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#### Abstract

In this paper, the pitch, the angle of pitch, and the distribution parameter of the closed ruled surfaces, generated by tangent, bi-normal, normal vectors, and unit Darboux vector of a unit dual spherical motion, are studied on the Frenet frame.
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## 1 Introduction

For the analysis of spatial motions in differential geometry $[2,6]$ and in kinematics of the spatial mechanisms [11-13], the use of dual vectors, dual quaternion, and dual matrix algebra over the ring of dual numbers is a very direct method. Important properties of a real vector analysis of real matrix algebra are valid for the dual vectors and dual matrices. The principal part of this method is based on work by E. Study [12]. The essential idea is to replace points by straight lines as fundamental building blocks of geometric structure. The set of oriented lines in Euclidean three-dimensional space $E^{3}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the points of a unit dual sphere in the dual space $D^{3}$ of triples of dual numbers.

The definition of the Steiner vector for the real unit sphere [1] is extended [2] to the definition of dual Steiner vector. In [2], an expression of the pitch of a closed ruled surface is derived in terms of the elements of the dual Steiner vector. Using this expression, the spatial extensions for planar [2] and spherical [1, 4] theorems called Steiner theorems and Holditch theorems are given.

The motion corresponding to the dual spherical closed motion $K / K^{\prime}$ on $D$-module is the one-parameter motion $H / H^{\prime}$ on the line-space. The closed ruled surface $(X)$, which is drawn by the line $\vec{X}$ of $H$ on the fixed space $H^{\prime}$, the pitch, and the angle of pitch of the closed ruled surface $(X)$ are studied in [3].

In this paper, the pitch, the angle of pitch, and distribution parameter of the closed ruled surface each of which generated by tangent, bi-normal, normal vectors, and unit Darboux vector on unit dual sphere, respectively, are investigated.

## 2 The Pitch of a Closed Ruled Surface

Let $H^{\prime}$ and $H$ denote the fixed and moving line-spaces, respectively. According to the result of E. Study, unit dual spheres $K^{\prime}$ and $K$ centered at a point $M$ correspond to these spaces on $D$-module, respectively. Also, dual-spherical motion $K / K^{\prime}$ corresponds to one-parameter spatial motion $H / H^{\prime}$. Let us take a line $\vec{X}$ on $H$. That is to say, we consider a fixed point $X$ of the unit dual sphere $K$. During the motion $H / H^{\prime}$, the line $\vec{X}$ traces a ruled surface $(X)$ which is called the orbit surface on $H^{\prime}$. The variation of the point $X$ according to the fixed sphere $K^{\prime}$, i.e., the variation of the line $\vec{X}$ on $H^{\prime}$ is

$$
d_{f} \vec{X}=\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X}
$$

where the vector $\vec{\Psi}=\vec{\psi}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{\psi^{*}}=\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}\right)$ with $\Psi_{i}=$ $\psi_{i}+\varepsilon \psi_{i}^{*}, i=1,2,3$, is called the instantaneous Pfaffian vector of the motion $H / H^{\prime}$.

The ruled surface $(X)$ is given by $\vec{X}=\vec{X}(t)=\vec{x}(t)+$ $\varepsilon \overrightarrow{x^{*}}(t)$, where $\vec{X}=\vec{X}(t)$ is the unit dual vectorial function

[^0]parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The dual curve $(X)$ is the dual spherical formation of the ruled surface. For the dual arc element $d \Phi=d \varphi+\varepsilon d \varphi^{*}$ on the dual spherical curve $\vec{X}=$ $\vec{X}(t)$,
$$
d \Phi^{2}=\langle d \vec{X}, d \vec{X}\rangle=\langle d \vec{x}, d \vec{x}\rangle+2 \varepsilon\left\langle d \vec{x}, d \overrightarrow{x^{*}}\right\rangle
$$
is valid. The distribution parameter of the ruled surface is defined [5] by
$$
\frac{1}{d}=\frac{\langle d \vec{x}, d \vec{x}\rangle}{\left\langle d \vec{x}, d \overrightarrow{x^{*}}\right\rangle}=\frac{d \varphi \cdot d \varphi^{*}}{d \varphi^{2}}=\frac{d \varphi^{*}}{d \varphi}
$$

Definition 1. Let $K$ be the moving unit dual sphere. Subject to the condition that the pitch of the motion is nonvanishing, a new coordinate system is introduced by $\left\{\overrightarrow{R_{1}}, \overrightarrow{R_{2}}, \vec{P}=\overrightarrow{R_{3}}\right\}$. This frame is called a canonical coordinate frame. For this case, $\vec{\Psi}=\Psi_{3} \overrightarrow{R_{3}}=\Psi_{3} \vec{P}$ is the instantaneous Pfaffian vector [5].

The declaration of the variation of a point of $X \in K$ according to the canonical coordinate frame on one-parameter motion $K / K^{\prime}$ is given [5] by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{f} \vec{X} & =\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X}=\psi_{3}(\vec{P} \wedge \vec{X}) \\
& =\psi_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{R_{3}} \wedge \vec{X}\right)=\psi_{3}\left(X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{\Psi}=\Psi_{3} \overrightarrow{R_{3}}, \quad \Psi_{3}=\psi_{3}+\varepsilon \psi_{3}^{*}$, $\vec{X}=X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}+X_{3} \overrightarrow{R_{3}}$, and $X_{i}=x_{i}+\varepsilon x_{i}^{*}, i=1,2,3$. The distribution parameter of the ruled surface is

$$
\frac{1}{d}=p-\frac{x_{2} x_{3}^{*}}{1-x_{3}^{2}}
$$

where $p=\frac{\psi_{3}^{*}}{\psi_{3}}$ is the pitch [5] of the motion $H / H^{\prime}$.

## 3 The Distribution Parameter of a Closed Ruled Surface

On the one-parameter dual spherical motion, the fixed point $X \in K$ constructs a dual curve on $K^{\prime}$. The tangent, bi-normal, and normal of the dual curve $(X)$ at a point $X$ are
$\vec{T}=\frac{d_{f} \vec{X}}{\left\|d_{f} \vec{X}\right\|}=\frac{\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X}}{\|\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X}\|}$,
$\vec{B}=\frac{d_{f} \vec{X} \wedge d_{f}^{2} \vec{X}}{\left\|d_{f} \vec{X} \wedge d_{f}^{2} \vec{X}\right\|}$,
$\vec{N}=\vec{B} \wedge \vec{T}$,
respectively.

Theorem 1. On the one-parameter dual motion $K / K^{\prime}$, the tangent, bi-normal, and normal vectors of a dual curve $(X)$ at a point $X$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{T} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right), \\
\vec{N} & =\frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right), \\
\vec{B} & =\overrightarrow{R_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that $R=\left(\begin{array}{c}\vec{R}_{1} \\ \vec{R}_{2} \\ \vec{R}_{3}\end{array}\right)$, where $\left\{\vec{R}_{1}, \vec{R}_{2}, \vec{R}_{3}\right\}$ is a unit dual orthogonal frame for 3-space $D^{3}$. Since $X$ is on the unit dual sphere $K$, we may write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{X} & =X_{1} \vec{R}_{1}+X_{2} \vec{R}_{2}+X_{3} \vec{R}_{3}=X^{T} R \\
\|\vec{X}\|^{2} & =X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}+X_{3}^{2}=\mathbf{1}=(1,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X=\left(\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ X_{3}\end{array}\right)$, and $\vec{X}$ is the dual vector corresponding to $X$. The displacements of $R$ with respect to $K$ and $K^{\prime}$, the dual moving, and fixed sphere, respectively, are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d R=\Omega R, \quad d^{\prime} R=\Omega^{\prime} R, \\
& \Omega=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \Omega_{3} & -\Omega_{2} \\
-\Omega_{3} & 0 & \Omega_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} & -\Omega_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Omega^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \Omega_{3}^{\prime} & -\Omega_{2}^{\prime} \\
-\Omega_{3}^{\prime} & 0 & \Omega_{1}^{\prime} \\
\Omega_{2}^{\prime} & -\Omega_{1}^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the displacements of $\vec{X}$ with respect to $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{X}=d X^{T} R+X^{T} d R=\left(d X^{T}+X^{T} \Omega\right) R \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\prime} \vec{X}=d^{T} R+X^{T} d^{\prime} R=\left(d^{\prime T}+X^{T} \Omega^{\prime}\right) R \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Since $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ are anti-symmetric matrixes, we have

$$
\Omega^{T}=-\Omega, \quad \Omega^{\prime T}=-\Omega^{\prime}
$$

For any fixed vector $\vec{X}$, we get

$$
d \vec{X}=0, \quad d^{\prime} \vec{X}=0
$$

Therefore from equations (1) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& d X^{T}+X^{T} \Omega=0 \\
& d X^{T}=-X^{T} \Omega=X^{T} \Omega^{T} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& d^{\prime} X^{T}+X^{T} \Omega^{\prime}=0 \\
& d^{\prime} X^{T}=-X^{T} \Omega^{\prime=} X^{T} \Omega^{\prime T} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, suppose that $X$ is fixed in $K$ and let us calculate its velocity $d_{f} X$ with respect to $K^{\prime}$. Then we obtain that
$d_{f} \vec{X}=d^{\prime} \vec{X}-d \vec{X}$

$$
=X^{T}\left(\Omega^{\prime}-\Omega\right) R
$$

If we define a new dual vector whose components in the relative system are $\Psi_{i}=\Omega_{i}^{\prime}-\Omega_{i}$, where $i=1,2,3$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\Psi} & =\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}\right) \\
& =\Psi_{1} \vec{R}_{1}+\Psi_{2} \vec{R}_{2}+\Psi_{3} \vec{R}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{f} \vec{X}=\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{\Psi}$ is the Pfaffian vector corresponding to the dual spherical motion $K / K^{\prime}$. To calculate the acceleration $J=$ $d_{f}^{2} \vec{X}$ of $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =d_{f}^{2} \vec{X}=\vec{\Psi} \wedge(\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X})+\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X} \\
& =-\langle\vec{\Psi}, \vec{\Psi}\rangle \vec{X}+\langle\vec{\Psi}, \vec{X}\rangle \vec{\Psi}+\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X} \\
& =-\|\vec{\Psi}\|^{2} \vec{X}+\langle\vec{\Psi}, \vec{X}\rangle \vec{\Psi}+\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X} \\
& =-\Psi^{2} \vec{X}+\langle\vec{\Psi}, \vec{X}\rangle \vec{\Psi}+\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the matrix form, the relations (3) and (4) yield

$$
d_{f} X=M X
$$

and

$$
J=d_{f}^{2} X=\left(M^{2}+\dot{M}\right) X
$$

where

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\Psi_{3} & \Psi_{2} \\
\Psi_{3} & 0 & -\Psi_{1} \\
-\Psi_{2} & \Psi_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\dot{M}=d M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\dot{\psi}_{3} & \dot{\Psi}_{2} \\
\dot{\Psi}_{3} & 0 & -\dot{\Psi}_{1} \\
-\dot{\Psi}_{2} & \dot{\Psi}_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\Psi_{3}^{2}-\Psi_{2}^{2} & \Psi_{1} \Psi_{2} & \Psi_{1} \Psi_{3} \\
\Psi_{1} \Psi_{2} & -\Psi_{3}^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2} & \Psi_{2} \Psi_{3} \\
\Psi_{1} \Psi_{3} & \Psi_{2} \Psi_{3} & -\Psi_{2}^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\Psi^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2} & \Psi_{1} \Psi_{2} & \Psi_{1} \Psi_{3} \\
\Psi_{1} \Psi_{2} & \Psi^{2}+\Psi_{2}^{2} & \Psi_{2} \Psi_{3} \\
\Psi_{1} \Psi_{3} & \Psi_{2} \Psi_{3} & -\Psi^{2}-\Psi_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\vec{\Psi}=\Psi_{3} \vec{R}_{3}$, then $\Psi_{1}=\Psi_{2}=0$. Thus,

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\Psi_{3} & 0 \\
\Psi_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence the velocity vector of $X \in K$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{f} \vec{X} & =M X=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\Psi_{3} X_{2} \\
\Psi_{3} X_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\Psi_{3}\left(-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{T} & =\frac{d_{f} \vec{X}}{\left\|d_{f} \vec{X}\right\|} \\
& =\frac{\Psi_{3}\left(-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{\Psi_{3}^{2}\left(X_{2}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}-X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the same arguments, it is easy to see that $\vec{B}=$ $\vec{R}_{3}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{N}= & \vec{B} \wedge \vec{T}=\vec{R}_{3} \wedge \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}-X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right) \\
& \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{2} \vec{R}_{3} \wedge \vec{R}_{1}-X_{1} \vec{R}_{3} \wedge \vec{R}_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{2} \vec{R}_{2}+X_{1} \vec{R}_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
From Theorem 1, the following corollary can be obtained.

Corollary 1. On the one-parameter motion $K / K^{\prime}$, the unit Darboux vector is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{D_{0}} & =\frac{\tau \vec{T}+\kappa \vec{B}}{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}}}\left[\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)+\kappa \overrightarrow{R_{3}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa=k_{1}+\varepsilon k_{1}^{*}$ and $\tau=k_{2}+\varepsilon k_{2}^{*}$ are the first and second dual curvatures, respectively, and $\vec{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$.

Theorem 2. Consider a point $T$ on the unit moving dual sphere $K$ of the dual-spherical motion $K / K^{\prime}$, corresponding to one-parameter spatial motion $H / H^{\prime}$,
such that during the motion $H / H^{\prime}$, the line $\vec{T}$ draws a ruled surface $(T)$ on the fixed space $H^{\prime}$. Then the distribution parameter of this ruled surface is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_{T}=p=\frac{\psi_{3}^{*}}{\psi_{3}}
$$

Proof. The declaration of the variation of a point $T$ according to the canonical coordinate frame of the one-parameter motion $K / K^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{f} \vec{T} & =\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{T}=\Psi_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{R_{3}} \wedge \vec{T}\right) \\
& =\frac{-\Psi_{3}}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The distribution parameter of the ruled surface is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_{T}=\frac{d \varphi \cdot d \varphi^{*}}{d \varphi^{2}}=\frac{\psi_{3}^{*} \cdot \psi_{3}}{\psi_{3}^{2}}=\frac{\psi_{3}^{*}}{\psi_{3}}=p
$$

where $d \Phi=d \varphi+\varepsilon d \varphi^{*}$ denotes the dual arc element of the ruled surface $(T)$.
Theorem 3. Consider a point $B$ on the unit moving dual sphere $K$ such that during the motion $H / H^{\prime}$, the line $\vec{B}$ draws a ruled surface $(B)$ on the fixed space $H^{\prime}$. Then the distribution parameter of this ruled surface is undefined.
Proof. The declaration of the variation of the point $B$ according to the canonical coordinate frame on the one-parameter motion $K / K^{\prime}$ is

$$
d_{f} \vec{B}=\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{B}=\Psi_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{R_{3}} \wedge \vec{B}\right)=0
$$

since $\vec{B}=\overrightarrow{R_{3}}$. So on the motion $K / K^{\prime}$, the point $B$ is fixed and the distribution parameter of the ruled surface is undefined.
Theorem 4. Consider a point $N$ on the unit moving dual sphere $K$ such that during the motion $H / H^{\prime}$, the line $\vec{N}$ draws a ruled surface $(N)$ on the fixed space $H^{\prime}$. Then the distribution parameter of this ruled surface is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_{N}=\frac{\psi_{3}^{*}}{\psi_{3}}=p
$$

Proof. The declaration of the variation of the point $N$ according to the canonical coordinate frame on the one-parameter motion $K / K^{\prime}$ is
$d_{f} \vec{N}=\vec{\Psi} \wedge \vec{N}=\psi_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{R_{3}} \wedge \vec{N}\right)=\frac{\psi_{3}}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}-X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)$.
The distribution parameter of the ruled surface is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_{N}=\frac{\psi_{3}^{*}}{\psi_{3}}=p
$$

where $d \Phi=d \varphi+\varepsilon d \varphi^{*}$ is the dual arc element of the ruled surface $(N)$ and $p$ is the pitch of the motion.

Theorem 5. Consider a point $D_{0}$ on the unit moving dual sphere $K$ such that during the motion $H / H^{\prime}$, the line $\overrightarrow{D_{0}}$ draws a ruled surface $\left(D_{0}\right)$ on the fixed space $H^{\prime}$. Then the distribution parameter of this ruled surface is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_{D_{0}}=\frac{k_{1} k_{1}^{*}+k_{2} k_{2}^{*}}{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{*}}{k_{2}}+p
$$

where $p$ is the pitch of the motion and $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are the first and second dual curvatures, respectively.

Proof. The declaration of the variation of the point $D_{0}$ according to the canonical coordinate frame on the one-parameter motion $K / K^{\prime}$ is

$$
d_{f} \overrightarrow{D_{0}}=\vec{\Psi} \wedge \overrightarrow{D_{0}}=\frac{-\tau \Psi_{3}}{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+k^{2}} \sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)
$$

The distribution parameter of the ruled surface is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_{D_{0}}=\frac{k_{1} k_{1}^{*}+k_{2} k_{2}^{*}}{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{*}}{k_{2}}+p
$$

where $d \Phi=d \varphi+\varepsilon d \varphi^{*}$ is the dual arc element of the ruled surface $\left(D_{0}\right)$.

## 4 The Pitch and the Angle of Pitch

There are four cases of the pitch and angle of pitch of the closed ruled surfaces $(T),(N),(B)$, and $\left(D_{0}\right)$ on the canonical coordinate frame.

Theorem 6. The pitch, the angle of pitch, and the dual angle of pitch of the closed ruled surface $(T)$ on the canonical coordinate frame are vanishing.

Proof. We know that

$$
\vec{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)
$$

is valid, where $\vec{T}=\vec{t}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{t^{*}}$ and $X_{i}=\overrightarrow{x_{i}}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{x_{i}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{R_{i}}=$ $\overrightarrow{r_{i}}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{r_{i}^{*}}$ for $i=1,2,3$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{t^{\prime}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right) \\
\overrightarrow{t^{*}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\lambda_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+\lambda_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\frac{x_{3} x_{3}^{*} x_{2}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}-x_{2}^{*}$ and $\lambda_{2}=-\frac{x_{3} 3_{3}^{*} x_{1}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}+x_{1}^{*}$. The dual vector $\vec{D}=\vec{d}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{d^{*}}=\oint \vec{\Psi}$ for $\vec{\Psi}=\Psi_{3} \overrightarrow{R_{3}}, \Psi_{3}=\Psi_{3}+$ $\varepsilon \psi_{3}^{*}$ is the Steiner vector, where $\vec{d}=\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}$ and $\overrightarrow{d^{*}}=$
$\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}$. Consequently, the angle of pitch is vanishing, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{T} & =\langle\vec{d}, \vec{t}\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)\right\rangle=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the pitch is defined as

$$
l_{T}=\left\langle\overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \overrightarrow{t^{\prime}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{t^{*}}\right\rangle
$$

From the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{t^{*}}\right\rangle= & \left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\lambda_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+\lambda_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) x_{2}\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) x_{1}\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \vec{t}\right\rangle= & \left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) x_{2}\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) x_{1}\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and since we have

$$
\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right\rangle
$$

the pitch is

$$
l_{T}=0
$$

The dual angle of pitch is

$$
\Lambda_{T}=l_{T}-\varepsilon \lambda_{T}=0
$$

Hence $(T)$ is an extendable ruled surface.
Theorem 7. The pitch, the angle of pitch, and the dual angle of pitch of the closed ruled surface $(N)$ on the canonical coordinate frame are vanishing.

Proof. We know that

$$
\vec{N}=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)
$$

is valid, where $\vec{N}=\vec{n}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{n^{*}}$ and $X_{i}=\overrightarrow{x_{i}}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{x_{i}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{R_{i}}=$ $\overrightarrow{r_{i}}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{r_{i}^{*}}, i=1,2,3$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{n}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right) \\
\overrightarrow{n^{*}}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\left(x_{1}^{*}-\frac{x_{3} x_{3}^{*} x_{1}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{3} x_{3}^{*} x_{2}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{2}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}+x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Steiner vector is defined as $\vec{D}=\vec{d}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{d^{*}}=\oint \vec{\Psi}$ for $\vec{\Psi}=\Psi_{3} \overrightarrow{R_{3}}, \Psi_{3}=\psi_{3}+\varepsilon \psi_{3}^{*}$, where $\vec{d}=\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}$ and $\overrightarrow{d^{*}}=\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}$, the angle of pitch is vanishing, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{N} & =\langle\vec{d}, \vec{n}\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)\right\rangle=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the pitch is defined as

$$
l_{N}=\left\langle\overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \vec{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{n^{*}}\right\rangle
$$

From the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{n^{*}}\right\rangle= & \left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}},\right. \\
& \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\left(x_{1}^{*}-\frac{x_{3} x_{3}^{*} x_{1}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{3} x_{3}^{*} x_{2}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{2}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}+x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{-x_{1}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{x_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \vec{n}\right\rangle= & \left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{-x_{1}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\frac{x_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right\rangle
$$

and since we have

$$
\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right\rangle
$$

the pitch is

$$
l_{N}=0 .
$$

The dual angle of pitch is

$$
\Lambda_{N}=l_{N}-\varepsilon \lambda_{N}=0
$$

So $(N)$ is an extendable ruled surface.
Theorem 8. The pitch, the angle of pitch, and the dual angle of pitch of the closed ruled surface $(B)$ on the canonical coordinate frame are vanishing.
Proof. This is clear since we have $B=\mathbb{R}^{3}$, so this ruled surface is extendable.

Theorem 9. The pitch, the angle of pitch, and the dual angle of pitch of the closed ruled surface $\left(D_{0}\right)$ on the canonical coordinate frame are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{D_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{2}}}\left[k_{1}^{*}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)+k_{1}\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right)\right] \\
\lambda_{D_{0}}=\frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{D_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left[k_{1}^{*}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)+k_{1}\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right)\right] \\
-\varepsilon \frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.
Proof. We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{D_{0}} & =\frac{\tau \vec{T}+\kappa \vec{B}}{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}}}\left[\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1-X_{3}^{2}}}\left(-X_{2} \overrightarrow{R_{1}}+X_{1} \overrightarrow{R_{2}}\right)+\kappa \overrightarrow{R_{3}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is valid, where $\overrightarrow{D_{0}}=\vec{d}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \kappa=k_{1}+\varepsilon k_{1}^{*}, \tau=k_{2}+\varepsilon k_{2}^{*}$, $X_{i}=\overrightarrow{x_{i}}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{x_{i}^{*}}$ and $\overrightarrow{R_{i}}=\overrightarrow{r_{i}}+\varepsilon \overrightarrow{r_{i}^{*}}$ for $i=1,2,3$. We have
$\overrightarrow{d_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left[\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)+k_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{3}}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{d_{0}^{*}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2}^{*} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+k_{2} x_{1}^{*} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}-k_{2} x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right. \\
& \quad+k_{2} x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}+k_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}+k_{1}^{*} \overrightarrow{r_{3}} \\
& \left.-\left(k_{2} \frac{k_{2}^{*} k_{2}+k_{1}^{*} k_{1}}{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}+k_{2} \frac{x_{3} x_{3}^{*}}{x_{3}^{2}-1}+k_{2}^{*}\right)\left(x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition of the Steiner vector, the angle of pitch is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{D_{0}}= & \left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{d_{0}}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)+k_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{3}}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}} \oint \psi_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the pitch of $\left(D_{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
l_{D_{0}}=\left\langle\overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \overrightarrow{d_{0}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{d_{0}^{*}}\right\rangle .
$$

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\vec{d}, \overrightarrow{d_{0}^{*}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left[\frac{-k_{2} x_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{k_{2} x_{1}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right\rangle+k_{1}^{*}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\overrightarrow{d^{*}}, \overrightarrow{d_{0}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) \overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left[\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(-x_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+x_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right)+k_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{3}}\right]\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left[\frac{-k_{2} x_{2}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{k_{2} x_{1}}{\sqrt{1-x_{3}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right\rangle+k_{1}\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and since we have

$$
\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{1}}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\overrightarrow{r_{3}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right\rangle,
$$

the pitch is

$$
l_{D_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{2}}}\left[k_{1}^{*}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)+k_{1}\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right)\right]
$$

The dual angle of pitch of $\left(D_{0}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{D_{0}}= & l_{D_{0}}-\varepsilon \lambda_{D_{0}} \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left[k_{1}^{*}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right)+k_{1}\left(\oint \psi_{3}^{*}\right)\right] \\
& -\varepsilon \frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\left(\oint \psi_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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