

Generalized Fractional Calculus of the Incomplete Generalized Wright Function

Dharmendra Kumar Singh^{1,*} and Vijay Laxmi Verma²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University Institute of Engineering and Technology, CSJM University, Kanpur-208024

² Department of Mathematics University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Kanpur-208024

Received: 2 Sep. 2022, Revised: 18 Oct. 2022, Accepted: 20 Nov. 2022

Published online: 1 Jan. 2023

Abstract: Saigo operators of generalized fractional calculus associated with incomplete hypergeometric function, as the kernel, are presented here. These operators are general in nature and provided extension of the well-known operators of fractional calculus which includes, the Riemann-Liouville, Weyl operators. Certain properties of these new operators associated with the incomplete generalized Wright function are established.

Keywords: Incomplete generalized Wright function, Wright function, fractional integrals and derivatives.

1 Introduction

Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+$; then the generalized fractional integration and fractional differentiation operators associated with Gauss hypergeometric function due to Saigo [1, 2] are defined as follows

$$\left(I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-\frac{t}{x}\right) f(t) dt; \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0); \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_{0+}^{\alpha+n, \beta-n, \eta-n} f\right)(x); \quad (\Re(\alpha) \leq 0; n = [\Re(-\alpha)] + 1); \quad (2)$$

$$\left(I_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-\beta} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-\frac{x}{t}\right) f(t) dt; \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0); \quad (3)$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_{-}^{\alpha+n, \beta-n, \eta} f\right)(x); \quad (\Re(\alpha) \leq 0; n = [\Re(-\alpha)] + 1) \quad (4)$$

$$\left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x) = \left(I_{0+}^{-\alpha, -\beta, \alpha+n} f\right)(x) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_{0+}^{-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+n} f\right)(x); \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0; n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1) \quad (5)$$

$$\left(D_{0-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x) = \left(I_{-}^{-\alpha, -\beta, \alpha+n} f\right)(x) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(I_{-}^{-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+n} f\right)(x); \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0; n = [\Re(\alpha)] + 1). \quad (6)$$

Riemann-Liouville, Weyl and Erdelyi-Kober fractional Calculus operator follows as special cases of the operators $I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$ and $I_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$ as shown below

$$\left(R_{0,x}^{\alpha} f\right)(x) = \left(I_{+}^{\alpha, -\alpha, \eta} f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0); \quad (7)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: drdksinghabp@gmail.com

$$= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(R_{0,x}^{\alpha+n} f \right) (x), \quad (0 < \Re(x) + n \leq 1; n = 1, 2, \dots); \quad (8)$$

$$(w_{x,\infty}^\alpha f)(x) = \left(I_{-}^{\alpha, -\alpha, n} f \right) (x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt; \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0); \quad (9)$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (w_{x,\infty}^{\alpha+n} f)(x); \quad (0 < \Re(\alpha) + n \leq 1; n = 1, 2, \dots); \quad (10)$$

Now here the generalized hypergeometric Wright function ${}_p\Psi_q(z)$ defined for $z \in C$, complex $a_i, b_j \in C$ and real $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Re = (-\infty, \infty)$ ($\alpha_i, \beta_j \neq 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, p; j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) by the series

$${}_p\Psi_q(z) = {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{i,p} \\ ((b_j, \beta_j)_{j,q}) \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i + \alpha_i k) z^k}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j k) k!}. \quad (11)$$

This function was introduced by Wright [3] and is called the generalized hypergeometric Wright function conditions for the existence of (11) together with its representation in terms of the Mellin-Barnes integral and of the H-function were established in (12). In particular, ${}_p\Psi_q(z)$ is an entire function if there holds the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i > -1. \quad (12)$$

The special case of the function (11) in the form

$$\phi(\delta, b; z) \equiv {}_0\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (b, \delta) \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta k + b)} \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (13)$$

with complex $z, b \in C$ and real $\delta \in \Re$, known as the Wright function [[4], Section 18.1], was introduced by Wright in [5] when $b = \nu + 1$ and z is replaced by $-z$, the function

$$\phi(\delta, \nu + 1; -z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta k + \nu + 1)} \frac{(-z)^k}{k!}$$

and such a function is known as the Bessel-Maitland function, or the Wright generalized Bessel function [6, 7, 8]. Now with the help of incomplete Pochhammer symbol which is introduced by Srivastava et al. [9] and depends as follows

$$(\lambda; x)_\nu = \frac{\gamma(\lambda + \nu; x)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, \quad (\lambda, \nu \in C; x \geq 0)$$

$$[\lambda; x]_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu; x)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, \quad (\lambda, \nu \in C; x \geq 0)$$

and these incomplete Pochhammer symbol satisfy the following decomposition relation

$$(\lambda; x)_\nu + [\lambda; x]_\nu = (\lambda)_\nu; \quad (\lambda, \nu \in C; x \geq 0) \quad (14)$$

and where incomplete gamma function $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$ defined by

$$\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt; \quad (\Re(s) > 0; x \geq 0) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt; \quad (\Re(s) > 0; x \geq 0). \quad (16)$$

D.K. Singh and S. Porwal [10] was introduced incomplete generalized hypergeometric Wright function

$${}_p\bar{\Psi}_q(z) = {}_p\bar{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots [a_p, \alpha_p] \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots [b_q, \beta_q] \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \Gamma(a_2 + \alpha_2 k) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \Gamma(b_2 + \beta_2 k) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (17)$$

and

$${}_p\Psi_q(z) = {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1; x) \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1; x) \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \Gamma(a_2 + \alpha_2 k) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \Gamma(b_2 + \beta_2 k) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{z^k}{k!}. \quad (18)$$

Provided that the defining the infinite series in each case is absolutely convergent if satisfy the condition

$$|(\lambda; x)_n| \leq |(\lambda)_n| \text{ and } |[\lambda; x]_n| \leq |(\lambda)_n|. \quad (19)$$

By decomposition formula (14), eqn (17) and (18) can be written in terms of generalized hypergeometric wright function (11).

2 Fractional integration of the incomplete generalized hypergeometric Wright function

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0, \Re(\eta) > 0, \Re(\gamma) > 0$ and condition (19) is satisfied and let a and $b \in C$ and $\mu > 0$. Then for $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) (x) \\ &= x^{\gamma-\beta-1} {}_{p+2}\Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu), (\gamma + \eta - \beta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots b_q, \beta_q, (\gamma + \alpha + \eta, \mu), (\gamma - \beta, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^\mu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Proof. With (1) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) (x) \\ &= x^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha + \beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-t/x) t^{\gamma-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k t^{\mu k}}{k!} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{x^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1(\alpha + \beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-t/x) t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} (I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Re(\mu k + \gamma) \geq \Re(\gamma) > 0$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ then using formula [1]

$$(I_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{\gamma-1})(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \eta)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \beta) \Gamma(\gamma + \alpha + \eta)} \quad (21)$$

with γ being replaced by $\gamma + \mu k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\mu k + \gamma) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k - \beta + \eta)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k - \beta) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k + \alpha + \eta)} x^{\gamma + \mu k - \beta - 1} \\ &= x^{\gamma-\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \eta - \beta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \alpha + \eta + \mu k)} \frac{(ax^\mu)^k}{k!} \\ &= x^{\gamma-\beta-1} {}_{p+2}\Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu), (\gamma + \eta - \beta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma + \alpha + \eta, \mu), (\gamma - \beta, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^\mu \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > 0$, and let a and $b \in C$, and condition (19) is satisfied. Then for $\mu > 0$ and $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(I_{0+}^{\alpha} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{\mu} \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= x^{\gamma+\alpha-1} {}_{p+1}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma + \alpha, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^{\mu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Proof. With (7) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(I_{0+}^{\alpha} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{\mu} \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1}}{k!} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} (I_{0+}^{\alpha, -\alpha, \eta} t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Re(\mu k + \gamma) \geq \Re(\gamma) > 0$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then using the (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k + \alpha)} x^{\gamma + \mu k + \alpha - 1} \\ &= x^{\gamma + \alpha - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k + \alpha)} \frac{(ax^{\mu})^k}{k!} \\ &= x^{\gamma + \alpha - 1} {}_{p+1}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma + \alpha, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^{\mu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0, \Re(\eta) > 0, \Re(\gamma) > 0$ and condition (19) is satisfied and let a and $b \in C$ and $\mu > 0$. Then for $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] \right) (x) \\ &= x^{-\gamma-\beta} {}_{p+2}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma + \beta, \mu), (\gamma + \eta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\alpha + \eta + \gamma + \beta, \mu), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Proof. From (3) and (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] \right) (x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-\beta} {}_2F_1(\alpha + \beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1 - \frac{x}{t}) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \quad \times {}_2F_1(\alpha + \beta, \eta; \alpha; 1 - \frac{x}{t}) t^{-\mu k - \gamma - \alpha - \beta} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} (I_-^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{-\gamma - \mu k})(x).$$

Since $\Re(\mu k + \gamma) \geq \Re(\gamma) > 0$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ then we apply the formula [1]

$$(I_-^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{-\gamma})(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma) \Gamma(\eta + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \gamma)} x^{-\beta - \gamma} \quad (24)$$

with γ being replaced by $\gamma + \mu k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= x^{-\gamma - \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\eta + \gamma + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + \gamma + \mu k)} \frac{(ax^{-\mu})^k}{k!} \\ &= x^{-\gamma - \beta} {}_{p+2}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma + \beta, \mu), (\gamma + \eta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\alpha + \eta + \gamma + \beta, \mu), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > 0$, and let a and $b \in C$, and condition (19) is satisfied. Then for $\mu > 0$ and $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} I_-^{\alpha} \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] \right) (x) \\ = x^{\alpha - \gamma} {}_{p+1}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma - \alpha, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] (x > 0). \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With (9) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &I_-^{\alpha} \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] \right) (x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} t^{-\mu k - \gamma} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} (I_-^{\alpha - \alpha, \eta} t^{-\mu k - \gamma})(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Re(\mu k - \gamma) \geq \Re(\mu k + \gamma) > 0$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then by using (24), we achieved

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha + \gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\eta + \gamma + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha - \alpha + \eta + \gamma + \mu k)} x^{\alpha - \gamma - \mu k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} x^{\alpha - \gamma - \mu k} \\ &= x^{\alpha - \gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} \frac{(ax^{-\mu})^k}{k!} \\ &= x^{\alpha - \gamma} {}_{p+1}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma - \alpha, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| \lambda t^{-\mu} \right] (x > 0). \end{aligned}$$

which is required result.

3 Fractional differentiation of incomplete generalized hypergeometric Wright function

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > -\min[0, \Re(\alpha + \beta + \eta)]$, and condition (19) is satisfied and let a and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu > 0$. Then for $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= x^{\gamma+\beta-1} {}_{p+2}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu), (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma + \eta, \mu), (\gamma + \beta, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^\mu \right]. \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With the help of (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \left(I_{0+}^{-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+\eta-n} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) (x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \left(I^{-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+\eta-n} t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1} \right) (x). \end{aligned}$$

Using formula (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n x^{\gamma+\beta+n+\mu k-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \beta + n + \mu k) \Gamma(\mu k + \gamma + \eta)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \beta + n + \mu k)} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n x^{\gamma+\beta+n+\mu k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \beta + n + \mu k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \beta + n + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \beta + \mu k)} x^{\gamma+\beta+\mu k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \eta + \mu k)} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + \beta + \mu k)} x^{\gamma+\beta+\mu k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \beta + \mu k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} x^{\gamma+\beta+\mu k-1} \\ &= x^{\gamma+\beta-1} {}_{p+2}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu), (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \eta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma + \eta, \mu), (\gamma + \beta, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^\mu \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > 0$, and let a and $b \in \mathbb{C}$, and condition (19) is satisfied. Then for $\mu > 0$ and $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_{0+}^{\alpha} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\tilde{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= x^{\gamma-\alpha-1} {}_{p+1}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma - \alpha, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^\mu \right]. \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (2) and (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_{0+}^{\alpha} \left(t^{\gamma-1} {}_p\bar{\Psi}_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{\mu} \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= D_{0+}^{\alpha, -\alpha, \eta} \left[t^{\gamma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k t^{\mu k}}{k!} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n I_{0+}^{-\alpha+n, \alpha-n, \alpha+\eta-n} t^{\mu k + \gamma - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (21), we achieved

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k - \eta)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k - \alpha + n) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k + \eta)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n x^{\gamma + \mu k - \alpha + n - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k - \alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha + n + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k - \alpha)} x^{\gamma + \mu k - \alpha - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha + \mu k)} x^{\gamma - \alpha + \mu k - 1} \frac{a^k}{k!} \\ &= x^{\gamma - \alpha - 1} {}_{p+1}\bar{\Psi}_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma - \alpha, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^{\mu} \right] (x > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \in C$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > -\min[\Re(-\beta - n), \Re(\alpha + \eta)]$, and condition (19) is satisfied and let a and $b \in C$ and $\mu > 0$. Then for $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_-^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{\mu} \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &= x^{\beta - \gamma} {}_{p+2}\bar{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\alpha + \gamma + \eta, \mu), (\gamma - \beta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma - \beta + \eta, \mu), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^{-\mu} \right]. \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_-^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] \right) \right) (x) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{-d}{dx} \right)^n \left(I_-^{-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+\eta} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^{-\mu} \right] \right) (x). \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{-d}{dx} \right)^n (I_-^{-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+\eta} t^{-\gamma - \mu k})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using formula (24), we achieved

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{-d}{dx} \right)^n x^{\gamma - \beta + n - \mu k} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma - n + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \eta + \mu k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma - n + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^n \frac{a^k}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n x^{\gamma - \beta + n - \mu k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma - n + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(-1)^n a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma + n - \mu k + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)} x^{\beta - \gamma - \mu k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma - n + \mu k) \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + \eta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \beta - \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(-1)^n a^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma + n - \mu k + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma - \mu k + 1)} x^{\beta - \gamma - \mu k}. \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

By the reflection formula for the gamma function

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\gamma - \beta - n + \mu k) \Gamma(\beta - \gamma + n - \mu k + 1) &= \frac{\pi}{\sin[(\gamma - \beta - n + \mu k)\pi]} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\sin(\gamma - \beta - n + \mu k)\pi \cos n\pi} = \frac{(-1)^n \pi}{\sin[(\gamma - \beta + \mu k)\pi]} \\
&= (-1) \Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \mu k) \Gamma(1 - \gamma + \beta - \mu k). \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

From (29) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \alpha_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(a_p + \alpha_p k) \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \mu k)}{\Gamma(b_1 + \beta_1 k; x) \dots \Gamma(b_q + \beta_q k) \Gamma(\gamma - \beta + \eta + \mu k) \Gamma(\gamma + \mu k)} \frac{a^k}{k!} x^{\beta - \gamma - \mu k} \\
&= x^{\beta - \gamma} {}_{p+2}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\alpha + \gamma + \eta, \mu), (\gamma - \beta, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma - \beta + \eta, \mu), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^{-\mu} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > 0$, and let a and $b \in \mathbb{C}$, and condition (19) is satisfied. Then for $\mu > 0$ and $x > 0$ there holds the formula

$$\left(D_-^\alpha \left(t^{-\gamma} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \middle| at^\mu \right] \right) \right) (x) = x^{-\alpha - \gamma} {}_{p+2}\tilde{\Psi}_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} [a_1, \alpha_1; x] \dots (a_p, \alpha_p), (\gamma + \alpha, \mu) \\ [b_1, \beta_1; x] \dots (b_q, \beta_q), (\gamma, \mu) \end{matrix} \middle| ax^{-\mu} \right]. \quad (31)$$

Proof. Put $\beta = -\alpha$ in (28), we achieved our required result (31).

References

- [1] M. Saigo, A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric function, *Math. Rep. College General Ed. Kyushu Univ.* **11**, 135-143 (1978).
- [2] E. M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10**, 286-293 (1935).
- [3] A. Erdelyi et al., *Higher transcendental functions*, Mc. Graw-Hill, New York-Toronto-London, 1954.
- [4] E. M. Wright, On the coefficient of power series having exponential singularities, *J. London Math. Soc.* **8**, 71-79 (1933).
- [5] A. Erdelyi et al., *Higher transcendental functions*, Mc. Graw-Hill, New York-Toronto-London, 1953.
- [6] A. A. Kilbas, M. Saigo and J. J. Trujillo, On the generalized wright function dedicated to the 60th anniversary of Prof. Francesco Mainardi, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **5**(4), 437-460 (2002).
- [7] O. I. Marichev, *Handbook of integral transforms and higher transcendental functions. Theory and algorithmic tables*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1983.
- [8] H. M. Srivastava, M. Aslam, P. Chaudhry and R. P. Agarwal, The incomplete Pochhammer symbols and their applications to hypergeometric and related function, *Int. Trans. Spec. Funct.* **23**, 659-683 (2012).
- [9] D. Singh and S. Porwal, Incomplete Mittag-Leffler functions, *Acta Univ. Apul.* **34**, 151-62 (2013).
- [10] V. S. Kiryakova, *Generalized fractional calculus and applications*, Pitman research notes in mathematics, Longman & J. Wiley, Harlow & New York, 1994.