

Common Fixed Point Theorems for Sequences of Mappings under General Contractive Condition of Integral Type in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Khaled Abd-Rabou^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Egypt.

² Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science, Shaqra University, Al-qawwiya, K.S.A.

Received: 20 Jan. 2015, Revised: 29 Apr. 2015, Accepted: 30 Apr. 2015

Published online: 1 Sep. 2015

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to study common fixed point theorems for six (four single-valued and two set-valued) mappings in fuzzy metric space using general contractive condition of integral type, without assuming compatibility and continuity of any mapping on non complete metric spaces. To prove the theorems, we use a non compatible condition, that is, weak commutativity of type (Kh) in fuzzy metric spaces. We show that completeness of the whole space is not necessary for the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point. Also, we prove a common fixed point theorem for two self mappings and two sequences set-valued mappings by the same weaker conditions.

Keywords: Fuzzy metric, Common fixed point, Integral type, single-valued and set-valued mappings, weakly commuting of type (Kh) in fuzzy metric space.

1 Introduction

After introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh[16], many researchers have defined fuzzy metric spaces in different ways such as Kramosil and Michalek[10]. The concept of compatible and weakly compatible for hybrid mappings in fuzzy metric spaces has been investigated initially by Abu-Donia, Abd-Rabou [2], by which the notions of commuting and weakly commuting mappings are generalized. In the last few decades, the common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings have applied to show the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of differential equations, integral equations and many other applied mathematics[3, 6-8, 11, 13, 15]. Note that common fixed point theorems for single and set-valued maps are interesting and play a major role in many areas. Abu-Donia, Abd-Rabou [1, 2] studied common fixed point theorems for single and set-valued mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Abd-Rabou [4] studied common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible hybrid mappings. Abd-Rabou [5] studied a common fixed point for sequence of mappings under weaker condition, that is, weakly commuting of type (Kh) in fuzzy metric spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to establish a common fixed point for six mappings under weaker condition, that is, weakly commuting of type (Kh) in fuzzy metric spaces using general contractive condition of integral type. Our results generalize, extend and improve the corresponding results given by many authors.

2 Basic Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notions and definitions in fuzzy metric.

Definition 2.1[14] A mapping $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $*$ is associative and commutative,
- (2) $*$ is continuous,
- (3) $a * 1 = a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$
- (4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2 [10] A triplet $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t norm and

* Corresponding author e-mail: k_abdrabo@yahoo.com

M is a fuzzy set on $X \times X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying, $\forall x, y \in X$, the following conditions:

- (1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$,
- (2) $M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
- (4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, s + t), s, t \in [0, 1)$,
- (5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous.

Note that $M(y, x, t)$ can be thought of as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t .

Definition 2.3 [9] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1, \forall t, p > 0.$$

A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition 2.4 The mappings $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ (The class nonempty bounded subsets of X) are weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points. i.e. for each point $u \in X$ such that $Iu \in Fu$, we have $F Iu = I F u$. Not that the equation $Fu = \{Iu\}$ implies that Fu is singleton.

Definition 2.5[2] The mappings $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ are compatible if, for all $t > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(F I x_n, I F x_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I x_n = z \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F x_n, A \subseteq X$.

Definition 2.6 The mappings $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ are R - weakly commuting if, for all $R, t > 0$, $M(F I x, I F x, t) \geq M(F x, I x, t/R)$, such that $x \in X, I F x \in B(X)$.

Definition 2.7 The mappings $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ are said to be weakly commuting of type (Kh) at x if, for all $R, t > 0, x \in X$, $M(I I x, F I x, t) \geq M(F x, I x, t/R)$.

Here I and F are weakly commuting of type (Kh) on X if the above inequality hold for all $x \in X$.

Remark 2.1 Every weakly compatible pair of hybrid maps is weakly commuting of type (Kh) but the converse is not necessarily true.

In the following example, we know that every metric induces a fuzzy metric

Example 2.1 Let (X, δ) be a metric space. Define $a * b = ab, a \in A, b \in B$ and for all $A, B \subset X, t > 0$,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + \delta(A, B)}$$

We call M is a fuzzy metric on X induced by metric δ .

Example 2.2 Let $X = [1, 10]$. Define $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ by

$$I x = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 5 \\ \frac{x+3}{4} & \text{if } 5 < x \leq 10 \end{cases}$$

and

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [1, x] & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ [2, x] & \text{if } 2 < x \leq 5 \\ [2, \frac{x+1}{3}] & \text{if } 5 < x \leq 10 \end{cases}$$

$\delta(A, B) = \max\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}, A, B \in B(X)$, where $d(a, b) = |a - b|$.

Let $x_n = 5 + \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 2$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F x_n = \{2\}$. Also $I F x_n \in B(X)$ and $M(F I x_n, I F x_n, t) = M([2, 2 + \frac{1}{4n}], [2, 2 + \frac{1}{3n}], t) \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, I and F are δ -compatible and hence weakly compatible. On the other hand if we take $x = 2$, then $I x = 2, F I x = [1, 2]$ and clearly I and F are weakly commuting of type (Kh) for $x = 2$.

Example 2.3 Let $X = [1, \infty)$. Define $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ by $I x = 2x$ and $F x = [1, x]$ for all $x \in X$, $\delta(A, B) = \max\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}, A, B \in B(x)$, where $d(a, b) = |a - b|$. Then $I I x = 4x, F I x = [1, 2x]$ and for $R > 3$ we can see that $M(I I x, F I x, t) \geq M(I x, F x, t/R)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus I and F are weakly commuting of type (Kh) on X but there exists no sequence x_n in X such that condition of δ - compatibility is satisfied.

Example 2.4 Let $X = [1, \infty)$. Define $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ by $I x = 2x$ and $F x = [1, x + 1]$ for all $x \in X$. Then $I I x = 4x, F I x = [1, 2x + 1]$ and for $R > 3$ we can see that $\delta(I I x, F I x, C) < R \delta(I x, F x, C)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus I and F are weakly commuting of type (Kh) on X . On the other hand if we take $x = 1$, thus $I(1) = 2 \in F(1) = [1, 2], I F(1) \neq F I(1)$. Then I and F are not weakly compatible.

3 Main Results

Now we can introduce our main theorems, let $CB(X)$ be the class of all nonempty bounded closed subset of X and $\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

Theorem 3.1 Let S, R, H and T be four self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ and $A, B : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ set-valued mappings satisfying following conditions:

- (1) $\bigcup A(X) \subseteq SR(X)$ and $\bigcup B(X) \subseteq TH(X)$,
- (2) $\{A, TH\}$ and $\{B, SR\}$ are weakly commuting of type (Kh) at coincidence points in X ,
- (3) $q \int_0^{M(Ax, By, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{m(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt$,

where $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a lebesgue-integral mapping which is summable, nonnegative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0, \int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$,

$$m(x, y, t) = aM(THx, SRy, t) + bM(THx, Ax, t) + cM(SRy, By, t) + \max\{M(Ax, SRy, t), M(By, THx, t)\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 < q < a + b + c < 1$ and if the range of one of the mappings A, B, SR and TH is complete subspace of X . Then A, B, S, R, H and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . From the condition (1), we chose a point x_1 in X such that $SRx_1 \in Ax_0$. For this point x_1 there exist a point x_2 in X such that $THx_2 \in Bx_1$ and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence $\{Z_n\}$ in X such that

$SRx_{2n+1} \in Ax_{2n} = Z_{2n}, THx_{2n+2} \in Bx_{2n+1} = Z_{2n+1}, \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We will prove that $\{Z_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Now, we prove that $M(Z_{2n+1}, Z_{2n}, t) > M(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n-1}, t)$.

Using inequality (3), we obtain

$$q \int_0^{M(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}, t)} \varphi(t) dt = q \int_0^{M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{m(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Since

$$m(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= aM(THx_{2n}, SRx_{2n+1}, t) + bM(THx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t) \\ &+ cM(SRx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \\ &+ \max\{M(Ax_{2n}, SRx_{2n+1}, t), M(Bx_{2n+1}, THx_{2n}, t)\} \\ &= \\ &aM(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}, t) + bM(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}, t) + cM(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}, t) \\ &+ \max\{M(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n}, t), M(Z_{2n+1}, Z_{2n-1}, t)\} \\ &= (a + b + 1)M(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}, t) + cM(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $M(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}, t) \geq \beta M(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}, t)$, where

$$\beta = \frac{a+b+1}{q-c} > 1$$

Since $\beta > 1$, we obtain

$$M(Z_{2n+1}, Z_{2n}, t) > M(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n-1}, t)$$

Similarly

$$M(Z_{2n+2}, Z_{2n+1}, t) > M(Z_{2n+1}, Z_{2n}, t).$$

Now for any positive integer p ,

$$M(Z_n, Z_{n+p}, t) \geq M(Z_n, Z_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) * M(Z_{n+1}, Z_{n+2}, \frac{t}{p}) * \dots * M(Z_{n+p-1}, Z_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $M(Z_n, Z_{n+p}, t) \rightarrow 1$.

Hence $\{Z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that SRX is complete, therefore by the above, $\{SRx_{2n+1}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence $SRx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z = SRv$ for some $v \in X$. Hence, $Z_n \rightarrow z$ and the subsequences THx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n} and Bx_{2n+1} converge to z .

We shall prove that $z = SRv \in Bv$, by (3), we have

$$q \int_0^{M(Ax_{2n}, Bv, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{m(x_{2n}, v, t)} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &m(x_{2n}, v, t) \\ &= aM(THx_{2n}, SRv, t) + bM(THx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t) \\ &+ cM(SRv, Bv, t) + \max\{M(Ax_{2n}, SRv, t), M(Bv, THx_{2n}, t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$q \int_0^{M(z, Bv, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{aM(z, z, t) + bM(z, z, t) + cM(z, Bv, t) + \max\{M(z, z, t), M(Bv, z, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Then,

$$M(z, Bv, t) \geq \left(\frac{a+b+1}{q-c}\right) > 1,$$

which yields $\{z\} = \{SRv\} = Bv$.

Since $\bigcup B(X) \subseteq TH(X)$, thus, there exist $u \in X$ such that

$$\{THu\} = Bv = \{z\} = \{SRv\}.$$

Now if $Au \neq Bv$, we get

$$q \int_0^{M(Au, Bv, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{m(u, v, t)} \varphi(t) dt$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &m(u, v, t) \\ &= aM(THu, SRv, t) + bM(THu, Au, t) + cM(SRv, Bv, t) \\ &+ \max\{M(Au, SRv, t), M(Bv, THu, t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$q \int_0^{M(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{aM(z, z, t) + bM(z, Au, t) + cM(z, z, t) + \max\{M(Au, z, t), M(z, z, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Then, we get

$$M(Au, z, t) \geq \left(\frac{a+c+1}{q-b}\right) > 1,$$

which yields $Au = \{z\} = \{THu\} = \{SRv\} = Bv$.

Since $Au = \{THu\}$ and $\{A, TH\}$ is weakly commuting of type (Kh) at coincidence points in X , $M(THTh, ATHu) \geq RM(THu, Au)$ which gives $Az = \{Tz\}$.

On using (3), we obtain

$$q \int_0^{M(Az, Bv, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{m(z, v, t)} \varphi(t) dt$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &m(z, v, t) \\ &= aM(THz, SRv, t) + bM(THz, Az, t) + cM(SRv, Bv, t) \\ &+ \max\{M(Az, SRv, t), M(Bv, THz, t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$q \int_0^{M(Az, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{aM(Tz, z, t) + bM(z, Az, t) + cM(z, z, t) + \max\{M(Az, z, t), M(z, z, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Hence, $Az = \{z\} = \{THz\}$. Similarly, $Bz = \{z\} = \{SRz\}$ where $\{B, SR\}$ is weakly commuting of type (Kh) at coincidence points in X . Then,

$$Az = \{THz\} = \{z\} = \{SRz\} = Bz$$

Now, we prove that $Rz = z$. In fact, by (3), it follows that

$$q \int_0^{M(Az, BRz, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{aM(THz, SRz, t) + bM(THz, Az, t) + cM(SRz, BRz, t) + \max\{M(Az, SRz, t), M(BRz, THz, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt$$

Since $Bz = \{z\} = \{SRz\}$ and $R : X \rightarrow X$, thus $BRz = \{Rz\}, SRz = Rz$.

Then, the above inequality become

$$q \int_0^{M(z, Rz, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{aM(z, Rz, t) + bM(z, z, t) + cM(Rz, Rz, t) + \max\{M(z, Rz, t), M(Rz, z, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Thus, we have $Rz = z$. Hence $Rz = SRz = Sz = z$.

Similarly, we get $Tz = Hz = z$. Thus

$$Az = \{Tz\} = \{Hz\} = \{z\} = \{Sz\} = \{Rz\} = Bz$$

i.e., z is the common fixed point of A, B, S, R, H and T have a unique.

To see z is unique, suppose that $p \neq z$ such that $Ap = \{Tp\} = \{p\} = \{Sp\} = Bp$.

On using (3), we get

$$q \int_0^{M(Az, Bp, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{aM(THz, SRp, t) + bM(THz, Az, t) + cM(SRp, Bp, t) + \max\{M(Az, SRp, t), M(Bp, THz, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt,$$

thus,

$$M(z, p, t) \geq \left(\frac{b+c}{q-a-1}\right),$$

which is impossible, $z = p$. Then A, B, S, R, H and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 3.1 Theorem 3.1 extends, improves and generalizes the results of [3, 6-8, 15].

Remark 3.2 In Theorem 3.1 if $\varphi(t) = 1$, we obtain the results of Abdrabou [5], which extends, improves and generalizes the results of Abu-Donia and Abdrabou [1-2] and Abdrabou [4]

Theorem 3.2 Let S and T be two self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ such that

$$(1) q \int_0^{M(x,y,t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{n(x,y,t)} \varphi(t) dt, \\ n(x,y,t) = aM(Tx, Sy, t) + bM(Tx, x, t) + cM(Sy, y, t) \\ + \max\{M(x, Sy, t), M(y, Tx, t)\}$$

where $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a lebesgue-integral mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 < q < a + b + c < 1$ and if the range of one of the mappings S and T is complete subspace of X . Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. If we set $A = B = H = R = I$ (the identity mapping) in Theorem 3.1, then it is easy to check that the pairs (I, S) and (I, T) are weakly commuting of type (Kh) . Hence, by Theorem 3.1, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

In the following theorem, we prove a common fixed point theorem for four self mappings without the continuity assumption of the mappings in Pathak and Singh [12]. Also, we replacing complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ by the range of one of the mappings is complete subspace of X .

Theorem 3.3 Let A, B, S and T are four self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ such that

$$(1) A(X) \subseteq S(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq T(X), \\ (2) \{A, T\} \text{ and } \{B, S\} \text{ are weakly commuting of type } (Kh), \\ (3) q \int_0^{M(Ax, By, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{h(x,y,t)} \varphi(t) dt, \\ h(x,y,t) = aM(Tx, Sy, t) + bM(Tx, Ax, t) + \\ cM(Sy, By, t) + \max\{M(Ax, Sy, t), M(By, Tx, t)\}$$

where $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a lebesgue-integral mapping which is summable, nonnegative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 < q < a + b + c < 1$ and if the range of one of the mappings A, B, S and T is complete subspace of X . Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. If we set $A, B : X \rightarrow X$ in Theorem 3.1. Hence proof.

Theorem 3.4 Let S be a self mapping of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ and $A : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ set-valued mappings satisfying following conditions:

$$(1) \bigcup A^n(X) \subseteq S^m(X), \\ (2) \text{ the pairs } \{A^n, S^m\} \text{ are weakly commuting of type } (Kh), \\ (3) q \int_0^{M(A^n x, A^n y, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \int_0^{k(x,y,t)} \varphi(t) dt, \\ k(x,y,t) = aM(S^m x, S^m y, t) + bM(S^m x, A^n x, t) + \\ cM(S^m y, A^n y, t) + \max\{M(A^n x, S^m y, t), M(A^n y, S^m x, t)\}$$

where $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a lebesgue-integral mapping which is summable, nonnegative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 < q < a + b + c < 1$ and if the range of one of the mappings A^n and S^m is complete subspace of X . Then A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. If we set $A = B = A^n$ and $SR = TH = S^m$ in

Theorem 3.1, we get A^n and S^m have a unique common fixed point in X . That is, there exists $z \in X$ such that $A^n(z) = \{S^m(z)\} = \{z\}$. since $A^n(Az) = A(A^n z) = Az$, it follows that Az is a fixed point of A^n and S^m and hence $Az = z$. Similarly, we have $Sz = z$.

Theorem 3.5 Let S and T be two self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ and two sequences set-valued mappings $A_i, B_j : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ for all $i, j \in N$ satisfying following conditions:

$$(1) \text{ there exists } i_0, j_0 \in N \text{ such that } \bigcup A_{i_0}(X) \subseteq S(X) \text{ and } \\ \bigcup B_{j_0}(X) \subseteq T(X), \\ (2) \{A_{i_0}, T\} \text{ and } \{B_{j_0}, S\} \text{ are weakly commuting of type } \\ (Kh) \text{ pairs,} \\ (3) q \int_0^{M(A_{i_0} x, B_{j_0} y, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \\ \int_0^{aM(Tx, Sy, t) + bM(Tx, A_{i_0} x, t) + cM(Sy, B_{j_0} y, t) + \max\{M(A_{i_0} x, Sy, t), M(B_{j_0} y, Tx, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt,$$

where $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a lebesgue-integral mapping which is summable, nonnegative, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0$, for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 < q < a + b + c < 1$ and if the range of one of the mappings A_i, B_j, S and T for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ is complete subspace of X . Then A_i, B_j, S and T have a unique common fixed point for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, the mappings A_{i_0}, B_{j_0}, S and T for some $i_0, j_0 \in N$ have a unique common fixed point in X . That is, there exists a unique point $z \in X$ such that $\{Sz\} = \{Tz\} = \{z\} = A_{i_0} z = B_{j_0} z$.

Suppose that there exists $i \in N$ such that $i \neq i_0$. Then, we have

$$q \int_0^{M(A_i z, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt = q \int_0^{M(A_i x, B_{j_0} z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \\ \geq \int_0^{aM(Tz, Sz, t) + bM(Tz, A_i z, t) + cM(Sz, B_{j_0} z, t) + \max\{M(A_i x, Sz, t), M(B_{j_0} z, Tz, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt \\ \geq \int_0^{aM(z, z, t) + bM(z, A_i z, t) + cM(z, z, t) + \max\{M(A_i x, z, t), M(z, z, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt \\ > (a + b + c + 1) \int_0^{M(z, A_i z, t)} \varphi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, for all $i \in N$, it follows that $A_i z = z$. Similarly, for all $j \in N$, we have $B_j z = z$. Therefore, for all $i, j \in N$, we have $A_i z = B_j z = z = \{Sz\} = \{Tz\}$.

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

References

- [1] Abu-Donia, H. M and Abdrabou, Kh. Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 17 (2) (2009) 377-388.
- [2] Abu-Donia, H. M and Abdrabou, Kh. Common fixed point theorems for hybrid mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 18 (1) (2010) 95-112.
- [3] Abu-Donia, H. M and Abdrabou, Kh. Common fixed point theorems for sequences of mappings under a new contractive condition of integral type, J. Applied Mathematics Letters 25 (6) (2012) 980-985.
- [4] Abd-Rabou, Kh. Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible hybrid mappings, Journal of King Saud University (Science) 23 (2011) 1-5.

- [5] Abd-Rabou, Kh. Common Fixed Point Theorems for Sequences of Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Int. J. Open Problems Comput. Math.* 5 (3) (2012) 1-9.
- [6] Aliouche, A. A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 322 (2006) 796-802.
- [7] Altun, I. and Turkoglu, D. Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible multivalued mappings satisfying some general contractive condition of integral type, *Bulletin of the Iranian Math. Soc.* 36 (1) (2010) 55-67.
- [8] Branciari, A. A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Math.* 29 (9) (2002) 531-536.
- [9] Grebiec, M. Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System.*, 27 (1988) 385-389.
- [10] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J. Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika.* 11 (5) (1975) 326-334.
- [11] Pathak, H. K. & Fisher, B. Common Fixed point theorems with applications in dynamic programming, *Glasnik Matematicki*, 31(51) (1996) 321-328.
- [12] Pathak, H. K. & Singh, P. Common Fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mapping, *Internat. Math. Forum*, 2(57) (2007) 2831-2839.
- [13] Pathak, H. K., Khan, M. S. & Tiwari, R. A common fixed point theorem and its application to nonlinear integral equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 53(6) (2007) 961-971.
- [14] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A. Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific. J. Math.*, 10 (1960) 313-334.
- [15] Sedghi, S., Shobe, N. and Aliouche, A. Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces through conditions of integral type, *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics* 17 (3) (2009) 687-698.
- [16] Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Control* 8 (1965) 338-353.



Khaled Abd-Rabou
Associate Professor of
Mathematics, Faculty
of Science Shaqra University,
Al-qawwiya, Kingdom
of Saudi Arabia. He has got
MSC degree in Mathematics
from Faculty of Science,
Zagazig University, Egypt.
He has got his PHD in

Mathematics from Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Egypt. His research interests are the Function analysis, Specially, Fixed point theorem and its applications. He has published research articles in reputed international journals of mathematical sciences. He is referee and editor of mathematical journals