

Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences An International Journal

http://dx.doi.org/10.12785/amis/080448

Coupled Coincidence Point Results for Mixed (*G*,*S*)-Monotone Mapping and Applications

Habib Yazidi*

Department of Mathematics, Tunis College of Sciences and Techniques, University of Tunis, 5 Avenue Taha Hussein, BP 59, Bab Mnara, Tunis, Tunisia

Received: 17 Aug. 2013, Revised: 19 Nov. 2013, Accepted: 20 Nov. 2013 Published online: 1 Jul. 2014

Abstract: We introduce the concept of mixed (G,S)-monotone mappings and prove coupled coincidence point theorems for such mappings satisfying a nonlinear contraction involving altering distance functions. Presented theorems extend, improve and generalize the recent results of Harjani, López and Sadarangani [J. Harjani, B. López and K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 1749-1760] and other existing results in the literature. As application, we present an existence theorem for solutions to a system of nonlinear integral equations.

Keywords: Coincidence point, (G, S)-monotone mapping, ordered set, altering distance, integral equations.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Fixed point problems of contractive mappings in metric spaces endowed with a partially order have been studied by many authors (see [1]-[17]). Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [3] introduced the concept of a coupled fixed point and studied the problems of a uniqueness of a coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and applied their theorems to problems of the existence of solution for a periodic boundary value problem. In [8], Lakshmikantham and Ćirić established some coincidence and common coupled fixed point theorems under nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Very recently, Harjani, López and Sadarangani [7] obtained some coupled fixed point theorems for a mixed monotone operator in a complete metric space endowed with a partial order by using altering distance functions. They applied their results to the study of the existence and uniqueness of a nonlinear integral equation. Now, we briefly recall various basic definitions and facts.

Definition 11(see Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [3]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \to X$. Then the map F is said to have mixed monotone property if F(x,y) is monotone non-decreasing in x and is monotone non-increasing in y, that is,

$$x_1 \leq x_2$$
 implies $F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y)$ for all $y \in X$

and

 $y_1 \leq y_2$ implies $F(x, y_2) \leq F(x, y_1)$ for all $x \in X$.

The main result obtained by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [3] is the following.

Theorem 11(see Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [3]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X,d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X. Assume that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le \frac{k}{2}[d(x,u) + d(y,v)]$$

for each $u \le x$ and $y \le v$.

Suppose either F is continuous or X has the following properties:

(*i*)*if a non-decreasing sequence* $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \leq x$ for all n,

(ii) if a non-increasing sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x \leq x_n$ for all n.

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ *such that*

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $F(y_0, x_0) \leq y_0$,

then F has a coupled fixed point.

1902

Inspired by Definition 11, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić in [8] introduced the concept of a *g*-mixed monotone mapping.

Definition 12(see Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [8]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $F : X \times X \to X$ and $g : X \to X$. Then the map F is said to have mixed g-monotone property if F(x,y) is monotone g-non-decreasing in x and is monotone g-non-increasing in y, that is,

$$gx_1 \leq gx_2$$
 implies $F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y)$ for all $y \in X$

and

$$gy_1 \leq gy_2$$
 implies $F(x, y_2) \leq F(x, y_1)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 13(*Lakshmikantham and Ćirić* [8]). Let X be a non-empty set, and let $F : X \times X \to X$, $g : X \to X$ be given mappings. An element $(x,y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled common fixed point of the mappings F and g if F(x,y) = gx = x and F(y,x) = gy = y.

An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings F and g if F(x, y) = gx and F(y, x) = gy.

Definition 14(*Lakshmikantham and Ćirić* [8]). Let X be a non-empty set. Then we say that the mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are commutative if for all $x, y \in X$

$$g(F(x,y)) = F(gx,gy).$$

The main result of Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [8] is the following.

Theorem 12(*Lakshmikantham and Ćirić* [8]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X,d) is a complete metric space. Assume there is a function $\phi : [0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0,+\infty)$ with $\phi(t) < t$ and $\lim_{r \to t^+} \phi(r) < t$ for each t > 0 and also suppose $F : X \times X \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ are such that F has the mixed g-monotone property and

$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le \phi\left(\frac{d(gx,gu) + d(gy,gv)}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $gx \leq gu$ and $gv \leq gy$. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g is continuous and commutes with F and also suppose either F is continuous or X has the following properties:

- (i) if a non-decreasing sequence $x_n \to x$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n,
- (ii) if a non-increasing sequence $x_n \to x$, then $x \preceq x_n$ for all n.

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $F(y_0, x_0) \leq gy_0$ then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that gx = F(x, y) and gy = F(y, x), that is, F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

Recently, Harjani, López and Sadarangani [7] established coupled fixed point theorems for a mixed monotone operator satisfying contraction involving altering distance functions in a complete partially ordered metric space.

Denote by \mathscr{F} the set of functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following properties:

(a) φ is continuous and non-decreasing,

(b) $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

The functions $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}$ satisfying these properties are called altering distance functions.

Theorem 13(*Harjani*, *López and Sadarangani* [7]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X such that (X,d) is a complete metric space. Let $F: X \times X \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X and satisfying

$$\varphi(d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le \varphi(\max\{d(x,u),d(y,v)\}) -\Phi(\max\{d(x,u),d(y,v)\})$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $u \leq x$ and $y \leq v$, where $\varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{F}$. Suppose either *F* is continuous or *X* has the following properties:

- (i) if a non-decreasing sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n,
- (ii) if a non-increasing sequence $x_n \to x$, then $x \preceq x_n$ for all n.

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $F(y_0, x_0) \preceq y_0$ then F has a coupled fixed point.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of mixed (G,S)-monotone mappings and prove coupled coincidence point theorems for such mappings satisfying a nonlinear contraction involving altering distance functions. Presented theorems extend, improve and generalize the results of Harjani, López and Sadarangani [7]. We end this paper by the study of the existence of solutions to a system of nonlinear integral equations.

2 Main Results

First, we introduce the concept of mixed (G,S)-monotone property.

Definition 21*Let* X *be a non-empty set endowed with a partial order* \leq . *Consider the mappings* $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ *and* $G,S : X \rightarrow X$. *We say that* F *has the mixed* (G,S)*-monotone property on* X *if for all* $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1, x_2 \in X, & G(x_1) \preceq S(x_2) \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y), \\ x_1, x_2 \in X, & G(x_1) \succeq S(x_2) \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \succeq F(x_2, y), \\ y_1, y_2 \in X, & G(y_1) \preceq S(y_2) \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2), \\ y_1, y_2 \in X, & G(y_1) \succeq S(y_2) \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \preceq F(x, y_2). \end{array}$$

Remark 1*If* we take G = S, then F has the mixed (G,S)-monotone property implies that F has the mixed G-monotone property.

Now, we state and prove our first result.

Theorem 21Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X,d)is a complete metric space. Let $G, S : X \to X$ and $F : X \times X \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed (G,S)-monotone property on X. Suppose that

$$\varphi(d(F(x,y),F(u,v))) \le \varphi(\max\{d(Gx,Su),d(Gy,Sv)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(Gx,Su),d(Gy,Sv)\}),$$
(1)

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $G(x) \leq S(u)$ or $G(x) \geq S(u)$ and $S(y) \geq G(v)$ or $S(y) \leq G(v)$, where $\varphi, \phi \in \mathscr{F}$. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq G(X) \cap S(X)$ and assume also that G, S and F satisfy the following hypotheses:

(I)F, G and S are continuous, (II)F commutes respectively with G and S.

If there exist x_0 , y_0 , x_1 *and* y_1 *such that*

$$\begin{cases} G(x_0) \preceq S(x_1) \preceq F(x_0, y_0); \\ G(y_0) \succeq S(y_1) \succeq F(y_0, x_0), \end{cases}$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$G(x) = S(x) = F(x, y)$$
 and $G(y) = S(y) = F(y, x)$,

that is, G,S and F have a coupled coincidence point $(x,y) \in X \times X$.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that

$$G(x_0) \leq S(x_1) \leq F(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $G(y_0) \geq S(y_1) \geq F(y_0, x_0)$

Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq G(X) \cap S(X)$, we can choose $x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3 \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} G(x_2) = F(x_0, y_0) \\ G(y_2) = F(y_0, x_0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} S(x_3) = F(x_1, y_1) \\ S(y_3) = F(y_1, x_1) \end{cases}$$

Continuing this process we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in *X* such that

$$\begin{cases} G(x_{2n+2}) = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) \\ G(y_{2n+2}) = F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) \end{cases}, \begin{cases} S(x_{2n+3}) = F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\ S(y_{2n+3}) = F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \end{cases}$$
(2)

for all $n \ge 0$.

We shall show that for all $n \ge 0$,

$$G(x_{2n}) \preceq S(x_{2n+1}) \preceq G(x_{2n+2}) \tag{3}$$

and

$$G(y_{2n}) \succeq S(y_{2n+1}) \succeq G(y_{2n+2}). \tag{4}$$

As $G(x_0) \preceq S(x_1) \preceq F(x_0, y_0) = G(x_2)$ and $G(y_0) \succeq S(y_1) \succeq F(y_0, x_0) = G(y_2)$, our claim is satisfied for n = 0.

Suppose that (3) and (4) hold for some fixed n > 0. Since $G(x_{2n}) \leq S(x_{2n+1}) \leq G(x_{2n+2})$ and $G(y_{2n}) \geq S(y_{2n+1}) \geq G(y_{2n+2})$, and as *F* has the mixed (G, S)-monotone property, we have

$$G(x_{2n+2}) = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) \preceq F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n})$$
$$\preceq F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \preceq F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})$$
$$\preceq F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}),$$

then

$$G(x_{2n+2}) \preceq S(x_{2n+3}) \preceq G(x_{2n+4})$$

On the other hand,

$$G(y_{2n+2}) = F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) \succeq F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$$
$$\succeq F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \succeq F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})$$
$$\succeq F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}),$$

then

$$G(y_{2n+2}) \succeq S(y_{2n+3}) \succeq G(y_{2n+4})$$

Thus by induction, we proved that (3) and (4) hold for all $n \ge 0$.

We complete the proof in the following steps:

Step 1: We will prove that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) = \\\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(F(y_n, x_n), F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$
(5)

From (3), (4) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}) \\ &-\phi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}). \end{aligned}$$

$$(6)$$

Since φ is a non-decreasing function, we get that

$$d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \le$$

 $\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}.$ Therefore

$$d(Gx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+3}) \le \max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}.$$
(7)

Again, using
$$(3)$$
, (4) and (1) , we have

$$\varphi(d(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})))
\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\})
-\phi(\max\{d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\})
\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\}).$$
(8)

Since φ is non-decreasing, we have

$$d(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \le \max\{d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\}.$$



Therefore

$$d(Gy_{2n+2}, Sy_{2n+3}) \leq \\ \max\{d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\}.$$
(9)

Combining (7) and (9), we obtain

$$\max\{d(Gx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+3}), d(Gy_{2n+2}, Sy_{2n+3})\} \\ \leq \max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}.$$

Then $\left\{\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}\right\}$ is a positive non-increasing sequence. Hence there exists $r \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\} = r.$$

Combining (6) and (8), we obtain

$$\max\{\varphi(d(Gx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+3})), \varphi(d(Gy_{2n+2}, Sy_{2n+3}))\}$$

$$\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\})$$

$$-\phi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}).$$

Since φ is non-decreasing, we get

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+3}), d(Gy_{2n+2}, Sy_{2n+3})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}) \\ &- \phi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Gy_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}). \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(r) \leq \boldsymbol{\varphi}(r) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(r),$$

which implies that $\phi(r) = 0$ and then, since ϕ is an altering distance function, r = 0. Consequently

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max\{d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})), \\ d(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))\} = 0.$$
(10)

By the same way, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max\{d(F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2})), \\ d(F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}))\} = 0.$$
(11)

Finally, (10) and (11) give the desired result, that is, (5) holds.

Step 2: We will prove that $F(x_n, y_n)$ and $F(y_n, x_n)$ are Cauchy sequences.

From (5), it is sufficient to show that $F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})$ and $F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})$ are Cauchy sequences.

We proceed by negation and suppose that at least one of the sequences $F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})$ or $F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

This implies that
$$d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m})) \not\rightarrow 0$$
 or

© 2014 NSP Natural Sciences Publishing Cor. H. Yazidi: Coupled Coincidence Point Results for...

 $d(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow +\infty.$ Consequently

$$\max\{d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m})), \\ d(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m}))\} \to 0, \text{ as } n, m \to +\infty.$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences of positive integers $\{m(i)\}$ and $\{n(i)\}$ such that n(i) is the smallest index for which n(i) > m(i) > i,

$$\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})), \\ d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)}))\} \ge \varepsilon.$$
(12)

This means that

+

 $< \varepsilon +$

$$\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-2}, y_{2n(i)-2})), \\ d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2}))\} < \varepsilon.$$
(13)

From (12), (13) and using the triangular inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\leq \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)})))\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-2}, y_{2n(i)-2})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2})))\} \\ &\max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-2}, y_{2n(i)-2}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))), \\ &\quad d((F(y_{2n(i)-2}, y_{2n(i)-2}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)}))))\} \\ &\max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-2}, y_{2n(i)-2}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}))), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-2}, x_{2n(i)-2}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})))) \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))) \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))) \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))) \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)-1}))) \\ &\quad + \max\{d(F(x_{2n($$

$$d(F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)})))\}.$$

Letting $i \to +\infty$ in above inequality and using (5), we obtain that

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})), \\ d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)}))\} = \varepsilon.$$
(14)



Also, we have

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\leq \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)}))\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)})))\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)})))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(x_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(y_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(y_{2n(i)}, y_{2n(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2n(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)}, x_{2m(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}))\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2m(i)}), x_{2m(i)})\} \\ &+ \max\{d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{$$

Using (5), (14) and letting $i \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})), (15) \\ d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}))\} = \varepsilon.$$

On other hand, we have

 \leq

 $+ \max$

$$\begin{split} \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)},y_{2m(i)}),F(x_{2n(i)},y_{2n(i)})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)},x_{2m(i)}),F(y_{2n(i)},x_{2n(i)}))\}\\ \leq \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)},y_{2m(i)}),F(x_{2m(i)+1},y_{2m(i)+1})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)},x_{2m(i)}),F(y_{2m(i)+1},x_{2m(i)+1}))\}\\ +\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)+1},y_{2m(i)+1}),F(x_{2m(i)+2},y_{2m(i)+2})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)+1},x_{2m(i)+1}),F(y_{2m(i)+2},x_{2m(i)+2}))\}\\ +\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)+2},y_{2n(i)+1}),F(x_{2n(i)+1},y_{2n(i)+1})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)+2},x_{2m(i)+2}),F(y_{2n(i)+1},x_{2n(i)+1})))\}\\ +\max\{d(F(x_{2n(i)+1},y_{2n(i)+1}),F(x_{2n(i)},y_{2n(i)})),\\ d(F(y_{2n(i)+1},x_{2n(i)+1}),F(y_{2n(i)},y_{2n(i)})),\\ d(F(y_{2n(i)+1},x_{2n(i)+1}),F(y_{2n(i)},x_{2n(i)}))\}. \end{split}$$

Since φ is a continuous non-decreasing function, using (5) in the above inequality, we get taking the upper limit

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) \leq \qquad \varphi(\limsup_{i \to +\infty} \max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)+2}, y_{2m(i)+2}), F(x_{2n(i)+1}, y_{2n(i)+1})), d(F(y_{2m(i)+2}, x_{2m(i)+2}), F(y_{2n(i)+1}, x_{2n(i)+1}))\}).$$
(16)

Using the contractive condition (1), on one hand we have

 $\varphi(d(F(x_{2m(i)+2}, y_{2m(i)+2}), F(x_{2n(i)+1}, y_{2n(i)+1})))$ $\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2m(i)+2}, Sx_{2n(i)+1}), d(Gy_{2m(i)+2}, Sy_{2n(i)+1})\})$ $-\phi(\max\{d(Gx_{2m(i)+2}, Sx_{2n(i)+1}), d(Gy_{2m(i)+2}, Sy_{2n(i)+1})\})$ $= \varphi(\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})),$ $d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}))))$ $-\phi(\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})),$ $d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}))))).$

On the other hand, we have

 $\varphi(d(F(y_{2m(i)+2}, x_{2m(i)+2}), F(y_{2n(i)+1}, x_{2n(i)+1}))))$ $\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gy_{2m(i)+2}, Sy_{2n(i)+1}),$ $d(Gx_{2m(i)+2}, Sx_{2n(i)+1})\})$ $-\phi(\max\{d(Gy_{2m(i)+2}, Sy_{2n(i)+1}),$ $d(Gx_{2m(i)+2}, Sx_{2n(i)+1})\})$ $= \varphi(\max\{d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)}), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1})),$ $d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)}), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1}))))$ $-\phi(\max\{d(F(y_{2m(i)}, x_{2m(i)})), F(y_{2n(i)-1}, x_{2n(i)-1}),$ $d(F(x_{2m(i)}, y_{2m(i)})), F(x_{2n(i)-1}, y_{2n(i)-1})\}).$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)+2},y_{2m(i)+2}),F(x_{2n(i)+1},y_{2n(i)+1})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)+2},x_{2m(i)+2}),F(y_{2n(i)+1},x_{2n(i)+1}))\}) \\ \leq \max\{\varphi(d(F(x_{2m(i)+2},y_{2m(i)+2}),F(x_{2n(i)+1},y_{2n(i)+1})),\\ \varphi(d(F(y_{2m(i)+2},x_{2m(i)+2}),F(y_{2n(i)+1},x_{2n(i)+1})))\} \\ \leq \varphi(\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)},y_{2m(i)}),F(x_{2n(i)-1},y_{2n(i)-1})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)},x_{2m(i)}),F(y_{2n(i)-1},x_{2n(i)-1}))\} \\ -\phi(\max\{d(F(x_{2m(i)},y_{2m(i)}),F(y_{2n(i)-1},y_{2n(i)-1})),\\ d(F(y_{2m(i)},x_{2m(i)}),F(y_{2n(i)-1},x_{2n(i)-1}))\}). \end{split}$$

Finally, taking the lim sup as $i \to +\infty$ in (17), using (15), (16) and the continuity of φ and ϕ , we get

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) - \phi(\varepsilon),$$

which implies that $\phi(\varepsilon) = 0$, that is, $\varepsilon = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\{F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})\}$ and $\{F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X, which give us that $\{F(x_n, y_n)\}$ and $\{F(y_n, x_n)\}$ are also Cauchy sequences.

Step 3: Existence of a coupled coincidence point. Since $\{F(x_n, y_n)\}$ and $\{F(y_n, x_n)\}$ are Cauchy sequences in 1906

the complete metric space (X, d), there exist $\alpha, \alpha' \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \alpha'.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} G(x_{2n+2}) = \alpha$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} G(y_{2n+2}) = \alpha'$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} S(x_{2n+3}) = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} S(y_{2n+3}) = \alpha'$.

Using the continuity and the commutativity of F and G, we have

$$G(G(x_{2n+2})) = G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) = F(Gx_{2n}, Gy_{2n})$$

and

$$G(G(y_{2n+2})) = G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) = F(Gy_{2n}, Gx_{2n})$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$, we get $G(\alpha) = F(\alpha, \alpha')$ and $G(\alpha') = F(\alpha', \alpha)$.

Using also the continuity and the commutativity of *F* and *S*, by the same way, we obtain $S(\alpha) = F(\alpha, \alpha')$ and $S(\alpha') = F(\alpha', \alpha)$. Therefore,

$$G(\alpha) = F(\alpha, \alpha') = S(\alpha)$$
 and $G(\alpha') = F(\alpha', \alpha) = S(\alpha')$.

Thus we proved that (α, α') is a coupled coincidence point of *G*, *S* and *F*.

In the next result, we prove that the previous theorem is still valid if we replace the continuity of F by some conditions.

Theorem 22*If we replace the continuity hypothesis of F in Theorem 21 by the following conditions:*

(*i*)*if* (x_n) *is a non-decreasing sequences with* $x_n \to x$ *then* $x_n \preceq x$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *,*

(*ii*)*if* (y_n) *is a non-increasing sequences with* $y_n \to y$ *then* $y \preceq y_n$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *,*

 $(iii)x, y \in X, \quad x \leq y \Rightarrow Gx \leq Sy,$ $(iv)x, y \in X, \quad x \geq y \Rightarrow Gx \geq Sy.$

Then G, S and F have a coupled coincidence point.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 21, we have that $F(x_n, y_n)$ and $F(y_n, x_n)$ are Cauchy sequences in the complete metric space (X, d), there exist α , $\alpha' \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \alpha'.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) = \alpha'$. Hence, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} G(x_{2n+2}) = \alpha$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} G(y_{2n+2}) = \alpha'$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} S(x_{2n+3}) = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} S(y_{2n+3}) = \alpha'$. Using the commutativity of $\{F, G\}$ and $\{F, S\}$ and the contractive condition (1), it follows

© 2014 NSP Natural Sciences Publishing Cor. from the conditions (iii) and (iv) that

$$\varphi(d(G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), S(F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}))))$$

$$= \varphi(d(F(Gx_{2n}, Gy_{2n}), F(Sx_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n+1})))$$

$$\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1})), \qquad (18)$$

$$d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1}))\})$$

$$\phi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1})), d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1}))\}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\varphi(d(G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), S(F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})))) = \varphi(d(F(Gy_{2n}, Gx_{2n}), F(Sy_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}))) \le \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1})), (19) \\ d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1}))\}) = -\phi(\max\{d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1})), (d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1})))\}).$$

Combining (18), (19) and the fact that $\max{\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}} = \varphi(\max{a,b})$ for $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$, from (iii) and (iv), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\max\{d(G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), S(F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}))), \\ d(G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), S(F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})))\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1})), \\ d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1}))\}) \\ &-\phi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1})), \\ d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1}))\}). \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ in the last expression, using the continuity of *G* and *S*, we get

$$\begin{split} &\varphi(\max\{d(G(\alpha),S(\alpha)),d(G(\alpha'),S(\alpha'))\})\\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(\alpha),S(\alpha)),d(G(\alpha'),S(\alpha'))\})\\ &-\phi(\max\{d(G(\alpha),S(\alpha)),d(G(\alpha'),S(\alpha'))\}). \end{split}$$

This implies that $\phi(\max\{d(G(\alpha), S(\alpha)), d(G(\alpha'), S(\alpha'))\}) = 0$ and, since ϕ is an altering distance function, then

$$\max\{d(G(\alpha), S(\alpha)), d(G(\alpha'), S(\alpha'))\} = 0.$$

Consequently

$$G(\alpha) = S(\alpha)$$
 and $G(\alpha') = S(\alpha')$. (20)

To finish the proof, we claim that $F(\alpha, \alpha') = G(\alpha) = S(\alpha)$ and $F(\alpha', \alpha) = G(\alpha') = S(\alpha')$.

Indeed, using the contractive condition (1), (3) and (4), it follows from (i)-(iv) that

$$\begin{split} &\varphi(d(F(Gx_{2n},Gy_{2n}),F(\alpha,\alpha')))\\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}),S(\alpha)),d(G(Gy_{2n}),S(\alpha'))\})\\ &-\phi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}),S(\alpha)),d(G(Gy_{2n}),S(\alpha'))\})\\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}),S(\alpha)),d(G(Gy_{2n}),S(\alpha'))\}). \end{split}$$

Using the fact that φ is non-decreasing, we get

$$d(F(Gx_{2n}, Gy_{2n}), F(\alpha, \alpha')) \leq \max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(\alpha)), d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(\alpha'))\}.$$
(21)

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(F(Gy_{2n},Gx_{2n}),F(\alpha',\alpha))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gy_{2n}),S(\alpha')),d(G(Gx_{2n}),S(\alpha))\}) \\ &-\phi(\max\{d(G(Gy_{2n}),S(\alpha')),d(G(Gx_{2n}),S(\alpha))\} \end{aligned}$$

 $\leq \varphi(\max\{d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(\alpha')), d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(\alpha))\}).$ Using the fact that φ is non-decreasing, we see that

$$d(F(Gy_{2n}, Gx_{2n}), F(\alpha', \alpha)) \leq \max\{d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(\alpha')), d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(\alpha))\}.$$
(22)

Combining (21) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(F(Gx_{2n},Gy_{2n}),F(\alpha,\alpha')),\\ d(F(Gy_{2n},Gx_{2n}),F(\alpha',\alpha)))\\ \leq \max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}),S(\alpha)),d(G(Gy_{2n}),S(\alpha'))\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the commutativity of F and G, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}))), F(\alpha, \alpha')), \\ d(G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), F(\alpha', \alpha))\} \\ \leq \max\{d(G(Gx_{2n}), S(\alpha)), d(G(Gy_{2n}), S(\alpha'))\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$, using the continuity of *G*, we obtain

 $\max\{d(G(\alpha), F(\alpha, \alpha')), d(G(\alpha'), F(\alpha', \alpha))\} \le \\\max\{d(G(\alpha), S(\alpha)), d(G(\alpha'), S(\alpha'))\}.$

Looking at (20), we deduce that

$$\max\{d(G(\alpha), F(\alpha, \alpha')), d(G(\alpha'), F(\alpha', \alpha))\} = 0$$

Therefore,

 $d(G(\alpha), F(\alpha, \alpha')) = 0$ and $d(G(\alpha'), F(\alpha', \alpha)) = 0$. Consequently

 $G(\alpha) = F(\alpha, \alpha')$ and $G(\alpha') = F(\alpha', \alpha)$. (23)

By the same way, we get

$$S(\alpha) = F(\alpha, \alpha')$$
 and $S(\alpha') = F(\alpha', \alpha)$. (24)

Finally, combining (20), (23) and (24), we deduce that (α, α') is a coupled coincidence point of *F*, *G* and *S*.

Remark 2

Taking $G = S = I_X$ (the identity mapping of X) in Theorem 21, we obtain [7, Theorem 2].

Taking $G = S = I_X$ in Theorem 22, we obtain [7, Theorem 3].

Taking S = G, we get the following:

Corollary 21Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X,d)is a complete metric space. Let $G : X \to X$ be a continuous mapping and $F : X \times X \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed G-monotone property on X. Suppose that

$$\varphi(d(F(x,y),F(u,v))) \le \varphi(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\}) -\varphi(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\}),$$
(25)

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $G(x) \leq G(u)$ or $G(x) \geq G(u)$ and $G(y) \geq G(v)$ or $G(y) \leq G(v)$, where $\varphi, \phi \in \mathscr{F}$. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq G(X) \cap G(X)$ and assume that

(I)F is continuous or assumptions (i) - (ii) of Theorem 22 hold with G non-decreasing.
(II)F commutes with G.

If there exist x_0 , y_0 *such that*

$$\begin{cases} G(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0); \\ G(y_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0), \end{cases}$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$G(x) = F(x, y)$$
 and $G(y) = F(y, x)$,

3 Applications to nonlinear integral equations

Let $X = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ be the set of all continuous functions $u : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}, T > 0$, and $G : X \to X$ is a given mapping. We endow X with the metric $d(u, v) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t) - v(t)|$

for $u, v \in X$.

This space can be equipped with a partial order given by

$$x, y \in X, \quad x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow x(t) \le y(t), \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T].$$

In $X \times X$ we define the following partial order

$$(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X, \quad (x, y) \preceq (u, v) \Leftrightarrow x \preceq u \text{ and } y \succeq v.$$

In [10] it is proved that (X, \preceq) satisfies assumptions (*i*) and (*ii*) of Theorem 22.

Consider the system of integral equations:

$$\begin{cases} Gu(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s)f(s,u(s),v(s))ds\\ Gv(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s)f(s,v(s),u(s))ds \end{cases}$$
(26)



where the functions $k : [0,T] \times [0,T] \rightarrow [0,+\infty[$ and $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,+\infty[$ are two continuous functions satisfying the following conditions: (*H*1)

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_0^T k(t,s)ds \le 1.$$

(*H*2) For all $s, b \in [0, T], u, v \in X$

 $Gu \leq Gv, \Rightarrow f(s, u(s), b) \leq f(s, v(s), b)$ $Gu \leq Gv, \Rightarrow f(s, b, u(s)) \geq f(s, b, v(s)).$

(*H*3) For all $x, y, u, v \in X$ such that $Gx \preceq Gu$ and $Gy \succeq Gv$ we have

$$|f(s,x(s),y(s)) - f(s,u(s),v(s))| \le \ln \left[1 + (\max\{|Gx(s) - Gu(s)|, |Gy(s) - Gv(s)|\})^2\right].$$

(H4) There exist α , $\beta \in X$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{cases} G\alpha(t) \leq \int_0^T k(t,s) f(s,\alpha(s),\beta(s)) ds \\ G\beta(t) \leq \int_0^T k(t,s) f(s,\beta(s),\alpha(s)) ds. \end{cases}$$

Now, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 31*Suppose that* $G : X \to X$ *is a non-decreasing continuous mapping. Suppose also that (H1)-(H4) hold. Then (26) has a solution.*

Proof. We introduce the operator $F : X \times X \to X$ defined by

$$F(u,v)(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s) [f(s,u(s),v(s)) \, ds$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

From (H2) it follows directly that F has the mixed G-monotone property.

Let $u, v \in X$ such that $G(x) \preceq G(u)$ and $G(y) \succeq G(v)$. We have

$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |F(x,y)(t) - F(u,v)(t)|$$

$$\leq \max_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^T k(t,s) |f(s,x(s),y(s)) - f(s,u(s),v(s)|ds.$$

Using (H3) we get

From (H1), we obtain

$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le$$

 $\ln[(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\})^2+1]$

which implies that

$$(d(F(x,y),F(u,v)))^2 \le$$

 $(\ln[(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\})^2+1])^2.$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &(d(F(x,y),F(u,v)))^2 \leq (\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\})^2 \\ &- \left[(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\})^2 \right. \\ &\left. - (\ln[(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\})^2 + 1])^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\varphi(t) = t^2$ and $\phi(t) = t^2 - \ln(t^2 + 1)$. Clearly φ and ϕ are altering distance functions and from the above inequality, we obtain

$$\varphi(d(F(x,y),F(u,v))) \le \varphi(\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\}) -\phi((\max\{d(Gx,Gu),d(Gy,Gv)\}))$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ such that $G(x) \leq G(u)$ and $G(y) \geq G(v)$. Now, let $\alpha, \beta \in X$ be the functions given by (H4), then we have

$$G(\alpha) \preceq F(\alpha, \beta)$$
 and $F(\beta, \alpha) \preceq G(\beta)$.

Thus, we proved that all the required hypotheses of Corollary 21 are satisfied. Hence, *G* and *F* have a coupled coincidence point $(u,v) \in X \times X$, that is, (u,v) is a solution of (26).

References

- R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, D. O'Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal., 87, 109-116 (2008).
- [2] I. Altun, H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2010, Article ID 621492, 17 pages (2010).
- [3] T. G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 65, 1379-1393 (2006).
- [4] B. S. Choudhury, A. Kundu, A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings, Nonlinear Anal., 73, 2524-2531 (2010).
- [5] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, Nonlinear Anal., 71, 3403-3410 (2008).
- [6] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 72, 1188-1197 (2010).



- [7] J. Harjani, B. López, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations, Nonlinear Anal., 74, 1749-1760 (2011).
- [8] V. Lakshmikantham, Lj. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 70, 4341-4349 (2009).
- [9] N. V. Luong, N. X. Thuan, Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application, Nonlinear Anal., 74, 983-992 (2011).
- [10] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodfiguez-López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order., 22, 223-239 (2005).
- [11] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodŕiguez-López, Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Acta Math. Sin., 23, 2205-2212 (2007).
- [12] J. J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso, R. Rodfiguez-López, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered sets, Proc. Amer. Soc., 132, 2505-2517 (2007).
- [13] H. K. Nashine, B. Samet, Fixed point results for mappings satisfying (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., **74**, 2201-2209 (2011).
- [14] A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to metrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132, 1435-1443 (2004).
- [15] M. D. Rus, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces with semimonotone metric, Nonlinear Anal., 74, 1804-1813 (2011).
- [16] B. Samet, Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 72, 4508-4517 (2010).
- [17] W. Shatanawi, Partially ordered cone metric spaces and coupled fixed point results, Comput. Math. Appl., 60, 2508-2515 (2010).



Habib Yazidi is an assistant professor at Department of Mathematics, Tunis College of Sciences and Techniques, University of Tunis. He received the PhD degree in Mathematics at Paris Est University, France. His research interests are in the areas of non-linear

Analysis, PDE and fixed points theory.