An improved Exponential-Type Estimator for Finite Population Variance Using Transformation of Variables
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Abstract: In this article we have proposed an improved exponential-type for estimating the unknown population variance of the study variable, using transformation on both the study as well as on the auxiliary variable. The properties of the suggested estimator have been discussed up to first order of approximation. We also have derived some efficiency comparison conditions under which the proposed variance estimator performed better than the usual unbiased estimator, traditional ratio estimator and [1] estimators. Theoretical efficiency conditions are verified numerically by taking some real data sets taken from the literature, with a result in increasing the efficiency.
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1 Introduction

It is known fact, that in many real situations auxiliary information is available or may be made available in cheap cost in surveys. These auxiliary information is frequently used both at the design as well as at the estimation stage, if this information is carefully used it may give better results in terms of efficiency. Auxiliary information such as population mean, population variance, population coefficient of variation, population quartiles, population deciles, population percentiles, population inter quartile range, population coefficient of kurtosis and population Coefficient of skewness, etc. In the present study, we will use these auxiliary informations to estimate the population variance of the study variable efficiently.

In order to estimate the population mean we use survey data but in many cases the mean is not a suitable average because it fluctuate from small or large observations or outliers in a set of data, to overcome this difficulty we come to variance. Many statisticians worked on the estimation of population variance using auxiliary information, [2] suggested the usual ratio for estimating population variance of the study variable y, using auxiliary information of the auxiliary variable x. Das and [3], [4], [5,6], [7,8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [1] and [16] proposed different estimators for population variance using auxiliary information. Let us consider a finite population of size N of different units. Let \( \hat{Y} \) and \( \hat{X} \) be the study and the auxiliary variable with corresponding values \( y_i \) and \( x_i \), respectively for \( i \)-th unit defined in a finite population \( U \). Let \( \hat{Y} \) and \( \hat{X} \) be the corresponding population means of the study as well as auxiliary variable respectively. Also let \( \hat{S}_y^2 \) and \( \hat{S}_x^2 \) be the corresponding population variances of the study as well as auxiliary variable respectively and let \( C_y \) and \( C_x \) be the coefficient of variation of the study as well as auxiliary variable respectively, and \( \rho_{yx} \) be the correlation coefficient between \( x \) and \( y \).

In order to estimate the unknown population variance we take a sample of size \( n \) units from the population \( U \) by using simple random sample without replacement. Let \( y \) and \( x \) be the study and the auxiliary variable with corresponding values \( y_i \) and \( x_i \), respectively for \( i \)-th unit in the sample. Let \( \bar{y} \) and \( \bar{x} \) be the corresponding sample means of the study as well as auxiliary variable respectively.

Also let \( \hat{S}_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y}) \) and \( \hat{S}_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) \) be the corresponding sample variances of the study as well as auxiliary variable respectively.

Some notations that we use are, given as below.
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\[ \lambda_40 = \frac{\mu_{40}}{\mu_{20}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{04} = \frac{\mu_{04}}{\mu_{02}^2} \] be the coefficient of kurtosis of the study variable \( y \) and the auxiliary variable \( x \) respectively. Also let \( \lambda_{32} = \frac{\mu_{32}}{\mu_{30}^2}, \mu_{32} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \bar{Y})(x_i - \bar{X})^2, \] \( \lambda_{22} = \frac{\mu_{22}}{\mu_{20}^2}, \lambda_{21} = \frac{\mu_{21}}{\mu_{02}^2}, \lambda_4^* = \lambda_{04} - 1, \lambda_{22}^* = \lambda_{22} - 1, \theta = \frac{1}{n} \).

### 2 Existing Estimators

In this section we will discuss some of the existing estimators that are available in the literature. When there is no auxiliary information the usual unbiased estimator to estimate the population variance of the study variable is

\[ t_0 = \frac{s^2}{n} \]

The bias and variance, of the estimator \( t_0 \) up to first order of approximation are given by

\[ MSE(t_0) = \theta S^4 \lambda_40 \]  

(1)

When the population variance of the auxiliary variable is known the usual ratio estimator to estimate the population variance of the study variable suggested by Isaki [2] and is, given by

\[ t_r = \frac{s^2}{n} \frac{s^2}{n} \]

The bias and mean squared error, up to first order of approximation are given by

\[ Bias(t_r) = \lambda_S^2 \left( \lambda_{04}^* - \lambda_{22}^* \right) \]

(2)

\[ MSE(t_r) = \lambda_S^4 \left( \lambda_{04}^* + \lambda_{40}^* - 2\lambda_{22}^* \right) \]

(3)

Recently [1] suggested a family of estimators to estimate the population variance of the study variable using transformations on both the study as well as the auxiliary variable when coefficient of variation of an auxiliary variable is known.

\[ t_{rp} = \frac{s^2}{n} \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{C^2}{C^2} \right)^\gamma + \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \left( \frac{C^2}{C^2} \right)^\eta \right] - a \]

(4)

where \( \alpha, \gamma, a, b, d \) and \( \eta \) are constants. Let also \( s^2 = s^2 + a, \ C_v^2 = \left( bC_2^2 + dC_2^2 \right) = \left( b \frac{s^2}{2n} + d \frac{S^2}{X^2} \right) \) and \( C_x^2 = (b + d) \ C_v^2 = (b + d) \left( \frac{X^2}{s^2} \right) \)

The bias and mean squared error, up to first order of approximation are given by

\[ MSE(t_{rp}) = \theta_0 \left[ \theta_1 \left\{ \eta \left( \eta - 1 \right) + \alpha \left( \gamma + \eta \right) \left( \gamma - \eta + 1 \right) \right\} \left\{ \lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03}C_x \right\} + 2 \left\{ \eta - \alpha \left( \gamma + \eta \right) \right\} \left\{ (3C_x^2 - 2\lambda_{03}C_x) + \theta_0 \left( \lambda_{22}^* - 2\lambda_{21}C_x \right) \right\} \right] \]

Where \( \theta_0 = \frac{S^2}{\lambda_40} \) and \( \theta_1 = \frac{b}{\lambda_40} \)

\[ MSE(t_{rp}) = \theta S^4 \left[ \frac{\lambda_{40}^*}{\lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03}C_x} - \frac{\lambda_{22}^* - 2\lambda_{21}C_x}{\lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03}C_x} \right] \]

(5)

Some members of the suggested family of [1] estimators \( t_{rp} \) are

\[ MSE(t_1) = \theta S^4 \left[ \lambda_{40}^* - \left( \lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03}C_x \right) - \left( \lambda_{22}^* - 2\lambda_{21}C_x \right) \right] \]

(6)

\[ MSE(t_i) = \theta S^4 \left[ \lambda_{40}^* + \lambda_j^* \left( \lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03}C_x \right) + 2\lambda_j \left( \lambda_{22}^* - 2\lambda_{21}C_x \right) \right] \]

(7)

where \( i = 2, 3, 4 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3 \).

\[ \lambda_1 = -\left( \frac{SC^2}{XC^2 - \lambda_{04}} \right), \lambda_2 = -\left( \frac{C^2}{C^2 - \rho} \right), \lambda_3 = -\left( \frac{\lambda_{04}C^2}{\lambda_4C^2 - \rho} \right) \left( \frac{S^2 + \lambda_{04}}{S^2} \right) \]
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3 The proposed Estimator

On the lines of [1], we proposed the following exponential-type estimator using transformations on both the study as well as the auxiliary variable, when variance of the auxiliary variable is known.

\[ t_m = s_u^2 \exp \left[ \frac{S_v^2}{\alpha S_v^2 + (1 - \alpha) S_v^2} - a \right] \tag{8} \]

Here \( s_u^2 \) and \( s_v^2 \) denote the population variances of the transformed variables \( u \) and \( v \) respectively, where \( s_u^2 = s_v^2 + a \), \( \hat{S}_v^2 = b S_t^2 + d S_x^2 \) and \( S_x^2 = (b + d) \hat{S}_t^2 \). Also \( a, b, d \) and \( \alpha \) are constants and the value of \( \alpha \) is to be determined for the purpose of minimum mean squared error.

Rewriting equation (8), we have

\[ t_m = (s_v^2 + a) \exp \left[ \frac{(b + d) S_t^2}{\alpha (b S_t^2 + d S_t^2) + (1 - \alpha) (b + d) S_t^2} - a \right] \]

In order to study large sample properties of \( t_m \), we write

\[ S_t^2 = S_0^2 (1 + \zeta_0), \quad S_x^2 = S_1^2 (1 + \zeta_1), \quad \hat{X} = \hat{X} (1 + \zeta_2) \]. Such that \( E (\zeta_0) = E (\zeta_1) = E (\zeta_2) = 0 \), \( E (\zeta_0^2) = \theta_{\lambda_{04}0}, E (\zeta_1^2) = \theta_{\lambda_{041}}, E (\zeta_2^2) = \theta_{\lambda_{042}} \), \( E (\zeta_0 \zeta_1) = \theta_{\lambda_{04}}, E (\zeta_0 \zeta_2) = \theta_{\lambda_{043}}, E (\zeta_1 \zeta_2) = \theta_{\lambda_{044}}, \) and \( E (\zeta_0 \zeta_1 \zeta_2) = \theta_{\lambda_{0434}} \).

In turn of \( \zeta_t^2 \), we have

\[ t_m = S_t^2 \left( 1 - 2\alpha A \xi_1 + 3 \alpha^2 A^2 \xi_1^2 + \zeta_0 - 2\alpha A \zeta_0 \xi_1 \right) + a - 2a\alpha A \xi_1 + 3a\alpha^2 A^2 \xi_1^2 - a \tag{9} \]

The bias and minimum mean squared error up to first order of approximation are given by

\[ \text{Bias} (t_m) = \theta \alpha A \left[ 3 \alpha A \lambda_{04}^* (S_t^2 - a) - 2S_t^2 \lambda_{22}^* \right] \tag{10} \]

\[ \text{MSE} (t_m)_\text{min} = \theta S_t^2 \lambda_{04}^* \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\lambda_{04}^*} \right) \tag{11} \]

After finding the MSE of the proposed estimator the constants have no role but it provided to us Minimum MSE. Where the optimum value of \( \alpha \) is given by

\[ \alpha_{opt} = \frac{S_t^2 \lambda_{22}^*}{2A (S_t^2 + a) \lambda_{04}^*} \]

4 Efficiency Comparison

In this section we have compared the efficiency of the proposed estimator \( t_m \) with the usual estimator \( t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5 \) and \( t_4 \).

(1) By 1 and 11,

\[ \text{MSE} (t_0) - \text{MSE} (t_m)_\text{min} \geq 0 \text{ if } \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\lambda_{04}^*} \geq 0 \]

(2) By 3 and 11,

\[ \text{MSE} (t_r) - \text{MSE} (t_m)_\text{min} \geq 0 \text{ if } [\lambda_{04}^* - \lambda_{22}^* (2\lambda_{04}^* - \lambda_{22}^*)] \geq 0 \]

(3) By 5 and 11,

\[ \text{MSE} (t_r) - \text{MSE} (t_m)_\text{min} \geq 0 \text{ if } \left[ \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\lambda_{04}^*} - \frac{(\lambda_{22}^* - 2\lambda_{22} C_x)^2}{\lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03} C_x} \right] \geq 0 \]

(4) By 6 and 11,

\[ \text{MSE} (t_r) - \text{MSE} (t_m)_\text{min} \geq 0 \text{ if } \left[ \lambda_{04}^* + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03} C_x - 2\lambda_{22} + 4\lambda_{22} C_x + \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\lambda_{04}^*} \right] \geq 0 \]
(4) By 6 and 11,

\[ MSE (t_i) - MSE (t_m)_{\text{min}} \geq 0 \text{ if } \left[ \lambda_j^2 (\lambda_{04} + 4C_x^2 - 4\lambda_{03}C_x) + 2\lambda_j (\lambda_{22} - 24\lambda_{21}C_x) + \frac{\lambda_{22}^2}{\lambda_{04}} \right] \geq 0 \]

for \( i = 2, 3, 4 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). The proposed estimator is more efficient than the other existing estimator, if the above conditions are satisfied.

5 Numerical

For percent relative efficiency (PRE), we use the following formula.

\[ \text{PRE} (k, t_0) = \frac{MSE (t_0)}{MSE (k)} \times 100, \]

where \( k = t_0, t_1, t_r, t_{r1}, t_2, t_3, t_4 \).

To look closely the gain of the proposed estimator, we consider the following real data sets from the literature of survey sampling.

Population-1: [17]

It consists of 142 cities of India with population (number of persons) 100,000 and above. The variates considered are: X: Census population in the year 1961 and Y: Census population in the year 1971.

\( N = 142, n = 15, \bar{X} = 4015.2183, \bar{Y} = 2900.3872, S_x = 8479.3380, S_y = 3672.4407, C_x = 2.1118, C_y = 2.1971, \rho_{xy} = 0.9948, \lambda_{00} = 40.8536, \lambda_{04} = 48.1567, \lambda_{03} = 40.2179, \lambda_{22} = 43.7615, \lambda_{21} = 5.9786 \)

Population-2: [18]

Y: Number of rooms per block and X: Number of persons per block.

\( N = 100, n = 10, \bar{Y} = 101.1, \bar{X} = 58.8, S_x = 14.6595, S_y = 7.53228, C_x = 0.1450, C_y = 0.1281, \rho_{xy} = 0.6500, \lambda_{00} = 2.3523, \lambda_{04} = 2.2387, \lambda_{03} = 0.4861, \lambda_{30} = 0.3248, \lambda_{22} = 1.5432, \lambda_{21} = 0.5714 \)

6 Conclusion

Table 1 clearly indicates that the mean squared error of the proposed estimator is less than the mean squared errors of the existing estimators. Table 1 also shows that the proposed estimator had the largest gain in efficiency over the usual unbiased estimator, the usual ratio estimator suggested by [2] and the estimators suggested by [1]. Having the largest gain in efficiency the proposed estimator appeared to be the best one among all the estimators and would work very well in practical surveys.
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