# Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability An International Journal

http://dx.doi.org/10.12785/jsap/020306

# **Characterization of Exponential Distribution through Equidistribution Conditions for Consecutive Maxima**

Santanu Chakraborty and George P. Yanev\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas - Pan American, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA

Received: 18 Feb. 2013, Revised: 3 Aug. 2013, Accepted: 18 Aug. 2013

Published online: 1 Nov. 2013

**Abstract:** A characterization of the exponential distribution based on equidistribution conditions for maxima of random samples with consecutive sizes n-1 and n for an arbitrary and fixed  $n \ge 3$  is proved. This solves an open problem stated recently in Arnold and Villasenor [3].

Keywords: characterizations, exponential distribution, order statistics, maxima

### 1 Introduction

Characterizations of the exponential distribution are abundant. Comprehensive surveys can be found in Ahsanullah and Hamedani [1], Arnold and Huang [2], and Johnson, Kotz, and Balakrishnan [5]. Recently, Arnold and Villasenor [3] obtained a series of characterizations based on random sample of size two. They also identified a list of conjectures for possible extensions of their results to larger samples. In this work we confirm that one of these conjectures is true for a sample of any fixed size  $n \ge 2$ . Note that in Yanev and Chakraborty [8] the case of random sample of size three was considered.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n, n \ge 2$  be a random sample from an exponentially distributed parent X. It is known that

$$\max\{X_1, X_2, \dots X_{n-1}\} + \frac{1}{n} X_n \stackrel{d}{=} \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots X_n\},\tag{1}$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  denotes equality in distribution. We write  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$  if the probability density function (pdf) of X equals  $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} I(x > 0)$ . Our goal is to prove that (1), under analyticity assumptions on the cumulative distribution function (cdf) F of X, is a sufficient condition for X to be exponential.

**Theorem** Let X be a non-negative continuous random variable with pdf f. If f is analytic in a neighborhood of zero and (1) holds true, then  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$  with some  $\lambda > 0$ .

Wesołowski and Ahsanullah [7] and more recently Castaño-Martinez et al. [4] proved characterizations of probability distributions in the context of random translations. The characterization (1) above can be deduced from their results (see Corollary 1 in Wesołowski and Ahsanullah [7] and Corollary 3 in Castaño-Martinez et al. [4]). However, our proof is different from theirs in not referring to uniqueness results for integral equations. The direct approach we follow may also be used in obtaining some more general results, a possibility which we will explore in the future.

# 2 Preliminaries

Define for all non-negative integers n, i, and any real number x

$$H_{n,i}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{j} \binom{n}{j} (x-j)^{i}.$$

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: yanevgp@utpa.edu



It is known, (e.g., Ruiz [6]) that for all integers  $n \ge 0$  and all real x

$$H_{n,i}(x) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } i = n; \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le i \le n - 1. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Define  $G_m(x) := F^m(x) f(x)$  for  $m \ge 1$  and denote by  $g^{(i)}(x)$  for  $i \ge 1$  the ith derivative of a function g(x);  $g^{(0)}(x) := g(x)$ . **Lemma 1** Let *X* be a continuous random variable with cdf *F* satisfying F(0) = 0. If for  $0 \le r \le m - 1$ 

$$f^{(r)}(0) = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{r-1} f'(0),\tag{3}$$

then for  $0 \le i \le 2m$ 

$$G_m^{(i)}(0) = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{i-m} f^{m+1}(0) H_{m,i}(m+1). \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** Case  $0 \le i \le m-1$ . In this case (2) implies  $H_{m,i}(m+1)=0$ . On the other hand, in the left-hand side of (4), we have  $G_m^{(i)}(0) = 0$  because each term in the expansion of  $G_m^{(i)}(0)$  has a factor F(0) = 0.

Case i = m. From (2) it follows that (4) is equivalent to

$$G_m^{(m)}(0) = m! f^{m+1}(0). (5)$$

We shall prove (5) by induction. If m = 1, then (5) follows from the definition of G(x) and the assumption F(0) = 0. Assuming that (5) is true for m = k, we will prove it for m = k + 1. Since  $G_{k+1}(x) = F(x)G_k(x)$  and F(0) = 0, we have

$$\begin{split} G_{k+1}^{(k+1)}(0) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} F^{(j)}(0) G_k^{(k+1-j)}(0) \\ &= F(0) G_k^{(k+1)}(0) + (k+1) F^{(1)}(0) G_k^{(k)}(0) \\ &= (k+1) f(0) k! f^{k+1}(0) \\ &= (k+1)! f^{k+2}(0), \end{split}$$

where we have used that  $G_k^{(r)}(0)=0$  for  $0\leq r\leq k-1$  and the induction assumption  $G_k^{(k)}(0)=k!f^{k+1}(0)$ .

Case  $\mathbf{m} < \mathbf{i} \le 2\mathbf{m}$ . Suppose we have proved (4) for m = 1, 2, ...k. We want to prove it for m = k + 1. Observe that

$$G_{k+1}^{(i)}(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{i} {i \choose j} F^{(j)}(0) G_k^{(i-j)}(0).$$

Since  $G_k^{(r)}(0) = 0$  for  $0 \le r \le k-1$ , making use of (3) and the induction assumption, we obtain

$$G_{k+1}^{(i)}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} {i \choose j} f^{(j-1)}(0) G_k^{(i-j)}(0) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{i} {i \choose j} f^{(j-1)}(0) G_k^{(i-j)}(0)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} {i \choose j} \left[ \frac{f'(0)}{f(0)} \right]^{j-2} f'(0) \left[ \frac{f'(0)}{f(0)} \right]^{i-j-k} f^{k+1}(0) H_{k,i-j}(k+1)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{f'(0)}{f(0)} \right]^{i-k-1} f^{k+2}(0) \sum_{j=1}^{i} {i \choose j} H_{k,i-j}(k+1),$$
(6)

(8)



where in the last equality we used that (2) implies  $H_{k,i-j}(k+1) = 0$  for j = i+1,...,k. Further, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{i} \binom{i}{j} H_{k,i-j}(k+1) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \binom{i}{j} (k+1-r)^{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k} (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} \left[ (k+2-r)^i - (k+1-r)^i \right] \\ &= (k+2)^i - \left[ (k+1)^i + \binom{k}{1} (k+1)^i \right] + \left[ \binom{k}{1} k^i + \binom{k}{2} k^i \right] + \dots + (-1)^k \left[ \binom{k}{k-1} 2^i + 2^i \right] + (-1)^{k+1} \\ &= (k+1)^i - \binom{k+1}{1} (k+1)^i + \dots + (-1)^k \binom{k+1}{k} 2^i + (-1)^{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j \binom{k+1}{i} (k+2-j)^i = H_{k+1,i}(k+2). \end{split}$$

The lemma's claim follows by induction, taking into account (6).

The identity below may be of independent interest.

**Lemma 2** For any integers  $m \ge 0$  and  $k \ge 0$ 

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} (k+2)^{m-j} H_{k,j}(k+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} {m+1 \choose j+1} H_{k,j}(k+1).$$
 (7)

**Proof.** The left-hand side of (7) equals

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=0}^{m} (k+2)^{m-j} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} (k+1-i)^{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} (k+2)^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\frac{k+1-i}{k+2}\right)^{j} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} \frac{1}{i+1} \left[ (k+2)^{m+1} - (k+1-i)^{m+1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k+1}{i+1} \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ (k+2)^{m+1} - (k+1-i)^{m+1} \right] \\ &= -\frac{(k+2)^{m+1}}{k+1} \sum_{r=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{r} \binom{k+1}{r} + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{r} \binom{k+1}{r} (k+2-r)^{m+1} \\ &= -\frac{(k+2)^{m+1}}{k+1} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{r} \binom{k+1}{r} - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{r} \binom{k+1}{r} (k+2-r)^{m+1} - (k+2)^{m+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{r} \binom{k+1}{r} (k+2-r)^{m+1}. \end{split}$$

For the right-hand side of (7) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{m+1}{j+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} (k+1-i)^{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{m+1}{j+1} (k+1-i)^{j} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} \frac{1}{k+1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{m+1}{j+1} (k+1-i)^{j+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k+1}{i} \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{r} (k+1-i)^{r} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k+1}{i} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{r} (k+1-i)^{r} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \binom{k+1}{i} (k+2-i)^{m+1} - \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{i} \binom{k+1}{i} - (-1)^{k+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{r} \binom{k+1}{r} (k+2-r)^{m+1}, \end{split}$$



which equals (8). The proof of the lemma is complete.

Next lemma (see also Arnold and Villaseñor [3]) will play a crucial role in the proof of the theorem. In private communications, P. Fitzsimmons pointed out to us that the assumption of analyticity of the density function f is missing in [3].

**Lemma 3** If F(0) = 0, the pdf f is analytic in a neighborhood of 0, and

$$f^{(k)}(0) = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{k-1} f'(0), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(9)

then  $X \sim \exp{\{\lambda\}}$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Proof.** For the Maclaurin series of f(x), we have for x > 0

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{f'(0)}{f(0)} \right]^{k-1} f'(0) \frac{x^k}{k!} = f(0) \exp\left\{ \frac{f'(0)}{f(0)} x \right\}. \tag{10}$$

Since f(x) is a pdf, we have f'(0)/f(0) < 0. Denoting  $\lambda = -f'(0)/f(0) > 0$  and setting the integral of (10) from 0 to  $\infty$  to be 1, we obtain  $\lambda = f(0)$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} I(x > 0)$ , i.e.,  $X \sim \exp{\{\lambda\}}$ .

## 3 Proof of the theorem

Equation (1) can be written as

$$\int_0^x f_{X_n/n}(y) f_{\max\{X_1,\dots,X_{n-1}\}}(x-y) \, dy = n(n-1)f(x) \int_0^x G_{n-2}(y) \, dy.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\int_0^x nf(ny)(n-1)F^{n-2}(x-y)f(x-y)\,dy = n(n-1)f(x)\int_0^x G_{n-2}(y)\,dy,$$

which simplifies to

$$\int_0^x f(ny)G_{n-2}(x-y)\,dy = f(x)\int_0^x G_{n-2}(y)\,dy. \tag{11}$$

Differentiating the left-hand side of (11) with respect to x, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x f(ny) G_{n-2}(x-y) \, dy = f(nx) G_{n-2}(0) + \int_0^x f(ny) G'_{n-2}(x-y) \, dy.$$

Differentiating the last equation 2n-3 times, we obtain

$$\frac{d^{2n-2}}{dx^{2n-2}} \int_0^x f(ny) G_{n-2}(x-y) \, dy = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} n^{2n-3-i} f^{(2n-3-i)}(x) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0) + \int_0^x f(ny) G_{n-2}^{(2n-2)}(x-y) \, dy. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, applying to the right-hand side of (11) the Leibnitz product rule of differentiation, we have

$$\frac{d^{2n-2}}{dx^{2n-2}} \left[ f(x) \int_0^x G_{n-2}(y) \, dy \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} {2n-2 \choose i+1} f^{(2n-3-i)}(x) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(x) + f^{(2n-2)}(x) \int_0^x G_{n-2}(y) \, dy \tag{13}$$

Therefore, the equation (11), taking into account (12) and (13), becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} n^{2n-3-i} f^{(2n-3-i)}(x) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0) + \int_0^x f(ny) G_{n-2}^{(2n-2)}(x-y) dy$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} {2n-2 \choose i+1} f^{(2n-3-i)}(x) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(x) + f^{(2n-2)}(x) \int_0^x G_{n-2}(y) dy.$$
(14)



Setting x = 0 and taking into account that  $G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0) = 0$  for  $0 \le i \le n-3$ , we obtain that (14) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=n-2}^{2n-4} n^{2n-3-i} f^{(2n-3-i)}(0) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0) = \sum_{i=n-2}^{2n-4} \binom{2n-2}{i+1} f^{(2n-3-i)}(0) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0).$$

For i = n - 2, we have  $f^{(n-1)}(0)G_{n-2}^{(n-2)}(0) = f^{(n-1)}(0)f^{n-1}(0)(n-2)!$ . Thus, the equation above can be written as

$$\left[ n^{n-1} - \binom{2n-2}{n-1} \right] f^{(n-1)}(0) f^{n-1}(0) (n-2)! = \sum_{i=n-1}^{2n-4} \left[ \binom{2n-2}{i+1} - n^{2n-3-i} \right] f^{(2n-3-i)}(0) G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0).$$
 (15)

In view of Lemma 3, to complete the proof it suffices to show

$$f^{(r)}(0) = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{r-1} f'(0), \qquad r = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (16)

Assume (16) for all  $1 \le r \le n-2$ . We shall prove it for r = n-1, i.e.,

$$f^{(n-1)}(0) = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{n-2} f'(0), \qquad r = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (17)

It follows from Lemma 1 with m = n - 2 that for  $n - 1 \le i \le 2n - 4$ 

$$f^{(2n-3-i)}(0)G_{n-2}^{(i)}(0) = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{i-n+2} f^{n-1}(0)H_{n-2,i}(n-1). \tag{18}$$

Substituting (18) in the right-hand side of (15) we obtain

$$\left[n^{n-1} - \binom{2n-2}{n-1}\right] f^{(n-1)}(0)(n-2)! = \left[\frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}\right]^{n-2} f'(0) \sum_{i=n-1}^{2n-4} \left[\binom{2n-2}{i+1} - n^{2n-3-i}\right] H_{n-2,i}(n-1).$$

To establish (18) we need to prove

$$\left[n^{n-1} - \binom{2n-2}{n-1}\right] = \sum_{i=n-1}^{2n-4} \left[\binom{2n-2}{i+1} - n^{2n-3-i}\right] H_{n-2,i}(n-1)$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{i=n-2}^{2n-4} n^{2n-3-i} H_{n-2,i}(n-1) = \sum_{i=n-2}^{2n-4} {2n-2 \choose i+1} H_{n-2,i}(n-1).$$
 (19)

Since (2) implies  $H_{n-2,i}(n-1) = 0$  for  $0 \le i \le n-3$  and for i = 2n-3 we have  $n^{2n-3-i} = \binom{2n-2}{i+1} = 1$ , we obtain that (19) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} n^{2n-3-i} H_{n-2,i}(n-1) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} {2n-2 \choose i+1} H_{n-2,i}(n-1),$$

which follows from Lemma 3 with m = 2n - 3. This completes the induction argument and thus proves (16). Referring to (16) and Lemma 2 we complete the proof of the theorem.

# Acknowledgements

The second author is grateful to M. Ahsanullah (Rider University) for introducing him to the research field of characterizations of probability distributions through ordered variables. The authors thank P. Fitzsimmons (University of California, San Diego) for his interest and insights.



### References

- [1] Ahsanullah, M. and Hamedani, G. G. Exponential Distribution: Theory and Methods, NOVA Science, New York (2010).
- [2] Arnold, B. C. and Huang, J. S. Chapter12: Characterizations, In: Balakrishnan, N. and Basu, A. P. (eds.), The Exponential Distribution: Theory, Methods and Applications, Gordon and Brreach, Amsterdam, 185-203 (1995).
- [3] Arnold, B. C. and Villasenor, J. A. Exponential characterizations motivated by the structure of order statistics in sample of size two. Statistics and Probability Letters, 83, 596-601 (2013).
- [4] Castaño-Martinez, A., López-Blázquez, F., Salamanea-Miño, B. Random translations, contractions and dilations of order statistics and records. Statistics, 46, 57-67 (2012).
- [5] Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Balakrishnan, N. Continuous Univariate Disributions, 2nd Edn., Wiley, New York, 1, (1994).
- [6] Ruiz, SM. An algebraic identity leading to Wilson's theorem. The Mathematical Gazette, 80, 579-582 (1996).
- [7] Wesołowski, J. and Ahsanullah, M. Switching order statistics through random power contractions. Aust. N. Z. J. Stat., 46, 297-303 (2004).
- [8] Yanev, G., Chakraborty, S. Characterizations of exponential distribution based on sample of size three. Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica, 23, 237-244 (2013).