

Journal of Analysis & Number Theory An International Journal

Common Fixed Point Theorem for Weak Compatible Mappings of type (A) in Complex Valued Metric Space

Manoj Kumar^{1,*}, Rajeev Jha² and Kamal Kumar³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Delhi Institute of Technology and Management, Sonipat, India

² Department of Mathematics, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, India

³ Department of Mathematics, Govt. College, Faridabad, India

Received: 7 Jan. 2015, Revised: 9 Jun. 2015, Accepted: 10 Jun. 2015 Published online: 1 Jul. 2015

Abstract: In this paper, we prove some coincidence and common fixed point theorems for weak compatible mappings of type (A) in complex valued metric spaces.

Keywords: complex valued metric space, compatible maps, weak compatible mappings of type (A), common fixed point.

1 Introduction

In 2011, Azam *et al.* [1] introduced the notion of complex valued metric space which is a generalization of the classical metric spaces. They established some fixed point results for a pair of mapping satisfying a rational inequality.

A complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is an ordered pair of real numbers, whose first co-ordinate is called $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and second coordinate is called $\operatorname{Im}(z)$. A complex-valued metric *d* is a function from $X \times X$ into \mathbb{C} , where *X* is a nonempty set and \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

 $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$, that is, $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following holds:

(C1) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$; (C2) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$; (C3) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$; (C4) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

In particular, we will write $z_1 \preccurlyeq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (C2), (C3), and (C4) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (C4) is satisfied.

Remark 1.1. We note that the following statements hold:

(i) $a, b \in R$ and $a = b \Rightarrow az \preceq bz \forall z \in C$. (ii) $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$, (iii) $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 \prec z_3$. **Definition 1.2.** *Let* X *be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping* $d: X \times X \rightarrow C$ *satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;

(ii)
$$d(x,y) = d(y,x)$$
 for all $x, y \in X$

(iii) $d(x,y) \preceq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and (X,d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Example 1.3. *Let* $X = \mathbb{C}$. *Define the mapping* $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *by*

$$d(z_1, z_2) = 2i|z_1 - z_2|, \text{ for all } z_1, z_2 \in X.$$

Then (X,d) is a complex valued metric space.

Definition 1.4. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 \prec c$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > k,

- (i) $d(x_n, x) \prec c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to x.
- (ii) $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *Cauchy sequence.*
- (iii) If every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then
 (X,d) is said to be a complete complex valued metric space.

Lemma 1.5. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n,x)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: manojantil18@gmail.com

Lemma 1.6. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.7. Let (X,d) be a metric space, f and g be self maps on X. A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff fx = gx. In this case, w = fx = gx is called a point of coincidence of f and g.

Jungck [2] introduced the notion of weakly compatible maps as follows:

Definition 1.8. Two maps f and g are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points.

2 Main Results

Jungck et al. [3] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (A) in metric spaces. Pathak et al. [6] gives the concept of weak compatible mappings of type (A). One can refer [5, 6, 7] for more details.

In the same manner, we introduce the concept of weak compatible mappings of type (A) in complex valued metric spaces as follows:

Definition 2.1. A mapping T from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself is said to be continuous at x if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} |d(x_n, x)| = 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} |d(Tx_n, Tx)| = 0.$

Definition 2.2. Let S and T be mapping from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. The mapping S and T are said to be compatible if $\lim |d(STx_n, TSx_n)| = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u \text{ for some } u \in X.$$

Definition 2.3. Let S and T be mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. The mappings S and T are said to be compatible of type (A) if $\lim |d(TSx_n, SSx_n)| = 0 \text{ and } \lim |d(STx_n, TTx_n)| = 0,$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}Sx_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}Tx_n=u$$

for some $u \in X$.

Definition 2.4. Let S and T be mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. The mappings S and T are said to be weak compatible of type (A), if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |d(TSx_n, SSx_n)| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} |d(STx_n, SSx_n)|$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |d(STx_n, TTx_n)| \le \lim_{n\to\infty} |d(TSx_n, TTx_n)|,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Proposition 2.5. Let S and T be continuous self mapping of a complex valued metric space (X,d). If S and T are compatible, then they are compatible of type (A).

Proof. Suppose S and T are compatible. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim x_n = \lim Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$. Now.

 $d(SSx_n, TSx_n) \preceq d(SSx_n, STx_n) + d(STx_n, TSx_n).$

Since *S* and *T* are compatible and *S* is continuous, we have $\lim d(SSx_n, TSx_n)| = 0.$

Similarly, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(TTx_n, STx_n)| = 0$. Therefore, *S* and *T* are compatible of type (A).

Proposition 2.6. Let (X,d) be complex valued metric space and $S,T: X \to X$ be compatible mapping of type (A). If one of S and T is continuous, then S and T are compatible.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that T is continuous. To show that S and T are compatible, suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Sx_n, Tx_n \to u$ for some $u \in X$. Then $TSx_n \to Tu$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since T is continuous.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, TTx_n)| = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

Now, we have

$$d(STx_n, TSx_n) \precsim d(STx_n, TTx_n) + d(TTx_n, TSx_n).$$
(2.2)

For all $n \in N$, since S and T are compatible mapping of type (A),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) = 0.$$
(2.3)

Using (2.1) and (2.3) in (2.2), we get $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) \preceq$ 0, that is, $\lim |d(STx_n, TSx_n)| = 0$.

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d(STx_n,TSx_n)=0.$$

Hence *S* and *T* are compatible.

From above two prepositions, we have the following result:

Proposition 2.7. Let S and T be continuous mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. Then S and T are compatible iff they are compatible of type (A).

The following prepositions shows that Definitions 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 are equivalent under some conditions.

Proposition 2.8. Every pair of compatible mappings of type (A) is weak compatible of type (A).

Proof.Suppose that the pair (S,T) is compatible of type (A), so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d(STx_n,TTx_n)=0$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) = 0,$$

Whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Now, we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) \precsim \lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n)$$

and

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) \preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n).$$

Hence the mappings S and T are weak compatible of type (A).

Proposition 2.9. Let S and T be continuous mappings of a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. If S and T are weak compatible of type (A), then they are compatible of type (A).

*Proof.*Suppose *S* and *T* are weak compatible of type (A). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in *X* such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Since S and T are continuous mappings, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) \precsim \lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, TTx_n) = d(Tu, Tu) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\left|\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n)\right| = 0,$$

implies that,

$$\lim d(STx_n, TTx_n) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) \preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, SSx_n)$$
$$= d(Su, Su) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n)| = 0,$$

implies that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) = 0.$$

Therefore S and T are compatible mappings of type (A).

Proposition 2.10. Let S and T are compatible mappings of type (A) from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. If one of S and T is continuous then S and T are compatible.

*Proof.*Suppose that S is continuous. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$. Since S is continuous, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} STx_n = Su = \lim_{n\to\infty} SSx_n$.

Now, we have

$$d(STx_n, TSx_n) \preceq d(STx_n, SSx_n) + d(SSx_n, TSx_n).$$

Since *S* and *T* are weak compatible of type (A), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) \precsim 0,$$

that is,

$$\left|\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n)\right| = 0,$$

implies that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0.$$

Therefore, S and T are compatible.

As a direct consequence of Preposition 2.9 and Preposition 2.10, we have the following:

Proposition 2.11. Let S and T be continuous mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. Then

- (i) *S* and *T* are compatible of type (A) iff they are weak compatible of type (A).
- (ii) *S* and *T* are compatible iff they are weak compatible of type (A).

Properties of weak compatible mappings of type (A) in complex valued metric spaces

Proposition 2.12. Let *S* and *T* be compatible mappings of type (A) from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself. If Su = Tu for some $u \in X$, then STu = SSu = TTu = TSu.

Proof.Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *X* defined by $x_n = u$, n = 1, 2, 3, ... and Su = Tu.

Then we have $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = Su = Tu$.

Since S and T are compatible mappings of type (A), we have

$$d(STu, TTu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n)$$
$$\lesssim \lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, TTx_n)$$
$$= d(TSu, TTu) = 0,$$



implies that,

$$|d(STu, TTu)| \leq 0$$
, that is, $d(STu, TTu) = 0$

Thus, we have

$$STu = SSu = TTu = TSu.$$

Proposition 2.13. Let *S* and *T* be weakly compatible mappings of type (A) from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself.

Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u$ for some u in X. Then we have the following:

- (i) $\lim TSx_n = Su$, if S is continuous at u.
- (ii) $\lim STx_n = Tu$, if T is continuous at u.
- (iii) STu = TSu and Su = Tu, if S and T are continuous at u.

Proof.(i) Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$. Since *S* is continuous, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} STx_n = Su = \lim_{n\to\infty} SSx_n$.

Now, we have

$$d(TSx_n, Su) \preceq d(TSx_n, SSx_n) + d(SSx_n, Su).$$

Therefore, since S and T are weakly compatible of type (A),

$$\lim d(TSx_n, Su) \precsim 0$$

that is,

$$|\lim_{n\to\infty} d(TSx_n, Su)| = 0,$$

implies that,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, Su) = 0$$

Thus, we have $\lim TSx_n = Su$.

(ii) Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$. Since S is continuous, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} TSx_n = Tu = \lim_{n\to\infty} TTx_n$.

Now, we have

$$d(STx_n, Tu) \preceq d(STx_n, TTx_n) + d(TTx_n, Tu).$$

Therefore, since S and T are weakly compatible of type (A),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d(STx_n,Tu)\precsim 0,$$

that is,

$$|\lim_{n\to\infty}d(STx_n,Tu)|=0,$$

implies that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, Tu) = 0$$

Thus, we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} STx_n = Tu$.

(iii) Since *T* is continuous at *u* we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} TSx_n = Tu$.

By (i), since *S* is continuous at *u* we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} TSx_n = Su$. Hence by the uniqueness of limit, we have Su = Tu and so by Preposition 2.12, STu = TSu.

3 Coincidence point theorem

Let A, B, S and T be mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself such that

$$AX \cup BX \subset SX \cap TX,$$

$$d(Ax, By) \le kd(Sx, Ty) \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } X, \ 0 < k < 1.$$
(3.2)

The sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in *X* are such that $x_n \to x$, $y_n \to y$ implies that $d(x_n, y_n) \to d(x, y)$.

Then by (3.1), since $AX \subset TX$, for any arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$. Since $Bx \subset SX$, for this point x_1 , we can choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}$$
 and $y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1}$,
(3.3)
for every $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Lemma 3.1. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the conditions (3.1) and (3.2). Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by (3.3) is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Proof.From (3.2) we have $d(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) = d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \lesssim kd(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) = kd(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

Consequently, it can be concluded that

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \precsim kd(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$
$$\precsim k^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\end{Bmatrix} k^n d(y_0, y_1)$$

Now for all m > n

$$d(y_m, y_n) \precsim d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_m, y_{m-1})$$
$$\precsim k^n d(y_0, y_1) + k^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + k^{m-1} d(y_0, y_1)$$
$$\precsim \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(y_0, y_1).$$

© 2015 NSP Natural Sciences Publishing Cor. Therefore, we have

$$d(y_m, y_n)| = \frac{k^n}{1-k} |d(y_0, y_1)|.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |d(y_m, y_n)| = 0.$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Theorem 3.2. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and the following:

 $SX \cap TX$ is a complete subspace of X. (3.4)

Then the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) have a coincide point.

Proof.By Lemma 3.1, the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by (3.3) is a Cauchy sequence in $SX \cap TX$. Since $SX \cap TX$ is a complete subspace of X, $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point z in $SX \cap TX$. On the other hand, since the subsequences $\{y_{2n}\}$ and $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ are also Cauchy sequences in $SX \cap TX$, they also converge to the same limit z. Hence, there exists two points u, v in X such that Su = z and Tv = z respectively.

From (3.2), we have

$$d(Au, Bx_{2n+1}) \precsim Kd(Su, Tx_{2n+1}).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$|d(Au,z)| = 0,$$

implies that,

$$d(Au, z) = 0.$$

Thus, Au = z = Su.

Hence *u* is a coincidence point of *A* and *S*.

Similarly, one can show that v is a coincidence point of B and T.

4 Common point fixed theorem

Theorem 4.1. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from a complex valued metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and the following:

the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakcompatibleoftype(A). (4.1)

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

*Proof.*From Theorem 3.2, there exist two points u, v in X such that Au = Su = z and Bv = Tv = z respectively. Since A and S are weak compatible mapping of type (A), by Preposition 2.12, ASu = SSu = SAu = AAu, which implies that Az = Sz. Similarly, since B and T are weak compatible mappings of type A, we have Bz = Tz. Now, we prove that Az = z. If $Az \neq z$, then by (3.2), we have

$$d(Az, y_{2n+1}) = d(Az, Bx_{2n+1}) \preceq kd(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$d(Az, z) \precsim kd(z, z) = 0$$

that is,

$$|d(Az,z)| = 0,$$

implies that,

$$d(Az,z)=0.$$

Thus, we get Az = z.

Hence, we have Az = z = Sz.

Similarly, we have Bz = Tz = z.

Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. For the uniqueness, let y be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T such that $y \neq z$.

From (3.2), we have

$$d(y,z) = d(Ay,Bz) \preceq kd(Sy,Tz) = kd(y,z).$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(y,z)| = K|d(y,z)|,$$

a contradiction to k < 1.

Therefore, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

References

- A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **32** (3), 243–253 (2011).
- [2] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 9, 771–779 (1986).
- [3] G. Jungck, P.P. Murthy and Y.J. Cho, Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japonica 38, 381– 390 (1993).
- [4] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self mappings on non-metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4 (2), 199–212 (1996).
- [5] S. Kumar and R. Chugh, Weak compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed point for four mappings satisfying rational inequality, Vikram Mathematical Journal 17, 19–26 (1997).

- [6] H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang and J.H. Baek., Weak compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points in menger spaces, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 10 (1), 63-67 (1995).
- [7] H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang and J.H. Baek, Weak Compatible Mappings of Type (A) and Common Fixed Points, Kyungpook Math. J. 35 (2), 345–349 (1995).



Manoj Kumar is working as an Assistant Professor Department in Mathematics at Delhi of Institute of Technology and Management, Sonipat (Haryana), India. He has published a lot of papers in the field of fixed point theory. He has authored a book on

"Elements of Engineering Mathematics". Functional analysis, Topology and Fixed point theory are his research interests.



in 40 journals and attended conferences in India and Abroad.

Rajeev Jha is presently Department working in of Mathematics, College of Engineering, Teerthanker University, Mahaveer Moradabad, India. He has 18 years of experience in teaching and research. He has published 150 research papers National/International

National/International



Kamal Kumar is an assistant professor in Department of Mathematics at Pt. JLN Govt. PG College, Faridabad, India. He has a teaching experience of more than 10 years. His research area includes Fixed Point Theory, Analysis and Topology.