Knowledge-based Principal Component Analysis for Image Fusion
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Abstract: The purpose of image fusion is to integrate images with different resolution or from different sources in order to increase information and reinforce identification and reliability in remote sensing application. The principal component analysis (PCA) approach is a commonly used method for satellite image fusing. In the PCA fusion process, the first principal component (PC1) image is replaced with a high resolution image (e.g., a Panchromatic (PAN) image of the SPOT 4 satellite). When the histograms of PC1 and PAN images are more similar, less spectral information is lost in the replacement process.

In this study, a knowledge-based principal component analysis (KBPCA) fusion is developed to improve the fusing results of PCA approach. Before the replacement of PAN image, a prior landcover classification was done to gain the knowledge of the landcover of study area. Principal component transform was then conducted on the individual data set of each landcover class. Since the spectrum variation of each landcover class is smaller than that of the entire image, such pre-classification makes the PC1 of each class, have less spectrum variation, compared to the PC1 of the entire image. Landcover information derived from pre-classification is used as additional information to limit spectrum variation in each class for image fusion during the principal component transform. As a result of fusion, a multi-spectrum high resolution new image can be produced by fusing multispectral and PAN images of SPOT4. The images fused by the KBPCA method are of quality superior to those fused by the PCA method in terms of visual and statistical assessments.
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1 Introduction

Image fusion techniques have been developed for many years. It’s been widely applied in various fields, such as military [1, 2, 3, 4], medicine [5, 6], chemistry [7], agriculture [8, 9, 10], robotics [11], environmental science [12, 13, 14, 15, 16], Bioinformatics [17, 18], etc. The purpose of image fusion is to integrate images with different resolutions or even images from different sensors, such that identifiability and reliability of images are increased. The fusion of multispectral (MS) and panchromatic images is a very useful technique for remote sensing applications.

According to transformation domains, image fusion methods can be roughly classified into two types [19]: (1) spectral domain methods (e.g., PCA [20], HIS [21], etc.), and (2) special domain methods (e.g. high pass filter, linear combination transformation [20], etc.

The PCA technique [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] has been widely applied in image fusions of various fields due to its good performance. However, some information may get lost during the replacement process of PCA fusion. In this study, a knowledge-based principal component analysis (KBPCA) fusion is developed to improve the fusing results of the PCA approach.

2 Methodology

2.1 PCA Fusion

The principal component analysis (PCA) was previously well applied (first developed) for multivariate data reduction and interpretation in the field of statistics. In fact PCA was first developed for a linear transformation based on linear algebra. Eq. (1) shows the relationship between eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrix A, where \( A \) is a \( n \times n \) matrix, \( \lambda \) is an eigenvalue of matrix \( A \), \( X \) is an eigenvector of matrix \( A \). For \( n = 3 \), it can be expressed by Eq. (2)

\[
(A - \lambda I)X = 0
\]  

(1)
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Through linear algebra, PCA can transform a dataset into a new coordinate system with orthogonal basis. In this paper, we consider the SPOT images XS1, XS2, XS3 as three random variables which have a $3 \times 3$ covariance matrix $\Sigma$. The eigenvalues ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$) and eigenvectors ($e_1, e_2, e_3$) can be determined, with $e_1$, $e_2$ and $e_3$ linearly independent and pairwise orthogonal (i.e., their inner product equal zero $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$, $e_1 \cdot e_3 = 0$, $e_2 \cdot e_3 = 0$). Then, the first, second and third principal components ($PC_1$, $PC_2$, and $PC_3$) can be determined as follows:

$$PC_1 = e_1'XS$$
$$PC_2 = e_2'XS$$
$$PC_3 = e_3'XS$$

where $XS = \begin{bmatrix} xs_1 \\ xs_2 \\ xs_3 \end{bmatrix}$.

After rotating to the PC space (with the new axes, $PC_1$, $PC_2$ and $PC_3$), we can determine the percentage of total variance explained by the $n_{th}$ principal component as $\lambda_n/\sum \lambda_k$ with $1 \leq n, k \leq 3$. The procedure of PCA image
fusion is shown in the central part of Fig. 1. Three-band multispectral images of SPOT (XS1, XS2 and XS3) are used as input to the principal component analysis procedure. After the multispectral images are transformed to the PC space, principal components PC1, PC2 and PC3 are determined, and then the PAN image is used to replace PC1. Finally, the new PC1 and the original PC2 and PC3 are retransformed back into the original space, and the fused multispectral images can be produced.

### 2.2 Knowledge-Based PCA

The proposed knowledge-based PCA is based on the ordinary PCA fusion approach. The intuition behind our approach is that a pre-processing step using image classification may offer us useful landcover information for the geological locations under study. From this landcover knowledge, image pixels can be separated into $K$ (the number of categories) groups. In this paper we extract landcover information via a maxima likelihood classifier. After pre-classification, PCA was done in pixels of each individual class. The principal components (PC1, PC2, and PC3) can be determined via Eq. (6)–(8).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{If } XS \in \text{Class}_1, \quad & \begin{bmatrix} PC_{1,1} \\ PC_{2,1} \\ PC_{3,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{1,1}'XS \\ e_{2,1}'XS \\ e_{3,1}'XS \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{If } XS_i \in \text{Class}_2, \quad & \begin{bmatrix} PC_{1,2} \\ PC_{2,2} \\ PC_{3,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{1,2}'XS \\ e_{2,2}'XS \\ e_{3,2}'XS \end{bmatrix} \\
\vdots \\
\text{If } XS_n \in \text{Class}_n, \quad & \begin{bmatrix} PC_{1,n} \\ PC_{2,n} \\ PC_{3,n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{1,n}'XS \\ e_{2,n}'XS \\ e_{3,n}'XS \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

Then pixels of each landcover class were fused by PCA approach. Finally, the fused results can be obtained by combining all the classes as shown in Fig. 1. The reason why a pre-classification is beneficial in our...
Table 1: Confusion matrix of maxima likelihood classification, p.s.: Kappa = 97.68%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classified</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Bare soil/Paved</th>
<th>Over all</th>
<th>User’s Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>97.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare soil/Paved</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>94.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over all</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>3164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer’s Accuracy</td>
<td>97.32</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>97.68</td>
<td>Over all Accuracy %</td>
<td>98.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Case study

3.1 Materials

An area of approximately 292 km$^2$ in Central Taiwan is selected for this study (Fig. 3). The image size is 702 × 1040. The study area includes a small township at the northeastern corner, a major river, with several small branches, flowing westward along the northern edge of the area, and nearby the mountainous area. Three major landcover classes (water, bare soil and paved area, vegetation) are identified in this study. Multispectral SPOT satellite images acquired on September 21, 2000 are used for the pre-classification and image fusion. The false color multispectral SPOT image is shown in Fig. 3(a). A total number of 3164 pixels (894 pixels for water, 1810 pixels for vegetation, and 460 pixels for bare soil/paved area) of this image is selected as training samples (which are selected based on field investigation and a 1/5,000-scale aerial photo of Nov. 18, 1999) for our landcover pre-classification. Three bands of multispectral data (XS1 (green) 0.5–0.59 µm, XS2 (red) 0.61–0.68 µm, and XS3 (infrared) 0.79–0.89 µm) are used as classification features. The commonly used maximum likelihood classifier is used for pre-classification. The panchromatic image (0.51–0.73 µm, as shown in Fig. 3(b)) of SPOT is used for offering high spatial resolution information to fuse with XS1, XS2 and XS3.

3.2 Knowledge Extraction from Pre-Classification

The classification result of the maximum likelihood classifier is conducted for the image of the geological location under study. The landcover map after classification is shown as Fig. 3(c). A confusion matrix and Kappa index [29] (as a measurement of agreement between the classified and referenced class) are used to assess the classification results. Classification accuracies of training data are shown by the confusion matrix in Table 1. The overall accuracy and Kappa are 98.67% and 97.68%, respectively. This result indicates that the maximum likelihood classifier is good enough for image classification of this case.
3.3 Results and Discussions

From the above discussion, after pre-classification, PCA fusion not only reduces the loss of information but also relates PC1 and PAN well with their high similarities in histograms. To see the similarities, the results of principal component analysis are shown in Fig. 4, where all pixels were transferred to the PC space and Fig. 5. When the histograms of PC1 and PAN images are of high similarity, the degree of spectral information loss is low in the replacement process [20]. Therefore, in most cases, the high resolution PAN image is linearly stretched similar (with the same mean and variance) to the to-be-replaced PC1 image before the replacement procedure.

It can be clearly seen from Fig. 5, the histogram of PC1 of the entire image is of multiple-peak distribution and the others are of single-peak distribution. Evidently, the PAN image is much easier to be stretched to the same mean and variance as the to-be-replaced PC1 image before the replacement procedure.

Information entropy [30] and correlation coefficients of original and fused images [31] are used to assess the fusion results. The degree of the preservation of the spectral information content of a fused image can be assessed by the correlation coefficient of the original and fused images [31]. The correlation coefficients of original and image fused by KBPCA are higher than those by PCA (see Table 2). It indicates that there is lower spectral information loss during the fusion process by KBPCA compare to that by PCA. The information entropy can be used to measure the richness of information in an image [30].

Information entropy (E) of an image can be determined with Eq. (9).

\[ E = - \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} p_i \ln p_i \]  

(9)

where \( L \) is the number of gray levels of an image, and \( p_i \) is the ratio between the number of pixels whose gray level equals \( i (0 \leq i \leq L-1) \) and the total pixel number of the entire image.

The information entropies of the original image and images fused by KBPCA and PCA are shown in Table 3. The image fused by KBPCA has the largest entropy and the entropy of the image fused by PCA is larger than that of the original image. The fused images do have richer information than the original image.

**Table 2: Correlation coefficients of original and fused images**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior and post</th>
<th>Prior and post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCA fusion</td>
<td>KBPCA fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS1</td>
<td>0.9111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS2</td>
<td>0.8980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS3</td>
<td>0.8926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Information entropy of images**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Images</th>
<th>Images fused by PCA</th>
<th>Images fused by KBPCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XS1</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS2</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS3</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visual assessment

The original image and fused images are shown in Fig. 6. The results of image fusion suggest that the knowledge-based PCA fusion is superior to the ordinary PCA fusion in that there are fewer color differences and clearer boundaries.

From Fig. 6, we can see that the picture in Fig. 6(c) (respectively, Fig. 6(f)) exhibits more details than that in Fig. 6(b) (respectively, Fig. 6(e)). In particular, for the pictures produced by KBPCA the boundary of urban area is more distinct and the contrast is more clear. The KBPCA result shows more details than other images. Since the knowledge-based PCA result has less distortion and color change, clearer and sharper boundary than the PCA result and that without fusion, the identifiability would be better.

4 Conclusion

A fused image contains richer in information than its original image. The main concept of the proposed KBPCA is that landcover information derived from pre-classification is used as additional information to confine spectrum variation in each class for image fusion during the principal component transformation.

The pre-classification procedure reduces the information loss in the principle component transformation and replacement process. The major information content of PC1 images is spatial information which can then be replaced by a high resolution image (PAN) with minimal information loss through the pre-classification procedure.

As a result of fusion, a multi-spectrum high resolution new image can be produced by fusing multispectral and PAN images of SPOT4. The images fused by the KBPCA method are of quality superior to those fused by the PCA method in terms of visual and statistical assessments.

The proposed KBPCA fusion can improve the fusion result of PCA approach such that the potential of application of satellite remote sensing imagery is increased. Moreover, PCA data/image fusion for other purposes may be improved by the proposed pre-classification concept as well.
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