{\alpha-1} a^{n_j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)} \rightarrow 0, j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{8}$$

Now assume that $r_j = 1 - \frac{1}{n_j}$. For all numbers $a, r_j \leq a < 1$, we get the estimate (see [7]).

$$\frac{n_j^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{U}^{n_j}}{1 - a^{n_j}} \geq \frac{1}{e(1-a)^\alpha}. \tag{9}$$

Using (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|n_j^{\alpha-1} a^{n_j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)} &\geq \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \frac{|n_j^\alpha (\mathcal{U}(\gamma))^{n_j-1} \mathcal{U}'(\gamma) (u(\gamma))|^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)^{n_j}|)^2} dA(\gamma). \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2e^p} \sup_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{|\mathcal{U}(\gamma)| \geq r} \frac{|\mathcal{U}'(\gamma)|^p (u(\gamma))^p (1 - |\gamma|^2)^q K(\Lambda(\gamma, a))}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)^{n_j}|)^{\alpha p} \left(\ln \frac{e^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 - |\mathcal{U}(\gamma)^{n_j}|)} \right)^p} dA(\gamma).
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Hence, the condition (ii) follows.

6 Conclusions

Finally, from this work, we obtained the important properties for D -metric spaces. Furthermore, we proved the essential properties for D -metric on $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \log \beta}^*$ and $\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$. In the end, we presented the proof of the boundedness and compactness for the weighted composition operators $u C_{\mathcal{U}}$ from \mathcal{B}_α^* to $\mathcal{Q}_K^*(p, q)$.

Conflict of Interests

There is no conflict of interests by authors regarding the publication of this manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Kamal and T. I. Yassen, Some properties of composition operator acting between general hyperbolic type spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **2**(2) (2015) 17–26.
- [2] I. M. Hanafy, A. Kamal and M. H. Eissa, Properties of product $T_h C_\phi$ operators from $\mathcal{B}_{\log^\beta}^\alpha$ to $\mathcal{Q}_{K,\omega}(p,q)$ spaces, *Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute* **9** (2019) 159–168.
- [3] A. Kamal and M. H. Eissa, Isometries of differentiation and composition operators on zygmund type space, *Bulletin of Pure & Applied Sciences-Mathematics and Statistics* **38**(2) (2019) 592–602.
- [4] O. Hu and X. Zhu, Compact generalized weighted composition operators on the bergman space, *Opuscula Mathematica* **37**(2) (2017).
- [5] S. Stević, On an integral-type operator from logarithmic Bloch-type and mixed-norm spaces to Bloch-type spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **71**(12) (2009) 6323–6342.
- [6] R. Rashwan, A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Integral characterizations of weighted Bloch space and $\mathcal{Q}_{K,\omega}(p,q)$ spaces, *Mathematica* **74**(1) (2009) 63–76.
- [7] F. Pérez-González, J. Rättyä and J. Taskinen, Lipschitz continuous and compact composition operators in hyperbolic classes, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* **8**(1) (2011) 123–135.
- [8] T. Hosokawa, Differences of weighted composition operators on the Bloch spaces, *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory* **3**(4) (2009) 847–866.
- [9] M. Tjani, Compact composition operators on Besov spaces, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **355**(11) (2003) 4683–4698.
- [10] B. Dhage, *A study of some fixed point theorems*, PhD thesis, Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India 1984.
- [11] B. Dhage, Generalised metric space and mappings with fixed point, *Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society* **84**(4) 329–336.
- [12] B. Dhage, On generalized metric spaces and topological structure. II, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.* **40**(1-2) (1994) 37–41.
- [13] B. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and topological structure. i, *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi* **46**(1) (2000) 3–24.
- [14] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications* (New York: wiley, 1978).