

(C_α, C_β) -Admissible Functions in Quasi-Pseudometric Type Spaces

Yaé Ulrich Gaba *

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

Received: 27 Jul. 2014, Revised: 24 Jan. 2015, Accepted: 28 Jan. 2015

Published online: 1 May 2015

Abstract: In this article, we give some fixed point results in left K -complete quasi-pseudometric type spaces for self-mappings that are (C_α, C_β) -admissible.

Keywords: quasi-pseudometric, left(right) K -completeness, (C_α, C_β) -admissible

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In the last few years the theory of quasi-metric spaces and other related structures such as quasi-normed cones and asymmetric normed linear spaces (see for instance [3]) has been at the center of rigorous research activity, because such a theory provides a convenient framework in the study of several problems in theoretical computer science, approximation theory and convex analysis. The existence of fixed points and common fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions in this setting, has also been discussed.

Recently, in [1], Eniola et al. discussed the newly introduced notion of *quasi-pseudometric type spaces* as a logical equivalent to metric type spaces- introduced by M. A. Khamsi[4]-when the initial distance-like function is not symmetric.

The aim of this paper is to analyze the existence of fixed points for mapping defined on a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, K) . The present results generalize another one already obtained by Gaba in [2], where the author considered (α, γ) -contractions.

Definition 11 Let X be a nonempty set, and let the function $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following properties:

- (D1) $D(x, x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$;
 (D2) $D(x, y) \leq K(D(x, z_1) + D(z_1, z_2) + \dots + D(z_n, y))$ for any points $x, y, z_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some constant $K > 0$.

Then (X, D, K) is called a *quasi-pseudometric type space*. Moreover, if $D(x, y) = 0 = D(y, x) \implies x = y$, then D is said to be a T_0 -*quasi-pseudometric type space*. The latter condition is referred to as the T_0 -condition.

Remark 12

-Let D be a quasi-pseudometric type on X , then the map D^{-1} defined by $D^{-1}(x, y) = D(y, x)$ whenever $x, y \in X$ is also a quasi-pseudometric type on X , called the conjugate of D . We shall also denote D^{-1} by $D^!$ or \bar{D} .

-It is easy to verify that the function D^s defined by $D^s := D \vee D^{-1}$, i.e. $D^s(x, y) = \max\{D(x, y), D(y, x)\}$ defines a *metric-type* (see [4]) on X whenever D is a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type.

-If we substitute the property (D1) by the following property

$$(D3) : D(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y,$$

we obtain a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space directly.

-Moreover, for $K = 1$, we recover the classical pseudometric, hence quasi-pseudometric type spaces generalize quasi-pseudometrics. It is worth mentioning that if (X, D, L) is a pseudometric type space, then for any $L \geq K$, (X, D, L) is also a pseudometric type space. We give the following example to illustrate the above comment.

Example 13 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and the mapping $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $D(a, b) = D(c, b) = 1/5$, $D(b, c) = D(b, a) =$

* Corresponding author e-mail: gabayae2@gmail.com

$D(c,a) = 1/4$, $D(a,c) = 1/2$, $D(x,x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} = D(a,c) > D(a,b) + D(b,c) = \frac{9}{20},$$

then we conclude that X is not a quasi-pseudometric space. Nevertheless, with $K = 2$, it is very easy to check that $(X, D, 2)$ is a quasi-pseudometric type space.

Definition 14 Let (X, D, K) be a quasi-pseudometric type space. The convergence of a sequence (x_n) to x with respect to D , called **D -convergence** or **left-convergence** and denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$, is defined in the following way

$$x_n \xrightarrow{D} x \iff D(x, x_n) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the convergence of a sequence (x_n) to x with respect to D^{-1} , called **D^{-1} -convergence** or **right-convergence** and denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{D^{-1}} x$, is defined in the following way

$$x_n \xrightarrow{D^{-1}} x \iff D(x_n, x) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Finally, in a quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, K) , we shall say that a sequence (x_n) **D^s -converges** to x if it is both left and right convergent to x , and we denote it as $x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ when there is no confusion. Hence

$$x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x \iff x_n \xrightarrow{D} x \text{ and } x_n \xrightarrow{D^{-1}} x.$$

Definition 15 A sequence (x_n) in a quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, K) is called

(a) **left K -Cauchy** with respect to D if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k : n_0 \leq k \leq n \quad D(x_k, x_n) < \varepsilon;$$

(b) **right K -Cauchy** with respect to D if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k : n_0 \leq k \leq n \quad D(x_n, x_k) < \varepsilon;$$

(c) **D^s -Cauchy** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k \geq n_0 \quad D(x_n, x_k) < \varepsilon.$$

Remark 16

- A sequence is left K -Cauchy with respect to d if and only if it is right K -Cauchy with respect to D^{-1} .
- A sequence is D^s -Cauchy if and only if it is both left and right K -Cauchy.

Definition 17 A quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, K) is called **left-complete** provided that any left K -Cauchy sequence is D -convergent.

Definition 18 A quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, K) is called **right-complete** provided that any right K -Cauchy sequence is D -convergent.

Definition 19 A T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, K) is called **bicomplete** provided that the metric type D^s on X is complete.

2 Main Results

We begin by recalling the following.

Definition 21 (Compare [2]) Let (X, D, K) be a quasi-pseudometric type space. A function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called **D -sequentially continuous** or **left-sequentially continuous** if for any D -convergent sequence (x_n) with $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$, the sequence (Tx_n) D -converges to Tx , i.e. $Tx_n \xrightarrow{D} Tx$.

We then introduce the following definition.

Definition 22 Let (X, D, K) be a quasi-pseudometric type space, $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha, \beta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings and $C_\alpha > 0, C_\beta \geq 0$. We say that T is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K if the following conditions hold:

- (C1) $\alpha(x, y) \geq C_\alpha \implies \alpha(fx, fy) \geq C_\alpha$, whenever $x, y \in X$;
- (C2) $\beta(x, y) \leq C_\beta \implies \beta(fx, fy) \leq C_\beta$, whenever $x, y \in X$;
- (C3) $0 \leq C_\beta / C_\alpha < 1/K$.

We begin by the following lemma.

Lemma 23 Let (X, D, K) be a quasi-pseudometric type space and let (x_n) be a sequence in X . Then

$$D(x_0, x_n) \leq KD(x_0, x_1) + K^2D(x_1, x_2) + \dots + K^{n-1}D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + K^{n-1}D(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

From Lemma 23, we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 24 (Compare [1, Lemma 38]) Let (X, D, K) be a quasi-pseudometric type space and let (x_n) be a sequence in X such that

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0,$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 1/K$. Then (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence.

Similarly,

Lemma 25 Let (X, D, α) be a quasi-pseudometric type space and let (x_n) be a sequence in X such that

$$D(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \lambda D(x_n, x_{n-1}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0,$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 1/K$. Then (x_n) is a right K -Cauchy sequence.

We now state our main fixed point theorem.

Theorem 26 Let (X, D, K) be a Hausdorff left K -complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{3}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) f is D -sequentially continuous;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$.

Then f has a fixed point.

Proof. 27 Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$. Define the sequence (x_n) by $x_n = f^n x_0 = fx_{n-1}$. Without loss of generality, we can always assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since if $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, the proof is complete. Since f is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K and $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) = \alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq C_\alpha$, we deduce that $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(fx_0, fx_1) \geq C_\alpha$. By continuing this process, we get that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq C_\alpha$, for all $n \geq 0$. Similarly, we establish that $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C_\beta$, for all $n \geq 0$. Using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \beta(x_{n-1}, x_n)D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq C_\beta D(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{C_\beta}{C_\alpha} D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 24, since $0 \leq \frac{C_\beta}{C_\alpha} < 1/K$, we derive that (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is left K -complete and T D -sequentially continuous, there exists x^* such that $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x^*$ and $x_{n+1} \xrightarrow{D} fx^*$. Since X is Hausdorff, $x^* = fx^*$.

Corollary 28 Let (X, D, K) be a Hausdorff left K -complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{4}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) f is D^{-1} -sequentially continuous;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(fx_0, x_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(fx_0, x_0) \leq C_\beta$.

Then f has a fixed point.

Corollary 29 Let (X, D, K) be a bicomplete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{5}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) f is D^s -sequentially continuous;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$;
- (iii) the functions α and β are symmetric, i.e. $\alpha(a, b) = \alpha(b, a)$ for any $a, b \in X$ and $\beta(a, b) = \beta(b, a)$ for any $a, b \in X$.

Then f has a fixed point.

Proof. 210 Following the proof of Theorem 26, it is clear that the sequence (x_n) in X defined by $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is D^s -Cauchy. Since (X, D^s) is complete and f D^s -sequentially continuous, there exists x^* such that $x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x^*$ and $x_{n+1} \xrightarrow{D^s} fx^*$. Since (X, D^s) is Hausdorff, $x^* = fx^*$.

Remark 211 In fact, we do not need α and β to be symmetric. It is enough, for the result to be true, to have a point $x_0 \in X$ for which $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$, $\alpha(fx_0, x_0) \geq C_\alpha$, $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$ and $\beta(fx_0, x_0) \leq C_\beta$. Otherwise stated, it is enough to have a point $x_0 \in X$ for which $\min\{\alpha(x_0, fx_0), \alpha(fx_0, x_0)\} \geq C_\alpha$ and $\min\{\beta(x_0, fx_0), \beta(fx_0, x_0)\} \leq C_\beta$.

We give the following results which are in fact consequences of the Theorem 26.

Theorem 212 Let (X, D, K) be a Hausdorff left K -complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{6}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$;
- (ii) if (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C_\beta$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$, then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})$ of (x_n) such that $\alpha(x, x_{n(k)}) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x, x_{n(k)}) \leq C_\beta$ for all k .

Then f has a fixed point.

Proof. 213 Following the proof of Theorem 26, we know that the sequence (x_n) defined by $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ D -converges to some x^* and satisfies $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C_\beta$ for $n \geq 1$. From

the condition (ii), we know there exists a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})$ of (x_n) such that $\alpha(x^*, x_{n(k)}) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x^*, x_{n(k)}) \leq C_\beta$ for all k . Since f is satisfies (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha D(fx^*, x_{n(k)+1}) &= C_\alpha D(fx^*, fx_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq \alpha(x^*, x_{n(k)}) D(fx^*, fx_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq \beta(x^*, x_{n(k)}) D(x^*, x_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq C_\beta D(x^*, x_{n(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $D(fx^*, x_{n(k)+1}) \rightarrow 0$. Since X is Hausdorff, we have that $fx^* = x^*$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 214 Let (X, D, K) be a Hausdorff right K -complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{7}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(fx_0, x_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(fx_0, x_0) \leq C_\beta$;
- (ii) if (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq C_\beta$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{D^{-1}} x$, then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})$ of (x_n) such that $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_{n(k)}, x) \leq C_\beta$ for all k .

Then f has a fixed point.

Corollary 215 Let (X, D, K) be a bicomplete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{8}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$;
- (ii) if (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_m, x_n) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_m, x_n) \leq C_\beta$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x$, then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})$ of (x_n) such that $\alpha(x, x_{n(k)}) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x, x_{n(k)}) \leq C_\beta$ for all k ;
- (iii) the functions α, β are symmetric.

Then f has a fixed point.

In regard of Remark (211), another variant of the above corollary can be stated as follows:

Corollary 216 Let (X, D, K) be a bicomplete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. Suppose that

$f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{9}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\min\{\alpha(fx_0, x_0), \alpha(x_0, fx_0)\} \geq C_\alpha$ and $\min\{\beta(fx_0, x_0), \beta(x_0, fx_0)\} \leq C_\beta$;
- (ii) if (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $\min\{\alpha(x_m, x_n), \alpha(x_n, x_m)\} \geq C_\alpha$ and $\min\{\beta(x_m, x_n), \beta(x_n, x_m)\} \leq C_\beta$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x$, then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})$ of (x_n) such that $\min\{\alpha(x, x_{n(k)}), \alpha(x_{n(k)}, x)\} \geq C_\alpha$ and $\min\{\beta(x, x_{n(k)}), \beta(x_{n(k)}, x)\} \leq C_\beta$ for all k .

Then f has a fixed point.

We conclude this paper by the following theorem.

Theorem 217 Let (X, D, K) be a bicomplete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (C_α, C_β) -admissible with respect to K . Assume that

$$\alpha(x, y)D^s(fx, fy) \leq \beta(x, y)D^s(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{10}$$

If the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$;
- (ii) if (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq C_\beta$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_n, x) \leq C_\beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then f has a fixed point.

Proof. 218 Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_0, fx_0) \leq C_\beta$. Define a sequence (x_n) by $x_n = f^n x_0 = fx_{n-1}$. Without loss of generality, we can always assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since if $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, the proof is complete. Following the proof of Theorem 26, we see that (x_n) is a D^s -Cauchy sequence $\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq C_\alpha$ and $\beta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq C_\beta$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since (X, D^s) is complete, there exists x^* such that $x_n \xrightarrow{D^s} x^*$ and. Now, using the contractive condition (10) and condition (ii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} D^s(x^*, fx^*) &\leq K \left[D^s(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \frac{C_\alpha}{C_\alpha} D^s(x_{n+1}, fx^*) \right] \\ &\leq KD^s(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \frac{K}{C_\alpha} \alpha(x_n, x^*) D^s(fx_n, fx^*) \\ &\leq KD^s(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \frac{K}{C_\alpha} \beta(x_n, x^*) D^s(x_n, x^*) \\ &\leq KD^s(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \frac{K\beta}{C_\alpha} D^s(x_n, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $D^s(x^*, fx^*) \leq 0$, that is $x^* = fx^*$. This complete the proof.

References

- [1] E. F. Kazeem, C. A. Agyingi and Y. U. Gaba; *On Quasi-Pseudometric Type Spaces*, Chinese Journal of Mathematics, vol. 2014, Article ID 198685, 7 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/198685.
 - [2] Y. U. Gaba *Startpoints and (α, γ) -contractions in quasi-pseudometric spaces*, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 709253, 8 pages, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/709253>.
 - [3] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak; *Asymmetric structures, discontinuous contractions and iterative approximation of fixed and periodic points*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013 (2013) 128, 1-18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-128>.
 - [4] M. A. Khamsi; *Remarks on Cone Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings*, Fixed Point Theory and Application, volume 2010(2010), 7 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/315398.
-



the University of Cape Town (South- Africa).

Yaé U. Gaba completed his honours degree in Pure Mathematics at University of Abomey-Calavi (Bénin). He obtained a MSc from the African University of Science and Technology (Abuja-Nigeria) and he is currently enrolled as a Ph.D. student at