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Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to study common fixed point theorems for set-valued and single-valued mappings in different fuzzy metric spaces, namely; fuzzy metric, fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces. We extend some definitions to the aforementioned fuzzy metric spaces. The results of Fisher [1], Sharma [2] and Tiwari [3] have been extended throughout the paper. We prove common fixed point theorems for hybrid mappings in fuzzy metric, fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces.

Keywords: Fuzzy metric, fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces, weakly compatible mappings, common fixed point.

1 Introduction

The emergence of fuzzy set theory to human knowledge has opened the way for advanced methods of reasoning. The fuzzy thinking and reasoning is scientifically appropriate for solving real life problems in various fields such as medicine, economic and engineering. The metric functions are used to derive general method for measuring similarity between elements. The concept of two metrics considers two viewpoints for similarities. These concepts assist in many directions especially in the process of information technology where fuzzy concepts play an important role in decision making.

Heilpern [4], Chang [5,6] and others studied fixed point theorems for fuzzy contraction mappings. Kaleva and Seikkala [7] studied fuzzy sets and systems on fuzzy metric space. This work was extended to a pair of fuzzy contraction mappings by Bose and Sahani [8]. Park and Jeong [9] proved the existence of common fixed point for fuzzy mappings in complete metric space, which are the fuzzy extensions of theorems as proved by Beg and Azam [10]. Tantawy and Abu-Donia [11] presented common fixed point for a pair of fuzzy mapping in 2-metric space. Singh and Chauhan [12] and Vasuki [13] introduced the concept of R-weakly commuting and compatible maps in fuzzy metric space. The notions of weak compatible and semi compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces were introduced by Singh and Jain [14].

Further, Sharma [15], Sharma and Tiwari [3] studied unique common fixed point for three mappings in fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces. Likewise, Abu-Donia and Abd Rabou [16,17] studied unique common fixed point for four self and hybrid mappings in fuzzy metric, fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces. Abd Rabou [18] studied unique common fixed point for sequences of mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. In this paper we extend new definitions in fuzzy metric, fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces. We also extend the results of Fisher [1], Sharma [15], Sharma and Tiwari [3]. We prove common fixed point theorems for hybrid mappings in fuzzy metric, fuzzy 2-metric and fuzzy 3-metric spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Now we begin with some definitions

Definition 2.1 [19] A binary operation * : [0,1]² → [0,1] is called a continuous t-norm if ([0,1], *) is an Abelian topological monoid with the unit 1 such that a₁ * b₁ ≤ a₂ * b₂ whenever a₁ ≤ a₂ and b₁ ≤ b₂ for all a₁, a₂, b₁, b₂ ∈ [0,1].

For example, a₁ * b₁ = a₁ b₁ or a₁ * b₁ = min{a₁, b₁}.

Definition 2.2 [20] The 3-tuple (X,M,*) is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-
norm and Mis a fuzzy set in \(X^2 \times (0, \infty)\) satisfying the following conditions for all \(x, y, z \in X\) and \(t_1, t_2 > 0\)

(FM-1) \(M(x, y, 0) = 0\),
(FM-2) \(M(x, y, z) = 1\) for all \(t > 0\) if and only if \(x = y\),
(FM-3) \(M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)\),
(FM-4) \(M(x, z, t_1 + t_2) \geq M(x, y, t_1) + M(y, z, t_2)\),
(FM-5) \(M(x, y, z) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]\) is left continuous.

\((FM)\)

\(\lim_{t \to 0} M(x, y, t) = 1\) for all \(x, y \in X\).

Note that \(M(x, y, t)\) can be thought of as the degree of nearness between \(x\) and \(y\) with respect to \(t\).

**Definition 2.3 [13]** Let \((X, M, \ast)\) be a fuzzy metric space: A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in fuzzy metric space \(X\) is said to be convergent to a point \(x \in X\) if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1\), for all \(t > 0\).

A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in fuzzy metric space \(X\) is called a Cauchy sequence, if

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n + p, x_n, t) = 1, \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } p > 0.\]

A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

**Definition 2.4 [22, 23]** A binary operation \(* : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]\) is called a continuous \(t\)-norm if \((0, 1, \ast)\) is an Abelian topological monoid with the unit 1 such that \(a_1 * b_1 * c_1 \leq a_2 * b_2 * c_2\) whenever \(a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \leq b_2\) and \(c_1 \leq c_2\) for all \(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in [0, 1]\).

**Definition 2.5 [22, 23]** The 3-tuple \((X, M, \ast)\) is called a fuzzy 2-metric space if \(X\) is an arbitrary set, \(*\) is a continuous \(t\)-norm and \(M\) is a fuzzy set in \(X^3 \times (0, \infty)\) satisfying the following conditions for all \(x, y, z, u \in X\) and \(t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0\)

(FM-1) \(M(x, y, z, 0) = 0\),
(FM-2) \(M(x, y, z, t) = 1\) for all \(t > 0\) when at least two of the three points are equal,
(FM-3) \(M(x, y, z, t) = M(x, z, y, t) = M(y, x, z, t)\),

\((Symmetry\; about\; three\; variables)\)

(FM-4) \(M(x, y, z, t) + M(x, z, y, t) \geq M(x, u, z, t_1) + M(u, y, x, t)\),

\((This\; corresponds\; to\; tetrahedron\; inequality\; in\; 2-metric\; space)\)

The function \(M(x, y, z, t)\) may be interpreted as the probability that the area of triangle is less than \(t\).

(FM-5) \(M(x, y, z, t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]\) is left continuous.

(FM-6) \(\lim_{t \to 0} M(x, y, z, t) = 1\), for all \(x, y, z \in X\).

**Definition 2.6 [22, 23]** Let \((X, M, \ast)\) be a fuzzy 2-metric space: A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in fuzzy 2-metric space \(X\) is said to be convergent to a point \(x \in X\) if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, z, t) = 1\), for all \(z \in X\) and \(t > 0\).

A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in fuzzy 2-metric space \(X\) is called a Cauchy sequence, if

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n + p, x_n, z, t) = 1, \text{ for all } z \in X \text{ and } t > 0, p > 0.\]

A fuzzy 2-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.
$z \in X, t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = r$ and $r \in X$.

**Definition 2.12** [16] Any two maps $S$ and $T$ from a fuzzy $3$-metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ST x_n, T S x_n, z, w, t) = 1$ for all $z, w \in X, t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = r$ and $r \in X$.

**Definition 2.13** [16] Any two maps $S$ and $T$ from a fuzzy metric space or fuzzy $2$-metric space or fuzzy $3$-metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself are said to be weakly compatible [12] if they commute at their coincidence points.

**Remark 2.1** [16]. Since * is continuous, it follows from (FM-4), (F2M-4) and (F3M-4) that the limit of a sequence in a fuzzy metric space or a fuzzy $2$-metric space or a fuzzy $3$-metric space is unique.

**Lemma 2.1** [23] Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$. If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, k t) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$.

**Lemma 2.2** [2] If for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and for a number $k \in (0, 1),$$M(x, y, k t) \geq M(x, y, t).$ Then $x = y$.

**Remark 2.2** [16]. Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 hold for fuzzy $2$-metric spaces and fuzzy $3$-metric spaces.

Fisher [1] proved the following theorem for three mappings in complete metric space:

**Theorem 1.** Let $S$ and $T$ be continuous mappings of a complete metric space $(X, d)$ into itself. Then $S$ and $T$ have a common fixed point in $X$ if there exists a continuous mapping $A$ of $X$ into itself such that $d(A x, A y) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Indeed, $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.

Sharma [3] extended Theorem 1 to fuzzy metric as the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let $S$ and $T$ be continuous mappings of $X$ in $X$, then $S$ and $T$ have a common fixed point in $X$ if there exists a continuous mapping $A$ of $X$ into itself such that $d(M x, M y) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.

Sharma [3] also extended Theorem 2 in fuzzy $2$-metric and fuzzy $3$-metric spaces.

Sharma and Tiwari [12] improved results of Sharma [3] and proved the following.

**Theorem 3.** Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space with $t \cdot t > t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $A, S$ and $T$ be mappings from $X$ into itself such that: $S X \subseteq T X \subseteq A X$.

The pairs $\{A, T\}$ and $\{A, S\}$ are weakly compatible, there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(S x, T y, k t) \geq M(A x, A y, t) \cdot M(S x, A x, t) \cdot M(A y, T y, t) \cdot \ast M(A y, S x, t) \cdot M(A x, T y, (2 - k) t)$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then $S, T$ and $A$ have a unique common fixed point.

Sharma and Tiwari [12] also extended Theorem 3 in fuzzy $2$-metric and fuzzy $3$-metric spaces.

**3 Main Results**

Let $CB(X)$ be the class of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of $X$.

**Definition 3.1** The mappings $I : X \to X$ and $F : X \to CB(X)$ in fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compatible if $IF x \subseteq CB(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(1F x_n, IF x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$, whenever $< x_n >$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = r \in A = \lim_{n \to \infty} F x_n$. Then $I, F$ and $A$ are in $CB(X)$.

**Definition 3.2** The mappings $I : X \to X$ and $F : X \to CB(X)$ in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if $IF x = IF x$ whenever $I x \in F x$.

**Theorem 3.1** Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space with $t \cdot t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $I$ and $J$ be mappings from $X$ into itself and $G, F : X \to CB(X)$ set-valued mappings such that

$$\bigcup F X \subseteq J X \text{ and } \bigcup G X \subseteq I X.$$ (1)

Also, the mappings $I, J, F$ and $G$ satisfy the following inequality

$$M(F X, G y, k t) \geq M(I x, F x, t) \cdot M(I y, F y, t) \cdot M(I x, G y, t) \cdot M(I y, F x, t) \cdot M(J y, G y, t) \cdot M(I y, F x, t)$$

(2)

for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that the pairs $\{F, I\}$ and $\{G, J\}$ are weakly compatible. If the range of one of the mappings $I, J, F$ and $G$ is complete subspace of $X$. Then $I, J, F$ and $G$ have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point and by using (1), there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $I x_0 \in F x_1$, for this point $x_1$, we can choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $I x_1 \in G x_2$ and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $X$ such that

$$J x_2 n + 1 \in F x_2 n = y_2 n \text{ and } I x_{2 n + 2} \in G x_{2 n + 1} = y_{2 n + 1} \quad (3)$$

We shall prove that $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $X$. On using (2) and (3), we obtain

$$M(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n + 1}, k t) = M(F x_{2 n}, G x_{2 n + 1}, k t) \geq M(I x_{2 n}, J x_{2 n + 1}, t) \ast M(I x_{2 n}, F x_{2 n}, t) \ast M(J x_{2 n + 1}, G x_{2 n + 1}, t)$$

$$\ast M(I x_{2 n}, G x_{2 n + 1}, t) \ast M(J x_{2 n + 1}, F x_{2 n}, t) \geq M(y_{2 n - 1}, y_{2 n}, t) \ast M(y_{2 n - 1}, y_{2 n + 1}, t) \ast M(y_{2 n - 1}, y_{2 n + 1}, t)$$
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\[ M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \]

Thus, \[ M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \]

for all \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) and so for positive integers \( n, p \)

\[ M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \]

Since \( M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t/k^p) \rightarrow 1 \) as \( p \rightarrow \infty \),

Then, \[ M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \]

On using Lemma 2.1, we obtain the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) is Cauchy sequence. Suppose that \( X \) is complete, therefore by the above, the sequence \( \{I_{2n+1}\} \) is Cauchy and hence \( Jx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z = Jv \) for some \( v \in X \). Hence, the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) converges also to \( z \) and the subsequence \( \{I_{2n+1}\}, \{Gy_{2n+1}\} \) and \( \{G_{2n+1}\} \) converge to \( z \). We shall prove that \( z = Jv \in Gv \). On using (2), we obtain that

\[ M(Fx_{2n}, Gv, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(Ix_{2n}, Jv, t) * M(Ix_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, t) * M(Jv, Gv, t) \]

\[ * M(Ix_{2n}, Gv, t) * M(Jv, Fx_{2n}, t). \]

Taking the limit \( n \rightarrow \infty \), we obtain

\[ M(z, Gv, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(z, z, t) * M(z, Gv, t) * M(z, Gv, t) * M(z, z, t) \]

\[ \geq M(z, Gv, t). \]

On using Lemma 2.2, we obtain that \( Gv = \{z\} = \{Jv\} \).

Since \( X \subseteq X \), so \( u \in X \) exists such that \( \{Iu\} = Gv = \{z\} = \{Jv\} \). Now if \( Fu \neq Gv \), so that we have

\[ M(Fu, Gv, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(Iu, Jv, t) * M(Iu, Fu, t) * M(Jv, Gv, t) \]

\[ * M(Iu, Gv, t) * M(Jv, Fu, t). \]

Hence,

\[ M(Fu, z, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(z, z, t) * M(z, Fu, t) * M(z, z, t) \]

\[ * M(z, z, t) * M(z, Fu, t) \]

\[ \geq M(z, Fu, t). \]

Then, \( Fu = \{z\} = \{Iu\} = Gv = \{Jv\} \).

Since \( Fu = \{Iu\} \) and the pair \( \{F, I\} \) is weakly compatible, we obtain

\[ Fz = FIu = IFu = \{Iz\} \]

On using inequality (2), we have

\[ M(Fz, Gv, kt) \geq M(Fz, z, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(Iz, Jv, t) * M(Iz, Fz, t) * M(Jv, Gv, t) \]

\[ * M(Iz, Gv, t) * M(Jv, Fz, t) \]

\[ \geq M(z, Fz, t). \]

Hence \( \{z\} = Fz = \{Iz\} \). Similarly, \( \{z\} = Gz = \{Jz\} \) where the pair \( \{G, J\} \) is weakly compatible. Therefore, we obtain that \( \{z\} = \{Iz\} = \{Jz\} = Fz = Gz \).

To see \( z \) is unique, suppose that \( \{p\} = \{Ip\} = \{Jp\} = Fp = Gp \).

If \( p \neq z \), then

\[ M(Fz, Gp, kt) \geq M(z, p, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(Iz, Jp, t) * M(Iz, Fz, t) * M(Jp, Gp, t) \]

\[ * M(Iz, Gp, t) * M(Jp, Fz, t) \]

\[ \geq M(z, p, t). \]

Thus \( z = p \). Then, \( I, J, F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( (X, M, *) \) be a fuzzy metric space with \( t * t \geq t \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Let \( I \) be mapping from \( X \) into itself and \( G, F : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) set-valued mappings such that

\[ \bigcup FX \bigcup \bigcup GX \subseteq IX \]

Also, the mappings \( I, F \) and \( G \) satisfy the following inequality

\[ M(Fx, Gy, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(Ix, Jy, t) * M(Ix, Fx, t) * M(Iy, Gy, t) \]

\[ * M(Ix, Gy, t) * M(Iy, Fx, t) \]

for all \( x, y \in X, t > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, 1) \). Suppose that the pairs \( \{F, I\} \) and \( \{G, I\} \) are weakly compatible. If the range of one of the mappings \( I, F \) and \( G \) is complete subspace of \( X \). Then \( I, F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** It is obvious if we take \( J = I \) in Theorem 3.1

**Remark 3.1** Theorem 3.2 is extension for Theorem 3 (Sharma and Tiwari [21]) in fuzzy metric space.

**Theorem 3.3** Let \( S \) be mapping from fuzzy metric space \( (X, M, *) \) into itself and \( T : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) set-valued mapping such that \( \bigcup TX \subseteq SX \) and

\[ M(Tx, Ty, kt) \]

\[ \geq M(Sx, Sy, t) * M(Sx, Tx, t) * M(Sy, Ty, t) \]

\[ * M(Sx, Ty, t) * M(Sy, Tx, t) \]

for all \( x, y \in X, t > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, 1) \). Suppose that the pair \( \{T, S\} \) is weakly compatible, if the range of one of the mappings \( T \) and \( S \) is complete subspace of \( X \). Then \( T \) and \( S \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** It is obvious if we take \( F = G \) and \( I = J = S \) in Theorem 3.1.
Now we prove that \( I,F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point in fuzzy 2-metric space.

**Definition 3.3** The mappings \( I : X \to X \) and \( F : X \to CB(X) \) in fuzzy 2-metric space \((X,M,*)\) are said to be compatible if \( IFx \in CB(X) \) for all \( x \in X \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} M(IFx_n,FIX_n,z,t) = 1 \) for all \( z \in X, t > 0 \), whenever \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( X \) such that \( \lim M(IFx_n,Fx_n,A,t) = \lim M(IFx_n,Fx_n,B,t) = \lim M(IFx_n,Fx_n,C,B,t) = A \in CB(X) \).

**Definition 3.4** The mappings \( I : X \to X \) and \( F : X \to CB(X) \) in a fuzzy 2-metric space \((X,M,*)\) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if \( IFx \in Fix \) whenever \( Ix \in Fix \).

**Theorem 3.4** Let \((X,M,*)\) be a fuzzy 2-metric space with \( t \geq t \geq t \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \). Let \( I \) and \( J \) be mappings from \( X \) into itself and \( G,F : X \to CB(X) \) set-valued mappings satisfying condition (1). Also, the mappings \( I,F,G \) and \( M \) satisfy the following inequality

\[
M(Fx,Gy,w,t) \geq M(Ix,Jy,w,t) \ast M(Ix,Fx,w,t) \ast M(Jy,Gy,w,t)
\]

for all \( x,y \in X \) and \( k \in (0,1) \). Suppose that the pairs \( \{F,I\} \) and \( \{G,J\} \) are weakly compatible. If the range of one of the mappings \( I,F,G \) and \( M \) is complete subspace of \( X \). Then \( I,F,G \) and \( M \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** We can define a sequence \( \{y_n\} \) in \( X \) such that

\[
Jx_{2n} \in Fx_{2n} = y_{2n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad Jx_{2n+2} \in Gx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1}.
\]

Now, we shall prove that \( \{y_n\} \) is Cauchy sequence in \( X \). On using (4), we obtain

\[
M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t) = M(Fx_{2n},Gx_{2n+1},w,t) \geq M(Ix_{2n},Jy_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(Ix_{2n},Fx_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(Jy_{2n+1},Gy_{2n+1},w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(Jy_{2n+1},Fx_{2n+1},w,t) \geq M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n+1},y_{32n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{32n+1},y_{32n+2},w,9/2^k) \ast M(y_{32n+2},y_{32n+3},w,9/2^k).
\]

Since \( M(y_{32n+2},y_{32n+3},w,9/2^k) \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Thus, we have

\[
M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t) \geq M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t)
\]

Similarly, we have also

\[
M(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2},w,t) \geq M(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2},w,t)
\]

Thus,

\[
M(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},w,t) \geq M(y_{n},y_{n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},w,t)
\]

for all \( n = 1,2, \ldots \) and so for positive integers \( n,p \)

\[
M(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},w,t) \geq M(y_{n},y_{n+1},w,t) \ast M(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},w,t/k^p)
\]

Since \( M(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},w,t/k^p) \to 1 \) as \( p \to \infty \),

Then, \( M(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},w,t) \geq M(y_{n},y_{n+1},w,t) \).

On using Lemma 2.1, we obtain the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) is Cauchy sequence. Suppose that \( JX \) is complete, therefore by the above, the sequence \( \{Jx_{2n+1}\} \) is Cauchy and hence \( Jx_{2n+1} \to z = Jv \) for some \( v \in X \). Hence, the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) converges also to \( z \) and the subsequence \( \{Jx_{2n+1}\}, \{Fx_{2n+1}\} \) and \( \{Gx_{2n+1}\} \) converge to \( z \). We shall prove that \( z = Jv \in Gv \). On using (4), we obtain that

\[
M(Fx_{2n},Gv,w,t) \geq M(Ix_{2n},Jv,w,t) \ast M(Ix_{2n},Fx_{2n},w,t) \ast M(Jv,Gv,w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(Ix_{2n},Gv,w,t) \ast M(Jv,Fx_{2n},w,t).
\]

Taking the limit \( n \to \infty \), we obtain

\[
M(z,Gv,w,t) \geq M(z,z,w,t) \ast M(z,Gv,w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(z,Gv,w,t) \ast M(z,z,w,t)
\]

\[
\geq M(z,Gv,w,t).
\]

On using Lemma 2.2, we obtain that \( Gv = \{v\} = \{Jv\} \). Since \( \cup \{Gx \} \subseteq IX \), so \( u \in X \) exists such that \( \{Ju\} = Gv = \{v\} = \{Jv\} \). Now if \( Fu \neq Gv \), so that we have

\[
M(Fu,Gv,w,t) \geq M(Iu,Jv,w,t) \ast M(Iu,Fu,w,t) \ast M(Jv,Gv,w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(Iu,Gv,w,t) \ast M(Jv,Fu,w,t).
\]

Hence,

\[
M(Fu,z,w,t) \geq M(z,z,w,t) \ast M(z,Fu,w,t) \ast M(z,Gv,w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(z,Fu,w,t).
\]

Then,

\[
Fu = \{z\} = \{Ju\} = Gv = \{Jv\}.
\]

Since \( Fu = \{Ju\} \) and the pair \( \{F,J\} \) is weakly compatible, we obtain

\[
Fz = Fu = IFu = Iz.
\]

On using inequality (4), we have

\[
M(Fz,Gv,w,t) \geq M(Fz,z,w,t)
\]

\[
\geq M(Iz,Fv,w,t) \ast M(Iz,Fz,w,t) \ast M(Jv,Gv,w,t)
\]

\[
\ast M(Iz,Fv,w,t) \ast M(Iz,Fz,w,t)
\]

\[
\geq M(z,Fz,w,t).
\]

Hence \( \{z\} = Fz = \{Iz\} \). Similarly, \( \{z\} = Gz = \{Iz\} \) where the pair \( \{G,J\} \) is weakly compatible. Therefore, we obtain that \( \{z\} = \{Iz\} = \{Jz\} = Fz = Gz \).

To see \( z \) is unique, suppose that \( \{p\} = \{Ip\} = \{Jp\} = Fp = Gp \).
If \( p \neq z \), then
\[
M(Fz, Gp, w, kt) \geq M(z, p, w, kt)
\]
\[
\geq M(Iz, Jp, w, t) + M(Iz, Fz, w, t) + M(Jp, Gp, w, t)
\]
\[
+ M(Iz, Gp, w, t) + M(Jp, Fz, w, t)
\]
\[
\geq M(z, p, w, t).
\]
Thus \( z = p \). Then, \( I, J, F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 3.5** Let \( (X, M, \ast) \) be a fuzzy 2-metric space with \( t \ast t \geq t \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Let \( I \) be mapping from \( X \) into itself and \( G, F : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) set-valued mappings such that
\[
\bigcup FX \bigcup \left( \bigcup GX \right) \subseteq IX
\]
Also, the mappings \( I, F \) and \( G \) satisfy the following inequality
\[
M(Fx, Gy, w, kt) \geq M(Ix, Iy, w, t) + M(Ix, Fx, w, t) + M(Iy, Gy, w, t)
\]
\[
+ M(Ix, Gy, w, t) + M(Iy, Fx, w, t),
\]
for all \( x, y, w \in X, t > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, 1) \). Suppose that the pairs \( \{F, I\} \) and \( \{G, I\} \) are weakly compatible. If the range of one of the mappings \( I, F \) and \( G \) is complete subspace of \( X \). Then \( I, F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** It is obvious if we take \( I \equiv J \) in Theorem 3.4

**Remark 3.2** Theorem 3.5 is extension for Sharma and Tiwari [12] in fuzzy 2-metric space.

**Theorem 3.6** Let \( S \) be mapping from fuzzy 2-metric space \((X, M, \ast)\) into itself and \( T : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) set-valued mapping such that \( \bigcup FX \subseteq SX \) and
\[
M(Tx, Ty, w, kt) \geq M(Sx, Sy, w, t) + M(Sx, Tx, w, t) + M(Sy, Ty, w, t)
\]
\[
+ M(Sx, Ty, w, t) + M(Sy, Tx, w, t),
\]
for all \( x, y, w \in X, t > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, 1) \). Suppose that the pair \( \{T, S\} \) is weakly compatible, if the range of one of the mappings \( T \) and \( S \) is complete subspace of \( X \). Then \( T \) and \( S \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** It is obvious if we take \( F = G = T \) and \( I = J = S \) in Theorem 3.4.

Now we prove that \( I, J, F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point in fuzzy 3-metric space.

**Theorem 3.7** Let \( (X, M, \ast) \) be a fuzzy 3-metric space with \( t \ast t \geq t \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Let \( I \) and \( J \) be mappings from \( X \) into itself and \( G, F : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) set-valued mappings satisfying condition (1). Also, the mappings \( I, J, F \) and \( G \) satisfy the following inequality
\[
M(Fx, Gy, w, qt) \geq M(Ix, Jy, w, qt) + M(Ix, Fx, w, qt) + M(Jy, Gy, w, qt)
\]
\[
+ M(Ix, Gy, w, qt) + M(Jy, Fx, w, qt),
\]
for all \( x, y, w, q \in X, t > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, 1) \). Suppose that the pairs \( \{F, I\} \) and \( \{G, J\} \) are weakly compatible, if the range of one of the mappings \( I, F \) and \( G \) is complete subspace of \( X \). Then \( I, J, F \) and \( G \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Theorem 3.7 can be proved in the similar manner as Theorem 3.4.
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