

Journal of Analysis & Number Theory An International Journal

Some Common Fixed Point Theorems for Four Self Maps in Complex Valued Metric Spaces

Manoj Kumar*

Department of Mathematics, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar 125001, India

Received: 15 Sep. 2014, Revised: 15 Nov. 2014, Accepted: 21 Nov. 2014 Published online: 1 Jan. 2015

Abstract: In this paper, first we prove a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of weakly compatible self maps in complex valued metric spaces. Secondly, we prove common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings along with E.A. and (CLR) properties.

Keywords: Complex valued metric space, Partial order, Weakly compatible maps, E.A. property, (CLR) property.

1 Introduction

In 2011, Azam et al. [3] introduced the notion of complex valued metric space which is a generalization of the classical metric space. They established some fixed point results for mappings satisfying a rational inequality. The idea of complex valued metric spaces can be exploited to define complex valued normed spaces and complex valued Hilbert spaces; additionally, it offers numerous research activities in mathematical analysis.

A complex number $z \in C$ is an ordered pair of real numbers, whose first co-ordinate is called $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and second coordinate is called $\operatorname{Im}(z)$. Thus a complex-valued metric *d* is a function from a set $X \times X$ into \mathbb{C} , where *X* is a nonempty set and \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

 $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$, that is $z_1 \preceq z_2$, if one of the following holds

(C1) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$; (C2) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$; (C3) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$; (C4) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

In particular, we will write $z_1 \neq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (C2), (C3), and (C4) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (C4) is satisfied.

Remark. We note that the following statements hold:

(i) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b \Rightarrow az \preceq bz \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

(ii) $0 \preceq z_1 \preccurlyeq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|,$ (iii) $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 \prec z_3.$

Definition 1. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x,y) \preceq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and (X,d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define the mapping $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = 2i|z_1 - z_2|$$
, for all $z_1, z_2 \in X$.

Then (X,d) is a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$.

- (i) If for every c ∈ C, with 0 ≺ c there is k ∈ N such that for all n > k, d(x_n,x) ≺ c, then {x_n} is said to be convergent, {x_n} converges to x and x is the limit point of {x_n}. We denote this by {x_n} → x as n → ∞ or lim x_n = x.
- (ii) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 \prec c$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > k, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence.

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: manojantil18@gmail.com

(iii) If every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then (X,d) is said to be a complete complex valued metric space.

Lemma 1. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to xif and only if $|d(x_n,x)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 2. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In 1996, Jungck [4] introduced the concept of weakly compatible maps as follows:

Definition 3. *Two self maps f and g are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points.*

In 2002, Aamri et al. [1] introduced the notion of E.A. property as follows:

Definition 4. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X,d) are said to satisfy E.A. property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t$ for some t in X.

In 2011, Sintunavarat et al. [5] introduced the notion of (CLR) property as follows:

Definition 5. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X,d) are said to satisfy (CLR_f) property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = fx$ for some x in X.

In the same way, we can introduce these notions in complex valued metric space.

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define the mapping $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = 2i|z_1 - z_2|$$
, for all $z_1, z_2 \in X$.

Then (X,d) is a complex valued metric space. Define $S,T: X \to X$ by

$$Sz = z + i$$
 and $Tz = 2z$, for all $z \in X$.

Consider a sequence $\{z_n\} = \{i - \frac{1}{n}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, in *X*, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Sz_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} (z_n + i) = \lim_{n \to \infty} i - \frac{1}{n} + i = 2i.$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Tz_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2z_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2\left(i - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 2i,$$

where $2i \in X$.

Thus, S and T satisfies E.A. property. Also, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}Sz_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}Tz_n=2i=S(i),$$

where $i \in X$.

Thus, S and T satisfies (CLRS) property.

Now, we shall prove our results relaxing the condition of complex valued metric space being complete.

2 Weakly compatible maps

Theorem 1. Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a complex valued metric space (X,d) satisfying the followings:

$$(2.1)SX \subseteq BX, TX \subseteq AX,$$

$$(2.2)d(Sx,Ty) \qquad \qquad \precsim$$

$$k\max\{d(Ax,By), d(Sx,Ax), d(Ty,By), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx,By) + d(Ty,Ax))\}, 0 < k < 1.$$

If one of AX, BX, SX or TX is complete subspace of X, then the pair (A,S) or (B,T) have a coincidence point. Moreover, if pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point of *X*. From (2.1), we can construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in *X* as follows:

 $(2.3)y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Define $d_n = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$. Suppose that $d_{2n} = 0$ for some *n*. Then $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$, that is, $Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}$, and so the pair (A, S) have a coincidence point.

Similarly, if $d_{2n+1} = 0$, then the pair (B,T) have a coincidence point.

Assume that $d_n \neq 0$ for each *n*.

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \\ &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\precsim k \max\{d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), \\ &d(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &+ d(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}))\} \end{aligned} (2.1) \\ &= k \max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}))\} \\ &\precsim k \max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}))\} \\ &= k \max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})\} \\ &= k \max\{d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}\}. \end{aligned} (2.2)$$

Now, if $d_{2n+1} \ge d_{2n}$, for some *n*, then from (2.5), we have

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \preceq kd(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}),$$

that is,

$$|d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})| \le k |d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})| < |d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})|,$$

since 0 < k < 1, a contradiction.

Thus, $d_{2n} > d_{2n+1}$ for all *n*, and so, from (2.5), we have

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \preceq kd(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}).$$

Similarly,

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \preceq kd(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$



In general, we have for all n = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \precsim kd(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$
$$\precsim k^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \dots \precsim k^n d(y_0, y_1).$$

Now, for all m > n,

$$d(y_m, y_n) \lesssim d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \ldots + d(y_m, y_{m-1}) \lesssim k^n d(y_0, y_1) + k^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \ldots + k^{m-1} d(y_0, y_1) \lesssim \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(y_0, y_1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$|d(y_m, y_n)| \le \frac{k^n}{1-k} |d(y_0, y_1)|$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|d(y_m,y_n)|=0.$$

Hence, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Now, suppose that A(X) is complete. Note that $\{y_{2n}\}$ is contained in A(X) and has a limit in A(X), say u, that is, $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_{2n} = u$. Let $v \in A^{-1}u$. Then Av = u.

Now, we shall prove that Sv = u.

Let, if possible, $Sv \neq u$.

Putting x = v and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (2.2), we have

$$d(Sv, Tx_{2n-1}) \preceq k \max\{d(Av, Bx_{2n-1}), d(Sv, Av), \\ d(Tx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1}), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sv, Bx_{2n-1}) \\ + d(Tx_{2n-1}, Av))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(Sv, Tx_{2n-1})| \le k |\max\{(d(Av, Bx_{2n-1}), d(Sv, Av), \\ d(Tx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1}), \\ \frac{1}{2}(d(Sv, Bx_{2n-1}) + d(Tx_{2n-1}, Av)))\}|.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Sv,u)| &\leq k |\max\{d(u,u), d(Sv,u), d(u,u), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,u) + d(u,u))\}| \\ &= k |d(Sv,u)| < |d(Sv,u)|, \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Sv = u = Av, that is, v is the coincidence point of the pair (A,S).

Since $SX \subseteq BX$, Sv = u, implies that, $u \in BX$.

Let $w \in B^{-1}u$. Then Bw = u. By using the same arguments as above, one can easily verify that, Tw = u = Bw, that is, *w* is the coincidence point of the pair (B,T).

The same result holds, if we assume that BX is complete instead of AX.

Now, if *TX* is complete, then by (2.1), $u \in TX \subseteq AX$.

Similarly, if *SX* is complete, then $u \in SX \subseteq BX$. Now, since the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible, so

$$u = Sv = Av = Tw = Bw,$$

then

$$Au = ASv = SAv = Su$$
, $Bu = BTw = TBw = Tu$. (2.3)

Now, we claim that Tu = u. Let, if possible, $Tu \neq u$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(u,Tu) = d(Sv,Tu)$$

$$\precsim k \max\{d(Av,Bu), d(Sv,Av), d(Tu,Bu), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,Bu) + d(Tu,Av))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} |d(u,Tu)| \\ &\leq k |\max\{d(Av,Bu), d(Sv,Av), d(Tu,Bu), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,Bu) + d(Tu,Av))\}| \\ &= k |\max\{d(u,Tu), d(u,u), 0, \frac{1}{2}(d(u,Tu) + d(Tu,u))\}| \\ &= k |d(u,Tu)| < |d(u,Tu)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{split}$$

Thus, we have Tu = u. Similarly, Su = u. Thus, we get Au = Su = Bu = Tu = u. Hence *u* is the common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. For the uniqueness, let *z* be another common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. Now, we claim that u = z. Let, if possible, $u \neq z$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(u,z) = d(Su,Tz)$$

$$\precsim k \max\{d(Au,Bz), d(Su,Au), d(Tz,Bz), \frac{1}{2}(d(Su,Bz) + d(Tz,Au))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} |d(u,z)| \\ &\leq k |\max\{d(Au,Bz), d(Su,Au), d(Tz,Bz), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Su,Bz) + d(Tz,Au))\}| \\ &= k |\max\{d(u,z), d(u,u), d(z,z), \frac{1}{2}(d(u,z) + d(z,u))\}| \\ &= k |d(u,z)| < |d(u,z)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{split}$$

Thus, we get, u = z. Hence *u* is the common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*.

Corollary 1. Let B and S be two self maps of a complex valued metric space (X,d) satisfying the following:

(i) $SX \subseteq BX$,

(ii) $d(Sx, Sy) \preceq k \max\{d(Bx, By), d(Sx, Bx), d(Sy, By), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx, By) + d(Sy, Bx))\}$, for all x, y in X and 0 < k < 1.

If one of SX or BX is complete subspace of X, then the pair (B,S) have a coincidence point. Moreover, if B and S are weakly compatible, then B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By putting A = B and S = T, we get the Corollary 2.2.

3 E.A. property

Theorem 2. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complex valued metric space (X,d) satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and the followings:

(3.1) pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible, (3.2) pair (A,S) or (B,T) satisfy the E.A. property.

If any one of AX, BX, SX and TX is a complete subspace of X, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose that (A, S) satisfies the E.A. property. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z, \quad \text{for some } z \text{ in } X.$$

Since $SX \subseteq BX$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Sx_n = By_n$.

Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = z$. We shall show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z$. Let, if possible, $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = t \neq z$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sx_n, Ty_n)$$

$$\lesssim k \max\{d(Ax_n, By_n), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Ty_n, By_n), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, By_n) + d(Ty_n, Ax_n))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(Sx_n, Ty_n)| \le k |\max\{(d(Ax_n, By_n), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Ty_n, By_n), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, By_n) + d(Ty_n, Ax_n)))\}|.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$|d(z,t)| \le k |\max\{d(z,z), d(z,z), d(t,z), \frac{1}{2}(d(z,z) + d(t,z))\}|.$$

Thus, we have

 $|d(z,t)| \le k|d(z,t)| < |d(z,t)|$, a contradiction.

Therefore, t = z, that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = z$.

Suppose that *BX* is a complete subspace of *X*. Then z = Bu for some *u* in *X*. Subsequently, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = z = Bu.$$

Now, we shall show that Tu = Bu. Let, if possible, $Tu \neq Bu$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sx_n, Tu) \preceq k \max\{d(Ax_n, Bu), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Tu, Bu), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, Bu) + d(Tu, Ax_n))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Sx_n, Tu)| \\ \leq k |\max\{(d(Ax_n, Bu), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Tu, Bu), \\ \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, Bu) + d(Tu, Ax_n)))\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(z,Tu)| \\ &\leq k |\max\{d(z,z), d(z,z), d(Tu,z), \frac{1}{2}(d(z,z) + d(Tu,z))\}|. \\ &= k |d(z,Tu)| < |d(z,Tu)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Tu = z = Bu. Since *B* and *T* are weakly compatible, therefore, BTu = TBu, implies that, TTu = TBu = BTu = BBu. Since $TX \subseteq AX$, there exists $v \in X$, such that, Tu = Av. Now, we claim that Av = Sv. Let, if possible, $Av \neq Sv$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sv,Tu) \preceq k \max\{d(Av,Bu), d(Sv,Av), d(Tu,Bu), \\ \frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,Bu) + d(Tu,Av))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Sv,Tu)| &\leq k |\max\{d(Av,Bu), d(Sv,Av), d(Tu,Bu), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,Bu) + d(Tu,Av))\}| \\ &= k |\max 0, d(Sv,Tu), 0, \frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,Tu) + 0)| \\ &= k |d(Sv,Tu)| < |d(Sv,Tu)|, \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Sv = Tu = Av. Thus, we have, Tu = Bu = Sv = Av. The weak compatibility of *A* and *S* implies that ASv = SAv = SSv = AAv. Now, we claim that *Tu* is the common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. Suppose that, $TTu \neq Tu$. From (2.2), we have d(Tu, TTu) = d(Sv, TTu)

$$\lesssim k \max\{d(Av, BTu), d(Sv, Av), d(TTu, BTu), \\ \frac{1}{2}(d(Sv, BTu) + d(TTu, Av))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Tu,TTu)| &\leq k |\max\{d(Av,BTu),d(Sv,Av),d(TTu,BTu),\\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sv,BTu)+d(Tu,Av))\}|\\ &= k |\max\{d(Tu,TTu),0,0,d(Tu,TTu)\}|\\ &= k |d(Tu,TTu)| < |d(Tu,TTu)|,\\ &\text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Tu = TTu = BTu.

Hence Tu is the common fixed point of B and T.

Similarly, we prove that Sv is the common fixed point of A and S. Since Tu = Sv, Tu is the common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The proof is similar when AX is assumed to be a complete subspace of X. The cases in which TX or SX is a complete subspace of X are similar to the cases in which AX or BX, respectively is complete subspace of X, since $TX \subseteq AX$ and $SX \subseteq BX$.

Now, we shall prove that the common fixed point is unique. If possible, let p and q be two common fixed points of A, B, S and T, such that, $p \neq q$.

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(p,q) &= d(Sp,Tq) \\ &\precsim k \max\{d(Ap,Bq), d(Sp,Ap), d(Tq,Bq), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sp,Bq) + d(Tq,Ap))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} |d(p,q)| &\leq k |\max\{d(Ap,Bq), d(Sp,Ap), d(Tq,Bq), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(Sp,Bq) + d(Tq,Ap))\}| \\ &= k |\max\{d(p,q), d(p,p), d(q,q), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(p,q) + d(q,p))\}| \\ &= k |d(p,q)| < |d(p,q)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{split}$$

Thus, we get, p = q.

Hence the mappings A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2. Let B and S be two weakly compatible self maps of a complex valued metric space (X,d) satisfying the following:

- (i) $SX \subseteq BX$,
- (ii) $d(Sx,Sy) \preceq k \max\{d(Bx,By), d(Sx,Bx), d(Sy,By), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx,By) + d(Sy,Bx))\}, \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } X \text{ and } 0 < k < 1.$
- (iii) B and S satisfies the E.A. property

If SX or BX is complete subspace of X, then B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By putting A = B and S = T, we get the Corollary 3.2.

4 (CLR) property

Theorem 3. Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying (2.2), (3.1) and the following:

(4.1) $SX \subseteq BX$ and the pair (A,S) satisfies (CLR_A) property, or $TX \subseteq AX$ and the pair (B,T) satisfies (CLR_B) property.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $SX \subseteq BX$ and the pair (A, S) satisfies (CLR_A) property, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n =$

Ax, for some x in X.

Since $SX \subseteq BX$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Sx_n = By_n$.

Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = Ax$. We shall show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = Ax$. Let, if possible, $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z \neq Ax$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sx_n, Ty_n) \lesssim k \max\{d(Ax_n, By_n), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Ty_n, By_n)\} \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, By_n) + d(Ty_n, Ax_n))\}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Sx_n, Ty_n)| \\ \leq k |\max\{(d(Ax_n, By_n), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Ty_n, By_n), \\ \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, By_n) + d(Ty_n, Ax_n)))\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$|d(Ax,z)| \le k |\max\{d(Ax,Ax), d(Ax,Ax), d(Ax,z), \frac{1}{2}(d(Ax,Ax) + d(z,Ax))\}|.$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(Ax,z)| \le k|d(Ax,z)| < |d(Ax,z)|$$
, a contradiction.

Therefore, Ax = z, that is, $\lim Ty_n = Ax$.

Subsequently, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = Ax = z.$$

Now, we shall show that Sx = z. Let, if possible, $Sx \neq z$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sx, Ty_n) \preceq k \max\{d(Ax, By_n), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty_n, By_n), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx, By_n) + d(Ty_n, Ax))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(Sx, Ty_n)| \le k |\max\{(d(Ax, By_n), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty_n, By_n), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx, By_n) + d(Ty_n, Ax)))\}|.$$



Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Sx,z)| &\leq k |\max\{d(z,z), d(Sx,z), d(z,z), \\ \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx,z) + d(z,z))\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(Sx,z)| \le k|d(Sx,z)| < |d(Sx,z)|,$$

which is not possible

Therefore, Sx = z = Ax.

Since, the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible, it follows that Az = Sz.

Also, since $SX \subseteq BX$, there exists some y in X such that Sx = By, that is, By = z. Now, we show that Ty = z.

Let, if possible, $Ty \neq z$.

From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sx_n, Ty) \preceq k \max\{d(Ax_n, By), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Ty, By), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, By) + d(Ty, Ax_n))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(Sx_n, Ty)| \le k |\max\{(d(Ax_n, By), d(Sx_n, Ax_n), d(Ty, By), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx_n, By) + d(Ty, Ax_n)))\}|.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$|d(z,Ty)| \le k |\max\{d(z,z), d(z,z), d(z,Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(z,z) + d(Ty,z))\}|.$$

Thus, we have

$$|d(z,Ty)| \le k|d(z,Ty)| < |d(z,Ty)|,$$

which is not possible.

Thus, z = Ty = By. Since the pair (B,T) is weakly compatible, it follows that Tz = Bz. Now, we claim that Sz = Tz. Let, if possible, $Sz \neq Tz$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sz,Tz) \preceq k \max\{d(Az,Bz), d(Sz,Az), d(Bz,Tz), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sz,Bz) + d(Tz,Az))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} |d(Sz,Tz)| \\ &\leq k |\max\{d(Az,Bz),d(Sz,Az),d(Bz,Tz),\\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sz,Bz)+d(Tz,Az))\}| \\ &= k |\max\{d(Sz,Tz),0,0,\frac{1}{2}(d(Sz,Tz)+d(Tz,Sz))\} \\ &= k |d(Sz,Tz)| < |d(Sz,Tz)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{split}$$

Therefore, Sz = Tz, that is, Az = Sz = Tz = Bz. Now, we shall show that z = Tz. Let, if possible, $z \neq Tz$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(Sx,Tz) \preceq k \max\{d(Ax,Bz), d(Sx,Ax), d(Bz,Tz), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx,Bz) + d(Tz,Ax))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(z,Tz)| &\leq k |\max\{d(Ax,Bz), d(Sx,Ax), d(Bz,Tz), \\ &\frac{1}{2}(d(Sx,Bz) + d(Tz,Ax))\}| \\ &= k |\max\{d(z,Tz), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}(d(z,Tz) + d(Tz,z))\}| \\ &= k |d(z,Tz)| < |d(z,Tz)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, z = Tz = Bz = Az = Sz. Hence, *z* is the common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. Now, we shall prove that the common fixed point is unique. Let *u* be another common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. Let, if possible, $z \neq u$. From (2.2), we have

$$d(u,z) = d(Su,Tz)$$

$$\precsim k \max\{d(Au,Bz), d(Su,Au), d(Tz,Bz), \frac{1}{2}(d(Su,Bz) + d(Tz,Au))\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} |d(u,z)| &\leq k |\max\{d(Au,Bz), d(Su,Au), d(Tz,Bz), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(Su,Bz) + d(Tz,Au))\}| \\ &= k |\max\{d(u,z), d(u,u), d(z,z), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(u,z) + d(z,u))\}| \\ &= k |d(u,z)| < |d(u,z)|, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{split}$$

Thus, we get, u = z.

Hence z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Corollary 3. Let B and S be two weakly compatible self maps of a complex valued metric space (X,d) satisfying the following:

- (i) $SX \subseteq BX$,
- (ii) $d(Sx,Sy) \preceq k \max\{d(Bx,By), d(Sx,Bx), d(Sy,By), \frac{1}{2}(d(Sx,By) + d(Sy,Bx))\}$, for all x, y in X and 0 < k < 1,
- (iii) B and S satisfies the (CLR_B) property.

Then B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By putting A = B and S = T, we get the Corollary 4.2.

61

References

- [1] M. Aamri and D.El. Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **27** (1), 181188 (2002).
- [2] I. Altun, D. Turkoglu and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point of weakly compatible maps satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol. 2007, Article ID 17301, 9 pages.
- [3] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **32** (3), 243253 (2011).
- [4] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self mappings on non-metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4 (2), 199-212 (1996).
- [5] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Applied mathematics, Vol. 2011, Article ID 637958, 14 pages.



Manoj Kumar is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics, Delhi Institute of Technology and Management, Sonipat, India. He has published a lot of papers in the field of fixed point theory. He is also an author of a book.