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Abstract: The transmission of ‘Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)’ that causes the ‘Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)’ is strongly associated with un-protected sex and at the present understanding this epidemic can reach higher prevalence threshold level when there are extensive sexual contacts between the sex workers and general population. In the present work, we investigate a nonlinear model for studying the transmission dynamics of HIV/AIDS epidemic with emphasis on the role of female sex workers. Here, we consider only the heterosexual transmissions of HIV/AIDS and formulate the mathematical model by dividing the total adult population under consideration into three different classes: male, female and female sex workers. We assume different rates of recruitment for different classes of the population. The equilibria of the model and their stability are discussed in detail. The basic reproduction number \( R_0 \) of the model is computed and it is shown that the disease-free equilibrium is stable only when \( R_0 < 1 \). When the associated reproduction number \( R_0 > 1 \), the endemic equilibrium is globally stable. Finally, the numerical simulations are reported to support the presented analytical results.
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1 Introduction

The ‘Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)’ is a lenti-virus (i.e. a slowly replicating retrovirus) that causes ‘Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)’ - a condition in humans in which progressive failure of the immune system allows life-threatening opportunistic infections and cancers to infest and thrive. HIV is one of the most prevalent life threatening viruses active across the globe and more so in the developing and low income countries. Based upon documentary evidence, it was first reported in the year 1981 in an issue of the Morbidity and Morality Weekly Report (MMWR), published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), USA. As per the estimates of WHO and UNAIDS [1,2] there were around 34 million people living with HIV at the end of 2011 among which 30.7 million [28.2-32.3 million] were adults and 16.7 million [15.7 - 17.6 million] were women. In the same year, around 2.5 million [2.2-2.8 million] people became newly infected, and 1.7 million died of AIDS.

As per the current scientific understanding, the infection with HIV can occur by the transfer of blood, semen, vaginal fluid, pre-ejaculate, or breast milk and within these bodily fluids HIV is present as both free virus particles and virus within infected immune cells [3]. Within the scope of this paper, we note that in the context of prostitution in developing and low income countries, the risk of female-to-male HIV transmission has been estimated as 2.4% per act and male-to-female transmission as 0.05% per act [4].

The HIV infects critically important cells (i.e. helper T cells - specifically cluster of differentiation (CD4+) T cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells) in the human immune system and it leads to decaying levels of the CD4+ T cells through a number of mechanisms including: apoptosis of uninfected bystander cells, direct viral killing of infected cells, and killing of infected CD4+ T cells by CD8 cytotoxic lymphocytes that recognize infected cells. And, when the CD4+ T cell numbers decline below a critical level, the cell-mediated immunity which protects against infections, is lost. The body becomes progressively more susceptible to opportunistic infections with loss of the cell-mediated immunity, e.g. illness, cancers, or neurological problems.
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Among these infections, the ‘Tubercle Bacillus (TB)’ remains one of the most common life-threatening opportunistic infections which kills nearly a quarter of a million people living with HIV each year [2]. The estimates of [5] consider that there are about 2 million (range 1.0-16.2 million) female sex workers in India. In India, about 85% of new infections are associated with heterosexual transmission, especially sex workers (SWs), their clients and the sexual contacts of their clients [6,7,8]. Furthermore, since most of the male clients of female SWs are married, the onward transmission of HIV is certain, specifically from HIV-positive men to their wives [6,9]. The overall lifetime possibility of a sex worker getting HIV infected is higher as compared to the general population which incorporates multiple risk factors, including multiple sexual partners, unprotected work conditions, barriers to negotiating the regular condom use, lack of access to appropriate health services, high prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and sharing of injecting equipment, etc. [10]. In some of the recent studies, it has been noted that the female SWs are 13.5 times more likely to acquire HIV than all other women aged between 15-49 years, including in high HIV-prevalence countries [11,12].

The environment and context in which sex workers live and work do not support them to control the risk factors [13,14]. Because of these reasons, sex workers have been considered a key population and in our opinion the interventions need to be designed and implemented to guide and empower this specific population if the epidemic is to be controlled [15,16].

The epidemiological modelling allows us to understand the dynamics of an epidemic and to develop various control and prevention policies. It helps researchers to investigate how the system components are connected and how this connection may contribute to the growth or prevention of a specific disease. Furthermore, coupling of two or more infectious diseases can be studied via epidemiological modeling. A simple ‘Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR)’ model of infectious diseases was developed and studied by Kermack & McKendrick in year 1927, and that forms the foundation of almost all the epidemic models studied thereafter.

The mathematical modelling of diseases aims to improve scientific support for decision making by incorporating and analyzing the major factors responsible for the transmission of the epidemic. In the present time, mathematical models are extensively used to study epidemics to figure out the analytical conclusions based upon the epidemiological assumptions [17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25]. Several modelling studies have been published recently that are intended to provide information to policy makers to support the development of policies and strategies for reducing the overall transmission rate of HIV infection. Numerous mathematical models have been developed to examine the role of various modes of transmission, sexual transmission of HIV incorporating effect of awareness and treatment, effect of screening of HIV infectives [17,20,26,27,28,29].

In our opinion, the sex workers, their clients and regular partners are core population group which is at risk for being infected with HIV. From the available data, it has been estimated that the group sex events (GSEs) are common in developing and low income countries, e.g. Kenya, Bangladesh, India, and Argentina [30,31,32,33,34]. The increasing testaments do suggest that the higher-risk behavior is substantially underreported in most of the HIV control and prevention surveys [35]. Therefore, in the present analysis, the attempts are made to explore the role of female sex-workers and their clients in the transmission of HIV infection.

The paper is organized: Section 2 presents the formulation of nonlinear ODE model, Section 3 describes the basic properties of the model the computation of basic reproduction number, Section 4 presents the stability analysis of the model. Section 5 reports the numerical simulations to verify our theoretical, and finally Section 6 summarizes some of the key findings of our research.

## 2 Description of the model

The total sexually active population at time t denoted by N(t) or N, is subdivide into mutually-exclusive compartments, namely HIV-susceptible male (Sm), HIV-susceptible female (Sf), HIV-susceptible female sex-workers (Sfs), HIV-infected male (Im), HIV-infected female (If), HIV-infected female sex-workers (Ifs), AIDS-infected male (Am), AIDS-infected female (Af) and AIDS-infected female sex-workers (Af). We have assumed that the AIDS patients are not participating in the transmission of viruses. \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \) denotes the recruitment rate of the population in male, female and female sex-worker classes, respectively. \( \beta_i (i = 1; 2; 3; 4) \) are the rates of transmission of infection from infectives to susceptibles; \( \mu \) is the natural death rate constant; \( d \) is the disease induced mortality rate in AIDS classes \( (A_m; A_f; A_fs) \); \( b_1, b_2, b_3 \) are the progression rates from HIV infective male, female and female sex-workers to respective AIDS class. Above mentioned variables, parameters and assumptions result in the following deterministic system of non-linear differential equations...
that describe the model:

\[ S_m = A_{m} = -b_{S}S_{m}I_{f} - b_{S}S_{m}I_{f} - \mu S_{m} \]
\[ I_{m} = \beta_{S}S_{m}I_{m} + \beta_{S}S_{m}I_{f} - (b_{I} + \mu)I_{m} \]
\[ A_{m} = b_{I}I_{m} - (\mu + d)A_{m} \]
\[ S_{f} = A_{f} - \beta_{S}S_{f}I_{m} - \mu S_{f} \]
\[ I_{f} = \beta_{S}S_{f}I_{m} - (b_{I} + \mu)I_{f} \]
\[ A_{f} = b_{I}I_{f} - (\mu + d)A_{f} \]

\[ (1.1) \]

3. Analysis of the model

3.1 Positivity and Boundedness of the Solutions

Theorem 3.1. For all time \( t \geq 0 \), all the solutions of the system (1.1) are eventually confined in the compact subset

\[ \Omega = \{ (S_{m}, S_{f}, S_{f}, I_{m}, I_{f}, I_{f}, \Lambda_{m}, \Lambda_{f}, \Lambda_{f}) \in \mathbb{R}^{9}_{+} : N = (S_{m}(t) + S_{f}(t) + S_{f}(t) + I_{m}(t) + I_{f}(t) + I_{f}(t) + \Lambda_{m}(t) + \Lambda_{f}(t) + \Lambda_{f}(t)) \leq \frac{A}{\mu} \} \]

Proof. Let \( (S_{m}(t), S_{f}(t), S_{f}(t), I_{m}(t), I_{f}(t), I_{f}(t), \Lambda_{m}(t), \Lambda_{f}(t), \Lambda_{f}(t)) \) be any solution with positive initial conditions.

We have,

\[ N(t) = S_{m}(t) + S_{f}(t) + S_{f}(t) + I_{m}(t) + I_{f}(t) + I_{f}(t) + \Lambda_{m}(t) + \Lambda_{f}(t) + \Lambda_{f}(t) \]

The time derivative of \( N(t) \) along the solution of (1.1) is

\[ \frac{dN}{dt} = A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3} - \mu N - d(A_{m} + A_{f} + A_{f}) \]

\[ \leq (A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3}) - \mu N, \text{ i.e. } \frac{dN}{dt} + \mu N \leq A, \]

where \( A = A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3} \), the total recruitment rate in the population under consideration. Using theory of differential equations, we get \( N \leq \frac{A}{\mu}(1 - e^{-\mu t}) + N_{0}e^{-\mu t} \), and for \( t \to \infty \), we have

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \text{sup} N \leq \frac{A}{\mu} \]

Clearly, it has been proved that all the solutions of (1.1) which initiate in \( \mathbb{R}^9_{+} \) confined in the region \( \Omega \) i.e. solutions are bounded in the interval \([0, \infty)\).

Now we will discuss the existence of all possible equilibria of the model system (1.1). We found that the system (1.1) has two possible non-negative equilibria namely the disease-free equilibrium \( E_{0} \) and the endemic equilibrium \( E_{1} \).

3.2 Disease-free equilibrium \( E_{0} \) and the basic reproduction number \( R_{0} \)

The disease-free equilibrium (DFE) is always feasible, as at this equilibrium the infection eradicates from the population. The DFE for the model system (1.1), is given by

\[ E_{0} = (S_{m}, S_{f}, S_{f}, I_{m}, I_{f}, I_{f}, 0, A_{m}, 0, 0) \]

The threshold quantity the basic reproduction number \( R_{0} \) measures the average number of new HIV infections generated by a single infectious in a completely susceptible population in his/her whole infectious period. Using the standard notation in Ref. [36, 37], the matrices \( F \) and \( V \), for the new infection terms and the remaining transfer terms respectively, corresponding to the system (1.1) are computed as follows:

\[ F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1}A_{1} & \beta_{2}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{1}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{2}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{1}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{2}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

and

\[ F = \text{Jacboian of } \mathcal{F} \text{ at DFE } = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1}A_{1} & \beta_{2}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{1}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{2}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{1}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{2}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

The basic reproduction number \( R_{0} \) of the model system (1.1) is given by the spectral radius of the largest eigenvalue of the next generation matrix

\[ R_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{A(\Lambda_{2} + \Lambda_{3} + \Lambda_{3} + \Lambda_{2})}{\mu^2(b_{2} + \mu)(b_{2} + \mu)(b_{2} + \mu)}} \]
3.3 Existence of endemic equilibrium

The endemic equilibrium point \( E_1 = (S_m^*, S_f^*, I_m^*, I_f^*, A_m^*, A_f^*) \) is given as

\[
S_m^* = \frac{\lambda_1 - (b_1 + \mu)I_m^*}{\mu}, \quad S_f^* = \frac{\lambda_2}{\beta I_m^* + \mu}, \quad S_{f_s}^* = \frac{\lambda_3}{\beta I_m^* + \mu}
\]

\[
I_f^* = \frac{\lambda_2 \beta I_m^*}{(\beta I_m^* + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)}, \quad I_{f_s}^* = \frac{\lambda_3 \beta I_m^*}{(\beta I_m^* + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)}
\]

\[
A_m^* = \frac{b_1 I_m^*}{\mu + \delta}, \quad A_f^* = \frac{b_2 \lambda_2 \beta I_m^*}{(\mu + \delta)(\beta I_m^* + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)}
\]

\[
A_{f_s}^* = \frac{b_2 \lambda_2 \beta I_m^*}{(\mu + \delta)(\beta I_m^* + \mu)(b_3 + \mu)}
\]

It is easy to note that each of the variables is positive if \( I_m^* > 0 \). Here \( I_m^* \) is given by the roots of the following quadratic equation,

\[
D_1 I_m^{2} + D_2 I_m + D_3 = 0
\]

where

\[
D_1 = [\lambda_2 \beta_2 (b_3 + \mu) + \lambda_3 \beta_2 (b_2 + \mu)] + \mu (b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu) + \mu^2 (b_3 + \beta_3)(b_1 + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu),
\]

\[
D_2 = -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \beta_2 \beta_3 (b_2 + \mu) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \beta_1 \beta_3 (b_3 + \mu) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \beta_2 \beta_3 (b_3 + \mu) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 \beta_4 (b_2 + \mu) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 (b_1 + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu) + \mu^2 (b_3 + \beta_3)(b_1 + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu) + \mu^2 \beta_4 (b_1 + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu)
\]

\[
D_3 = \lambda_2 \beta_2 \beta_3 (b_2 + \mu) + \lambda_3 \beta_2 \beta_3 (b_3 + \mu) + \lambda_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 \beta_4 (b_2 + \mu) + \lambda_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 (b_1 + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu) + \lambda_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 (b_1 + \mu)(b_2 + \mu)(b_3 + \mu)
\]

Now when \( R_0 < 1 \) we have \( D_1 > 0 \), and \( D_2 > 0 \). Further, as the rate of transmission in female sex worker \( \beta_4 \) is always greater than or equal to the rate of transmission in susceptible female \( \beta_3 \). Hence \( R_0 < 1 \) implies the first bracketed term in the expression of \( D_2 \) is positive. So in this case there is no change in signs of \( D_1 \), \( D_2 \) and \( D_3 \). Hence Descartes’s Rule of Signs ensures that there is no positive root of the above quadratic equation for \( R_0 < 1 \). When \( R_0 > 1 \), we have \( D_1 > 0 \), \( D_2 > 0 \) and \( D_3 < 0 \) can be positive or negative. And in this case above quadratic equation gives unique positive root irrespective of the sign of \( D_2 \). This positive root we term as \( I_m^* \). Hence, existence of one positive real root (\( I_m^* \)) assures the positivity of endemic equilibrium point for \( R_0 > 1 \).

4 Stability Analysis

**Theorem 4.1** The disease-free equilibrium \( E_0 \), is locally asymptotically stable when \( R_0 < 1 \) and unstable otherwise.

**Proof.** To study the stability of DFE \( E_0 \) the Jacobian matrix \( M_1 \) of the system (1.1) has been calculated and it has been seen that six eigenvalues of the matrix are \(-\mu, -\mu, -\mu, -(\mu + d), -(\mu + d), -(\mu + d)\) and rest of the three eigenvalues are the roots of the following cubic equation,

\[
a_0 \lambda^3 + a_1 \lambda^2 + a_2 \lambda + a_3 = 0,
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
    a_0 &= 1, \\
    a_1 &= x + y + z, \\
    a_2 &= xy + yz + zx - \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2}{\mu^2} - \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3}{\mu^2}, \\
    a_3 &= xyz - \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2 z}{\mu^2} - \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3 y}{\mu^2} = xz(1 - R_0^2),
\end{align*}
\]
and \(x = b_1 + \mu, y = b_2 + \mu, z = b_3 + \mu\). Clearly \(a_1 > 0, a_3 > 0\) for \(R_0 < 1\) and \(a_1 a_2 - a_3\) is given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_1 a_2 - a_3 &= (x + y + z) \left[ xy + yz + zx - \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2}{\mu^2} - \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3}{\mu^2} \right] \\
    &\quad - yz + \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2 z}{\mu^2} + \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3 y}{\mu^2}, \\
    &= (x + y) \left[ (x + z) - \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2}{\mu^2} - \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3}{\mu^2} \right] \\
    &\quad + xy + xz - \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3}{\mu^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to visualize that \(a_1 a_2 - a_3\) is positive for \(R_0 < 1\) as \(R_0 < 1\) corresponds to \(x + y + z > \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 A_1 A_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 A_1 A_3}{\mu^2}\).

Hence using Routh-Hurwitz criteria, the roots of the above cubic have negative real parts. Thus DEF \(E_0\) is locally asymptotically stable for \(R_0 < 1\).

**Global stability of disease-free equilibrium.**

We will use the theorem by Castillo-Chavez et al.\[38\], to prove the global stability result.

**Theorem 4.2** If the model system (1.1) can be written in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
    X'(t) &= F(X,Y), \\
    Y'(t) &= G(X,Y), \quad G(X,0) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X = S\) and \(S = (S_m, S_f, S_{fa})\) and \(Y = (I_m, I_f, I_{fa}, A_m, A_f, A_{fa})\) with \(X \in \mathbb{R}^6_{+}\) denoting the number of infected individuals and \(Y \in \mathbb{R}^6_{+}\) denoting number of HIV infectives and AIDS patients.

The disease-free equilibrium is denoted here by \(E_0 = (X_0,0) = (\frac{\mu}{\beta_1}, \frac{\mu}{\beta_2}, \frac{\mu}{\beta_3}, 0, 0, 0)\).

The conditions (H1) and (H2) below must be met to guarantee global asymptotic stability.

**H1:** For \(X'(t) = F(X,Y), X_0\) is globally asymptotically stable (g.a.s.),

**H2:** \(G(X,Y) = AY - G(X,Y), G(X,Y) \geq 0\) for \((X,Y) \in \Omega\) where \(A = D_Y G(X_0,0)\) is an M-matrix (the off diagonal elements of \(A\) are nonnegative) and \(\Omega\) is the region where the model forms biological sense. If system (1.1) satisfies the conditions mentioned in Eq. (4.1), then the fixed point \(E_0 = (X_0,0)\) is a globally asymptotic stable equilibrium of model system (1.1) provided \(R_0 < 1\). For system (1.1) the result is stated and proved in Theorem 4.3, given below:

**Theorem 4.3** The fixed point \(E_0 = (X_0,0)\) is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium of system (1.1) provided that \(R_0 < 1\) and the assumptions in Eq. (4.1) are satisfied.

**Proof.** In Theorem 4.1 we have proved that for \(R_0 < 1\), \(E_0\) is locally asymptotically stable. Applying Theorem 4.2 to model system (1.1), consider \(F(X_0,0) = \Gamma - \mu S, \Gamma = (A_1, A_2, A_3)^T, G(X,Y) = AY - G(X,Y)\), where,

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
    (b_1 + \mu) & \beta_3 S_m & \beta_3 S_f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    -b_2 & 0 & 0 & -b_1 - \mu & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & -b_1 + \mu & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & -b_1 + \mu \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Therefore,

\[
\hat{G}(X,Y) = \begin{pmatrix}
    G_1(X,Y) \\
    G_2(X,Y) \\
    G_3(X,Y) \\
    G_4(X,Y) \\
    G_5(X,Y)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
    \beta_1 (S_m^0 - S_m) I_f + \beta_2 (S_f^0 - S_m) I_{fa} \\
    \beta_3 (S_f^0 - S_f) I_m \\
    \beta_4 (S_{fa}^0 - S_f) I_m \\
    0 \\
    0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then, \(S_m^0 \geq S_m, S_f^0 \geq S_f, S_{fa}^0 \geq S_{fa}\), hence it is clear that \(\hat{G}(X,Y) \geq 0\) for all \((X,Y) \in \mathbb{R}^6_{+}\). We also notice that the matrix \(A\) is an M-Matrix since all its off-diagonal elements are non-negative. Hence, this proves the global stability of the DFE \((E_0)\).

**Theorem 4.4** The endemic equilibrium, \(E_1 = (S_m, S_f, I_f, I_{fa}, A_m, A_f, A_{fa})\), of model (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** To prove global stability result we propose the following Lyapunov function

\[
\begin{align*}
    V_1 &= c_1 (S_m - S_m^0 - S_m^0 \ln \frac{S_m}{S_m^0}) + c_2 (S_f - S_f^0 - S_f^0 \ln \frac{S_f}{S_f^0}) - c_3 (I_f - I_f^0 - I_f^0 \ln \frac{I_f}{I_f^0}) + c_4 (I_{fa} - I_{fa}^0 - I_{fa}^0 \ln \frac{I_{fa}}{I_{fa}^0}),
\end{align*}
\]

The time derivative of \(V_1\) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    V_1 &= c_1 \left( 1 - \frac{S_m}{S_m^0} \right) S_m + c_2 \left( 1 - \frac{S_f}{S_f^0} \right) S_f + c_3 \left( 1 - \frac{I_f}{I_f^0} \right) I_f + c_4 \left( 1 - \frac{I_{fa}}{I_{fa}^0} \right) I_{fa}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
= c_1 \left( 1 - \frac{S_m}{S_m^0} \right) [A_1 - \beta_1 S_m I_f - \beta_2 S_m I_{fa} - \mu S_m] + c_2 \left( 1 - \frac{S_f}{S_f^0} \right) [A_2 - \beta_3 S_f I_m - \mu S_f] + c_3 \left( 1 - \frac{I_f}{I_f^0} \right) [A_3 - \beta_4 S_f I_m - \mu S_{fa}] + c_4 \left( 1 - \frac{I_{fa}}{I_{fa}^0} \right) [\beta_1 S_m I_f + \beta_2 S_m I_{fa} - (b_1 + \mu) I_m] + c_5 \left( 1 - \frac{I_{fa}}{I_{fa}^0} \right) [\beta_3 S_f I_m - (b_2 + \mu) I_f].
\]
\[ + \frac{c_6}{b} \left( 1 - \frac{T_{fs}^m}{I_{fs}} \right) \left[ \beta_b S_{fs}^m I_{fs} - (b_3 + \mu) I_{fs} \right]. \] (4.2)

The model system (1.1) satisfy the following relations on the equilibrium point:
\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \beta_b S_{fs} I_{fs} + \beta_b S_{m}^m I_{fs} + \mu S_{m}^m, \\
(b_1 + \mu) &= \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f + \beta_b S_{m}^m I_{m}^f, \\
A_2 &= \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f + \mu S_f, \\
(b_2 + \mu) &= \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f, \\
A_3 &= \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f + \mu S_f, \\
(b_3 + \mu) &= \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f.
\end{align*}
\]

Substitute the parameter values from (b_3 + \mu) in (4.2), we obtain
\[
V_1 = c_1 \left( 1 - \frac{T_{m}^m}{S_m^m} \right) \left[ \beta_b S_{m}^m I_{m}^f + \beta_b S_{m}^m I_{m}^f - \beta_b S_{m}^m I_{m}^f - \mu S_{m}^m \right] + c_2 \left( 1 - \frac{T_{m}^m}{S_f} \right) \left[ \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f + \mu S_f - \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f - \mu S_f \right] + c_3 \left( 1 - \frac{T_{m}^f}{S_f} \right) \left[ \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f + \mu S_f - \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f - \mu S_f \right] + c_4 \left( 1 - \frac{T_{m}^f}{S_f} \right) \left[ \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f + \mu S_f - \beta_b S_f I_{m}^f - \mu S_f \right] = -c_1 \mu \left( S_m - S_m^m \right)^2 - c_2 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_3 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_4 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_1 \mu \left( S_m - S_m^m \right)^2 - c_2 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_3 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_4 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2.
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
S_{m}^m &= x_1, \quad S_{m}^m &= x_2, \quad S_{m}^m &= x_3, \quad I_{m}^f &= x_4, \quad f_s &= x_5, \quad f_s &= x_6, \quad S_{m}^m &= a, \quad S_{m}^m &= b, \quad S_{m}^m &= c, \quad S_{m}^m &= d.
\end{align*}
\]

We get,
\[
f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \begin{cases} - (c_1 \beta_1 a + c_4 \beta_1 a) x_1 x_5 & \text{for } \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} \geq 2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} \geq 4, \\
\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} + \frac{1}{x_4} + \frac{1}{x_5} + \frac{1}{x_6} + \frac{1}{x_7} + \frac{1}{x_8} + \frac{1}{x_9} + \frac{1}{x_{10}} + \frac{1}{x_{11}} + \frac{1}{x_{12}} + \frac{1}{x_{13}}. 
\end{cases}
\]

Since, the arithmetic mean is greater than or equal to the geometric mean, we have
\[
\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} \geq 3 \text{ and } \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} \geq 4.
\]

Finally, we obtain,
\[
V_1 = -c_1 \mu \left( S_m - S_m^m \right)^2 - c_2 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_3 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_4 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_1 \mu \left( S_m - S_m^m \right)^2 - c_2 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_3 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2 - c_4 \mu \left( S_f - S_f^m \right)^2.
\]

Thus, it implies \( V_1 \leq 0 \) in \( \Omega \). The equality \( V_1 = 0 \) holds only for \( x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = x_6 = 1 \) for which \( S_m = S_m^m, S_f = S_f^m, S_f = S_f^m, I_m = I_m^f, I_f = I_f^m \).

From the LaSalle’s invariance principle [39], the unique endemic equilibrium \( E_1 \) of system (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable for \( R_0 > 1 \).

## 5 Numerical simulation

The objective of these simulations is to illustrate some of the theoretical results obtained in this paper. The system (1.1) is simulated for various set of parameters using XPPAUT [40]. In Figure 2, the stability of disease-free equilibrium point \( E_0 \) is shown for parameters values \( A_1 = 80, A_2 = 60, A_3 = 50, \beta_1 = 0.0005, \beta_2 = 0.0002, \beta_3 = 0.0001, \beta_4 = 0.0003, b_1 = 0.107261, b_2 = 0.0924, b_3 = 0.25, \mu = 0.0743, d = 0.123 \). The basic reproduction number for this set of parameters is 0.939145 and the disease-free equilibrium point \( E_0 \) is
Fig. 2: Stability of the disease-free equilibrium point \( E_0 \) for \( R_0 = 0.939145 \).

Fig. 3: Stability of the endemic equilibrium point \( E_1 \) for \( R_0 = 1.460919 \).

Here, Figure 3 corresponds to the stability of the endemic equilibrium point \( E_1 \) for the parameter values
\[
\Lambda_1 = 200, \Lambda_2 = 150, \Lambda_3 = 100, \beta_1 = 0.0002, \beta_2 = 0.0004, \beta_3 = 0.0002, \beta_4 = 0.0004, b_1 = 0.4, b_2 = 0.3, b_3 = 0.5, \mu = 0.0463, d = 0.123
\]
For this set of parameter values the basic reproduction number \( R_0 \) is evaluated as \( R_0 = 1.460919 \) and endemic equilibrium point is \((1341.5, 1387.7, 588.64, 308.96, 247.62, 133.16, 729.97, 438.77, 393.27)\). The phase portrait of this equilibrium point in \( S_m - I_m; S_I - I_I \) and \( S_{fs} - I_{fs} \) planes are shown in Figures. 4 – 6. In Figure 7, the sensitivity of different population groups (i.e. susceptible males, HIV infective males, AIDS infected males, AIDS infected female, AIDS infected female sex workers) is shown for different values of \( \beta_2 \). It is observed that the number of HIV infectives increases with the increase in this parameter \( \beta_2 \), which corresponds to the rate of transmission due to female sex-workers.

6 Discussion

In developing and low income countries, it has been widely reported that the female sex workers are one of the most vulnerable groups in transmission of the HIV. As the HIV transmission is strongly associated with un-protected sex, the WHO has framed guidelines [10] for prevention
and treatment of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections for sex workers in developing and low income countries. As per these guidelines, the focus and emphasis is to be on working towards the decriminalization of sex workers. Furthermore, these guidelines have outlined a set of interventions that includes: 1) health services should be made available, accessible and acceptable to sex workers grounded on the principles of avoidance of stigma, non-discrimination; 2) to empower and make aware the sex workers and emphasize that correct and consistent use of condoms; 3) offering periodic screening for asymptomatic STIs to female sex workers; 4) voluntary HIV testing and counseling for couples and female sex workers. Certainly, all these can be helpful to reduce HIV transmission.

This paper has been motivated by the importance in understanding the role of female sex workers in transmission of the HIV an observation widely accepted in all the major reports of the WHO. In this paper, the disease-free equilibrium is globally stable for \( R_0 < 1 \) whereas the endemic equilibrium is globally stable for \( R_0 > 1 \). Our numerical simulations demonstrate that with
the increase in the rate of transmission due to female sex-workers, the total HIV infective population increases. Based upon the presented results, we conclude that to control the spread of HIV/AIDS epidemic, awareness among sex workers and their clients will play a very effective role. Hence, the need is to make preventive and informed services for the sex workers. More widespread prevention efforts at a larger level with proper coverage are required that include changing the social and legal context of the sex workers.

Additionally, from the available research reports we can observe that the stigma and discrimination attached to sex workers are barriers in effective and equitable health care and treatment. Furthermore, the criminalization of the sex workers restricts individuals from availing the health services which can improve their health and can increase their life span. In this regard, the available evidences suggest that the stigma reduction is critically important in efficient implementation of HIV prevention, care, and treatment policies [34].

We believe that the analysis reported in this paper can be strengthened by better understanding of limitations of the model and with appropriate real world data. The interventions program and policies need to be designed to guide and empower female sex workers to adopt healthier sex behavior and to reduce their risk of HIV infection. Our future work will go in this direction and currently, this is under investigation.
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