

# Sufficient Condition Starlikeness and Convexity of Integral Operators Related to Multivalent Functions

Kirti Dhuria and Rachna Mathur\*

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Dungar (P.G.) College, Bikaner, India

Received: 13 Jul. 2014, Revised: 10 Oct. 2014, Accepted: 13 Oct. 2014

Published online: 1 Jan. 2015

**Abstract:** We define two new general integral operators for certain analytic multivalent functions in the unit disc  $\mathcal{U}$  and give some sufficient conditions for these integral operators on some subclasses of analytic multivalent functions.

**Keywords:** Multivalent functions, Starlike Functions, Convex Functions, Convolution

**subjclass:** [2010]30C45

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p(n)$  denote the class of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which is analytic in open unit disc  $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ . In particular, we set

$$\mathcal{A}_p(1) = \mathcal{A}_p, \mathcal{A}_1(1) = \mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{A}.$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$  is given by (1) and  $g \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$  is given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \quad (2)$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z). \quad (3)$$

We observe that several known operators are deducible from the convolutions. That is, for various choices of  $g$  in (3), we obtain some interesting operators. For example, for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$  and the function  $g$  is defined by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \psi_{k,m}(\alpha, \lambda, l, p) z^k \quad (m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\psi_{k,m}(\alpha, \lambda, l, p) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p-\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \cdot \frac{p+\lambda(k-p)+l}{p+l} \right]^m.$$

The convolution (3) with the function  $g$  is defined by (4) and using operator  $D_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}$  studied by Bulut ([1]), we introduce an operator  $D_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)(z)$  and introduce new classes  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta, \beta, b)$  and  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta, \beta, b)$  as follows

**Definition 1.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta, \beta, b)$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zD_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)'(z)}{D_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)(z)} - p \right) \right\} > \delta \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zD_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)'(z)}{D_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)(z)} - p \right) \right| + \beta, \quad (5)$$

where  $z \in \mathcal{U}, b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ .

**Definition 2.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta, \beta, b)$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p + \frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{zD_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)''(z)}{D_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)'(z)} - p \right) \right\} > \delta \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{zD_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)''(z)}{D_{\lambda, l, p}^{m, \alpha}(f * g)'(z)} - p \right) \right| + \beta, \quad (6)$$

where  $z \in \mathcal{U}, b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ .

Note  $f \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta, \beta, b) \iff \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta, \beta, b)$ . that

**Remark.** (i) For  $\delta = 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(0, \beta, b) = \mathcal{K}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\beta, b)$$

$$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(0, \beta, b) = \mathcal{S}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\beta, b)$$

\* Corresponding author e-mail: [rachnamathur@rediffmail.com](mailto:rachnamathur@rediffmail.com)

(ii) For  $\delta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(0,0,b) &= \mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(b) \\ \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(0,0,b) &= \mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(b)\end{aligned}$$

(iii) For  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $b = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(0,0,b) &= \mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha} \\ \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(0,0,b) &= \mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

(iv) For  $g(z) = z^p/(1-z)$ , we have two classes  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\lambda,l}^{m,p,n}(\delta, \beta, b)$  and  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\lambda,l}^{m,p,n}(\delta, \beta, b)$  which is introduced by Guney and Bulut [1].

Now we define two integral operator

**Definition 3.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . One defines the following general integral operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k} : \mathcal{A}_p(n)^\eta &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(n) \\ \mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k} : \mathcal{A}_p(n)^\eta &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(n)\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(t)}{t^p} \right)^{k_j} dt, \\ \mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(t)}{pt^{p-1}} \right)^{k_j} dt,\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $f_j, g \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ .

*Remark.* (i) For  $\eta = 1, m_1 = m, k_1 = k$ , and  $f_1 = f$ , we have the new two new integral operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(t)}{t^p} \right)^k dt, \\ \mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(t)}{pt^{p-1}} \right)^k dt,\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

(ii) For  $g(z) = z^p/(1-z)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha} f_j(t)}{t^p} \right)^{k_j} dt, \\ \mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha} f_j'(t)}{pt^{p-1}} \right)^{k_j} dt,\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

These operator were introduced by Bulut [1].

(iii) If we take  $g(z) = z^p/(1-z)$ , the we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{(f_j)(t)}{t^p} \right)^{k_j} dt, \\ \mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) &= \int_0^z pt^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{(f_j)'(t)}{pt^{p-1}} \right)^{k_j} dt,\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

These two operators were introduced by Frasin [3].

## 2 Sufficient Conditions for $\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (1)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$ , defined by (8), is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$$

*Proof.* From the definition (8), we observe that  $\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ . We can easy to see that

$$\left( \mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) \right)' = pz^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)}{z^p} \right)^{k_j}. \quad (2)$$

Differentiating (2) logarithmically and multiplying by 'z', we obtain

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} = p - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p \right) \quad (3)$$

or equivalently

$$1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p = \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p \right) \quad (4)$$

Then, by multiplying (4) with '1/b', we have

$$\frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p \right) \quad (5)$$

or

$$p + \frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p \right) = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p + p - p \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \right) \quad (6)$$

Since  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ ), we get

$$\text{Re} \left\{ p + \frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{I}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p \right) \right\} = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p \right) \right\} + p - \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} p k_j \quad (7)$$

$$> \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \delta_j \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p \right) \right| + p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \delta_j \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))'}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z)} - p \right) \right| > 0$$

because the integral operator  $\mathcal{J}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$ , defined by (8), is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\tau, b)$  with

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$$

### 3 Sufficient Conditions for $\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (1)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$ , defined by (8), is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$$

*Proof.* From the definition (8), we observe that  $\mathcal{J}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ . We can easily see that

$$(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))' = pz^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^{k_j}. \quad (2)$$

Differentiating (2) logarithmically and multiplying by 'z', we obtain

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} = p - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p \right) \quad (3)$$

or equivalently

$$1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p = \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p \right) \quad (4)$$

Then, by multiplying (4) with '1/b', we have

$$\frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p \right) \quad (5)$$

or

$$p + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} + 1 - p \right) = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p + p - p \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \right) \quad (6)$$

Since  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_g^p(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ p + \frac{1}{b} \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))''}{(\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z))'} - p \right) \right\} \\ &= p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p \right) \right\} + p - \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} pk_j + p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$> \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \delta_j \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p \right) \right| + p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$   
Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j \delta_j \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)(z))''}{D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha}(f_j * g)'(z)} + 1 - p \right) \right| > 0$$

because the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$ , defined by (8), is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\tau, b)$  with

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$$

### 4 Corollaries and Consequences

For  $\eta = 1, m_1 = m, k_1 = k$ , and  $f_1 = f$ , we have

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\eta \in N, m \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + k(\beta - p) < p, \quad (1)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + k(\beta - p).$$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\eta \in N, m \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + k(\beta - p) < p, \quad (2)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}_g^{p,\eta,\lambda,l,m,\alpha,k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{p,\lambda,l,m,\alpha}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + k(\beta - p).$$

For  $(f_j * g)(z) = D_{\lambda,l,p}^{m,\alpha} f_j(z)$ , we have

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\lambda,l}^{m,j,p,n}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (3)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{J}_{p,\eta,m,k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}^{p,n}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j (\beta_j - p).$$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \lambda, l}^{m, j, p, n}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (4)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}_{p, \eta, m, k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}^{p, n}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p).$$

which are known results obtained by Guney and Bulut [2]. Further, if put  $p = 1$ , we have

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_g^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - 1) < 1, \quad (5)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{J}_g^{1, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^1(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - 1).$$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{S}_g^{1, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - 1) < 1, \quad (6)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}_g^{1, \eta, m, k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}_g^{1, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - 1).$$

Upon setting  $g(z) = z^p / (1 - z)$ , we have

**Corollary 7.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (7)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}^{p, \eta, m, k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p).$$

**Corollary 8.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(\delta_j, \beta_j, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (8)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}^{p, \eta, m, k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}^p(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p).$$

Upon setting  $g(z) = z^p / (1 - z)$  and  $\delta = 0$ , we have

**Corollary 9.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(0, \beta, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (9)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}^{p, \eta, m, k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}^p(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p).$$

**Corollary 10.** Let  $\eta \in N, m = (m_1, \dots, m_\eta) \in N_0^\eta$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_\eta) \in R_+^\eta$ . Also let  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < p$ , and  $f_j \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}^{p, \lambda, l, m, \alpha}(0, \beta, b)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \eta$ . If

$$0 \leq p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p) < p, \quad (10)$$

then the integral operator  $\mathcal{G}^{p, \eta, m, k}(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{K}^p(\tau, b)$  where

$$\tau = p + \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} k_j(\beta_j - p).$$

## References

- [1] S. Bulut, *The generalization of the generalized Al-Oboudi differential operator*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 215, no. 4, pp. 14481455, 2009.
- [2] H. O. Guney and S. Bulut, *Convexity and Spirallikeness Conditions for Two New General Integral Operators*, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2013, Article ID 841837, 8 pages.
- [3] B. A. Frasin, *New general integral operators of  $p$ -valent functions*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 10, no. 4, article 109, p. 9, 2009.