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#### Abstract

In this article, we introduce common fixed-point theorems of Caristi-type mappings by using the absolute derivative of the mapping as a generator of its Caristi-type maps. The common fixed-point theorem that we obtain covers the single-valued and set-valued mappings. Some of the examples are given to support usability of our result.
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## 1 Introduction

Development of the Caristi's fixed-point theorems [1] has been carried out by researchers through a variety of different ways such as combining the Banach's fixed point theorems to that Caristi's fixed-point theorems [2]. In 1996, Kada-Suzuki and Takahashi used the $w$-distance functions to characterize the Caristi-type mappings [3]. Further, there exist several results involving set-valued mappings into Caristi-type conditions (see [4] [5], [6]).

In 1981, Bhakta and Basu [7] introduced a common fixed-point theorems of Caristi-type mappings on complete metric spaces. In 2010, Obama and Kuroiwa [8] proved the same thing by using $\omega$-distance function which was introduced by Kada et al [3] as a generalization of common fixed-point theorems of Bhakta and Basu. In 2015, Sitthikul and Saejung discussed the result by Obama with weaker assumption [9]. Moreover, L. Samih et al. introduced common fixed-point theorems of Caristi-type mappings in cone metric spaces [10].

Motivated by the above results, in particular, by Bhakta and Basu [7], in this article, we introduce a common fixed-point theorem of Caristi-type mapping by using the absolute derivative as a generator of its Caristi type. In previous articles, we characterized Caristi-type mapping by its absolute derivative but only for one mapping [11]. In this article, we obtain a common
fixed-point theorem of Caristi-type mapping for two mappings. We also give some examples to illustrate the main results in this article.

## 2 Common fixed-point of Caristi-type mappings

For the convenience, in the next we recall the Caristi's fixed-point theorems as follows.

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $K \subset X$. Caristi's fixed-point theorem states that each mapping $f: K \longrightarrow K$ satisfies the condition: there exists a lower semi-continuous function $\varphi: K \longrightarrow[0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, f(x)) \leq \varphi(x)-\varphi(f(x)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in X$ has a fixed point.
Some authors have mentioned that a mapping $f: K \longrightarrow K$ is called Caristi-type mappings if the inequalities (1) is satisfied.

Suppose $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ are two metric spaces. Then we use the notation $\mathscr{P}_{0}(X)$ (resp. $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{L}(X)$ ) as the family of all non-empty (resp. closed ) subsets of $X$.

The mapping $F: X \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}_{0}(Y)$ is called set-valued functions where the maps $F(x) \in \mathscr{P}_{0}(Y)$ for each $x \in X$.

[^0]We say that a point $z \in X$ is a fixed point of $F$ if $z \in F(z)$. The function $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is said to be selection of $F$ if $f(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

By using Caristi's fixed-point theorems, in 1989, Mizoguchi and Takahashi [5] resulted in fixed-point theorem for set-valued mappings.
Theorem 1. Let ( $X . d$ ) be a complete metric space and $F$ : $X \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}_{0}(X)$ be a set-valued mapping. If there exists $\varphi$ : $X \longrightarrow[0,+\infty]$ is a lower semi continuous function such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in F(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x)-\varphi(y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the set-valued map $F$ has a fixed point.
In 1971 Ciric [12] introduced the notion of orbital continuity as follows
Definition 1. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. The set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{O}\left\{x_{0}\right\}=\left\{x_{n}=f^{n} x_{0}: n=1,2,3 \cdots\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called orbit of $f$ at fixed point $x_{0} \in X$, where $f^{n}=\underbrace{f \circ f \circ f \cdots \circ f}_{n \text {-times }}$. Then the mapping $f$ is called orbitally continuous if $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{m_{k}} x_{0}=t$, then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f f^{m_{k}} x_{0}=f(t)$.

Every continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is orbitally continuous but not conversely [12].

In 1981, Bhakta and Basu [7] proved a common fixed-point theorem of the Caristi-type mapping for two mappings on complete metric spaces. The following theorem in question.
Theorem 2. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $f, g: X \longrightarrow X$ be two orbitally continuous mappings on $X$. If there are two mappings $\varphi, \psi: X \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f x, g y) \leq \varphi(x)-\varphi(f x)+\psi(y)-\psi(g y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2 has been generalized by Obama [8] with using $\omega$-distance function and then followed by Sitthikul with weaker requirement [9].

## 3 Absolute derivatives

In 1971, E. Braude introduced the derivative of the metric-valued function with abstract metric domains which is known as "metrically differentiable" (see [13]).
Definition 2. Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be two metric spaces and let $p \in X$ be a limit point. The mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is said metrically differentiable at $p$ if a real number $f^{\prime}(p)$ exists with the property that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists
$\delta>0$ such that for every $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ and $0<d(x, p)<$ $\delta, 0<d(y, p)<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\rho(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)}-f^{\prime}(p)\right|<\varepsilon . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in 1975, K. Skaland defined the weaker form of Braude's definition.
Definition 3. Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be a metric spaces and let $p \in X$ be a limit point. The mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is said differentiable at $p$ if real number $f^{\prime}(p)$ exists with the property that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for every $x \in N_{\delta}(p)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\rho(f(x), f(p))}{d(x, p)}-f^{\prime}(p)\right|<\varepsilon . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A non-negative real number $f^{\prime}(p)$ is called metrically derivative [13] or quasiderivative [14] of the mapping $f$ at the point $p \in X$.
Example 1. Let $X=[-1,1]$. The function $f:[-1,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x)=|x|$ for each $x \in[-1,1]$ is metrically differentiable on $X$. For $p=0 \in[-1,1]$, we obtain

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{| | x|-0|}{|x|}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{|-x|}{|x|}=1,
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{| | x|-0|}{|x|}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{|x|}{|x|}=1 .
$$

For each $0<x<1$ and $-1<x<0$, we have $f^{\prime}(x)=1$. We know that $f$ is not differentiable in the classical sense at $x=0$.

Since the value of the derivative is always a non-negative real number, its derivative is called absolute derivative.

Throughout this paper, we use the notation $f_{a b s}^{\prime}$ as an absolute derivative of the function $f$ and a function differentiable in the sense of the metric is called metrically differentiable.

## 4 Existence of common fixed point

Our first main result modifies the common fixed-point theorem (Theorem 2). The modification is done by replacing two non-negative real functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ on Theorem 2 by two absolute derivatives of the functions $f$ and $g$ provided that the function $f$ and $g$ are metrically differentiable.
Theorem 4. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $f, g: X \longrightarrow X$ be two orbitally continuous mappings on $X$. If $f$ and $g$ are metrically differentiablae on $X$ such that the absolute derivative $f_{a b s}^{\prime}, g_{a b s}^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f x, g y) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof. We take two points $x_{0} \in X$ and $y_{0} \in X$ fixed. Thus, we can form the sequences as follows

$$
x_{1}=f x_{0}, x_{2}=f x_{1}=f^{2} x_{0}, \cdots x_{k}=f^{k} x_{0}, \cdots
$$

and

$$
y_{1}=g y_{0}, y_{2}=g y_{1}=g^{2} y_{0}, \cdots y_{k}=g^{k} y_{0}, \cdots
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
By inequalities (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(f x_{i-1}, g y_{i-1}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(f x_{i-1}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i-1}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(g y_{i-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i-1}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(y_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(g y_{i-1}, f x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(f x_{i}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i-1}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(g y_{i-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

From inequalities (8) and (9), we have the inequality as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)+d\left(y_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq f_{\text {abs }}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+2 g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since partial sums $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)$ is a bounded, the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)$ is convergent. Consequently the sequence non-negative real number $\left\{d\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)\right\}$ converges to zero (as $i \longrightarrow \infty$ ). For each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m>n$, we obtain

$$
d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence on $X$.

Similarly, in the same way, the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is also Cauchy sequence on $X$. Since $X$ is complete, each of them is convergent, namely $x_{n} \rightarrow t \in X$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow s \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)=t$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(f x_{n}\right)=f t$ and if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(x_{n}\right)=s$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(g x_{n}\right)=g s$ by $f$ and $g$ are orbitally continuous. It allows the sequence $x_{n+1} \rightarrow f(t)$ and $y_{n+1} \rightarrow g(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This gives that $f t=t$ and $g s=s$. So the point $t$ is a fixed point of $f$ and the point $s$ is a fixed point of $g$. By inequalities (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d(t, s)=d(f t, g s) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f t)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(s)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g s) \\
=f_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(s)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(s)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

This means $t=s$. In the other words, the point $t$ is a common fixed point of $f$ and $g(t=f t=g t)$.

Suppose $f$ has the other fixed point $u \in X(f u=u)$. By applying (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u, t)= & d(f u, g t) \\
\leq & f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g t) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(t) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $u=t$ (unique). Hence, the point $t$ is the unique fixed point of $f$. Similarly, we can show that $t$ is also the unique fixed point of $g$. This completes the proof.

Example 2. Let $X=[0.68,1]$ endowed by usual metrics. Let $f, g:[0.68,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real function with $f(x)=x^{\frac{7}{2}}$ and $g(x)=-x+2$ for all $x \in[0.68,1]$. It is clear that $f$ and $g$ are orbitally continuous and metrically differentiable on $(0.68,1)$ with derivative as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)=\left|\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2}\right|=\frac{7 x^{3}}{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)=|-1|=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. From the equation (10) and (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)=\left|\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2}\right|=\frac{x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g x)=|-1|=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we investigate as follows: For $x=y=0.68$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(0.68)-g(0.68)|=1.0608<1.2147 \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(0.68)-f_{a b s}^{\prime} f(0.68)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(0.68)-g_{a b s}^{\prime} g(0.68) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

For $x=y=1$, we obtain
$|f(1)-g(1)|=0=f_{a b s}^{\prime}(1)-f_{a b s}^{\prime} f(1)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(1)-g_{a b s}^{\prime} g(1)$.

For $x=0.68$ and $y=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(0.68)-g(1)|=0.7408<1.2147 \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(0.68)-f_{a b s}^{\prime} f(0.68)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(1)-g_{a b s}^{\prime} g(1) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

For all $0.68<x, y<0.791$, we have

$$
f_{a b s}^{\prime} x=\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} \geq-y+2=g y
$$

and

$$
f_{a b s}^{\prime} f(x)=\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2} \leq x^{\frac{7}{2}}=f x
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{a b s}^{\prime} x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} f x+g_{a b s}^{\prime} y-g_{a b s}^{\prime} g y=\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2}-\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2}+1-1 \\
& >(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}=\left|(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}\right|=|g y-f x| \\
& =|f x-g y| \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For all $0.791<x, y<0.878$, we have

$$
f_{a b s}^{\prime} f(x)=\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2} \leq-y+2=g y
$$

and

$$
f_{a b s}^{\prime} x=\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} \geq x^{\frac{7}{2}}=f x
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime} f x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} x & =\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2}-\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} \\
& <(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}=\left|(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}\right| \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

If both sides are multiplied by the number -1 , then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime} x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} f x & >(-1)\left|(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}\right| \\
& =\left|x^{\frac{7}{2}}-(-y+2)\right|=|f(x)-g(y)| \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

For all $0.878<x, y<1$, we have $\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2} \geq-y+2>0$ and $\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} \geq x^{\frac{7}{2}}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime} x & -f_{a b s}^{\prime} f x+g_{a b s}^{\prime} y-g_{a b s}^{\prime} g y=\frac{7 x^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2}-\frac{7 x^{\frac{35}{4}}}{2}+1-1 \\
& >(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}=\left|(-y+2)-x^{\frac{7}{2}}\right|=|f(x)-g y| . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the inequality (7) is satisfied, the function $f$ and $g$ have a unique fixed point, namely $1=f(1)=g(1)$.

Let $\mathscr{F}=\{f \mid f: X \rightarrow X\}$ be a collection of all metrically differentiable.

Corolary 1. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space. If two mappings $f, g \in \mathscr{F}$ such that the absolute derivative $f_{a b s}^{\prime}$ and $g_{a b s}^{\prime}$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
d(f x, g y) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof By Theorem 4, it is clear $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point $x_{0} \in X$. If $h$ is the other mapping in $\mathscr{F}$, then $f$ and $h$ have a unique common fixed point $u \in X$ by Theorem 4. Since $x_{0} \in X$ is the unique fixed point of the mapping $f$, hence $x_{0}=u$. So the point $x_{0}$ is a unique common fixed point of $f, g$ and $h$. Of course, $x_{0}$ is a unique common fixed point of the mappings in $\mathscr{F}$ because $h$ is an arbitrary mapping in the collection $\mathscr{F}$.
Theorem 5. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $f, g: X \longrightarrow X$ be two mappings on $X$. If $f$ and $g$ are metrically differentiable on $X$ such that the absolute derivative $f_{a b s}^{\prime}, g_{a b s}^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the condition :

$$
\begin{align*}
d(x, y) & +d(x, f x)+d(y, g y) \\
& \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof Now consider two points $x_{0} \in X$ and $y_{0} \in X$ as fixed. Then, we can form sequences as follows.

$$
x_{1}=f x_{0}, x_{2}=f x_{1}=f^{2} x_{0}, \cdots x_{k}=f^{k} x_{0}, \cdots
$$

and

$$
y_{1}=g y_{0}, y_{2}=g y_{1}=f^{2} y_{0}, \cdots y_{k}=g^{k} y_{0}, \cdots
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
By inequalities (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{d\left(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}\right)+d\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)+d\left(y_{i-1}, y_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{d\left(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}\right)+d\left(x_{i-1}, f x_{i-1}\right)+d\left(y_{i-1}, g y_{i-1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i-1}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$ is convergent. As the proof in Theorem 4, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy
sequence. Likewise, the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since metric space $X$ is complete, each of them is convergent, namely $x_{n} \rightarrow u \in X$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow v \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)=u$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(f x_{n}\right)=f u$ and if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(x_{n}\right)=v$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(g x_{n}\right)=g v$ by $f$ and $g$ are orbitally continuous. It allows the sequence $x_{n+1} \rightarrow f(u)$ and $y_{n+1} \rightarrow g(v)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This gives that $f u=u$ and $g v=v$. So the point $u$ is a fixed point of $f$ and the point $v$ is a fixed point of $g$.
By inequalities (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u, v) & \leq d(u, v)+d(u, f u)+d(v, g v) \\
& \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(v)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g v) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(v)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(v) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This means $u=v$. In the other words, the point $u$ is a common fixed point of $f$ and $g(u=f u=g u)$.

Suppose $f$ has the other fixed point $w \in X(f w=w)$. By applying (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(w, u) & \leq d(w, u)+d(w, f w)+d(u, g u) \\
& \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(w)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f w)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g u) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(w)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(w)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(u) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $w=u$ (unique). Hence, the point $u$ is the unique fixed point of $f$. Similarly, we can show that $u$ is also the unique fixed point of $g$. This completes the proof.

## Example 3.

Let $X=[0.6,1]$ be endowed by usual metrics. Let $f, g:[0.6,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real function with $f(x)=x^{2}$ and $g(x)=x^{3}$ for all $x \in[0.6,1]$. It is clear that $f$ and $g$ orbitally continuous and metrically differentiable on [0.6, 1] with absolute derivative as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)=|2 x|=2 x \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)=\left|3 x^{2}\right|=3 x^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. From the equation (23) and (24) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)=\left|2 x^{2}\right|=2 x^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g x)=\left|3 x^{6}\right|=3 x^{6} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Now, we investigate as follows: From (23) and (25) we have that

$$
f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)=2 x-2 x^{2} \geq 0
$$

for all $x \in[0.6,1]$.

From (24) and (26) we have that

$$
g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y)=3 y^{2}-3 y^{6} \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in[0.6,1]$.
Since $\left(x-x^{2}\right) \geq 0$ and $\left(y-y^{3}\right) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in[0.6,1]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|x-f x| & =\left|x-x^{2}\right|=\left(x-x^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(x-x^{2}\right)=f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
|y-g y| & =\left|y-y^{3}\right|=\left(y-y^{3}\right) \\
& \leq 3\left(y^{2}-y^{6}\right)=g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in[0.6,1]$.
Further, we consider the form $|x-y|+|x-f x|+\mid y-$ $g y\left|=|x-y|+\left(x-x^{2}\right)+\left(y-y^{3}\right)\right.$ for all $x \neq y \in[0.6,1]$.

If $x-y>0$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|x-y| & +|x-f x|+|y-g y|=(x-y)+\left(x-x^{2}\right)+\left(y-y^{3}\right) \\
& =\left(2 x-x^{2}\right)-y^{3}<\left(2 x-2 x^{2}\right)+\left(y^{2}-y^{3}\right) \\
& <\left(2 x-2 x^{2}\right)+\left(y^{2}-y^{6}\right) \\
& <2\left(x-x^{2}\right)+3\left(y^{2}-y^{6}\right) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \neq y \in[0.6,1]$ by inequalities (27) and (28).
If $x-y<0$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|x-y| & +|x-f x|+|y-g y|=(-x+y)+\left(x-x^{2}\right)+\left(y-y^{3}\right) \\
& =-x^{2}+\left(2 y-y^{3}\right)<\left(x-x^{2}\right)+\left(2 y-y^{3}\right) \\
& <2\left(x-x^{2}\right)+3\left(y^{2}-y^{6}\right) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(f x)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(g y) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \neq y \in[0.6,1]$ by inequalities (27) and (28). Thus, all of the calculations above were fulfilling the inequality (21) so that $f$ and $g$ have common fixed point $z=1=$ $f(1)=g(1)$.

## 5 Common fixed-point for set-valued functions

Next, we consider common fixed-point theorems of Caristi-type mappings for set-valued mappings. To the proof of theorem below, we shall use the following Lemma.
Lemma 5. [15] Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and let $F: X \longrightarrow \mathscr{C} \mathscr{L}(X)$ be an upper semi-continuous. Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $x_{n+1} \in F x_{n}$. If the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $u \in X$, then $u \in F u$.

Theorem 6. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $F, G: X \longrightarrow \mathscr{C} \mathscr{L}(X)$ be two upper semi-continuous set-valued mappings on $X$. If there exists selection $f \in F$ and $g \in G$ are metrically differentiable on $X$ such that the absolute derivative $f_{a b s}^{\prime}, g_{a b s}^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following condition: For each two points $x, y \in X$ there exists $u \in F x$ and $v \in G y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(u, v) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(x)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(y)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(v) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F$ and $G$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof We take two points $x_{0} \in X$ and $y_{0} \in X$ fixed. Thus, we can form sequences as follows.

$$
x_{1} \in F x_{0}, x_{2} \in F x_{1}, \cdots x_{k} \in F x_{k-1}, \cdots
$$

and

$$
y_{1} \in G y_{0}, y_{2} \in G y_{1}, \cdots y_{k} \in G y_{k-1}, \cdots
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In general we have

$$
x_{n} \in F x_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{n} \in G y_{n-1}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Suppose two points $x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}$ are arbitrary in $X$, we can choose a point $x_{i} \in F x_{i-1}$ and a point $y_{i} \in G y_{i-1}$. By inequalities (31), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i-1}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Suppose two points $x_{i}, y_{i-1}$ are arbitrary in $X$, we can choose a point $x_{i+1} \in F x_{i}$ and a point $y_{i} \in G y_{i-1}$. By inequalities (31), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{i+1}, y_{i}\right) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i-1}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Suppose two points $x_{i}, y_{i}$ is arbitrary in $X$, we can choose a point $x_{i+1} \in F x_{i}$ and a point $y_{i+1} \in G y_{i}$. By inequalities (31), we obtain
$d\left(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}\right) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}\left(y_{i+1}\right)$
for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
From inequality (32), (33) and (34) and similar way to proof of Theorem 4, both sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are Chauchy sequences.

Since $X$ is complete metric spaces, each of them is convergent, namely, $x_{n} \rightarrow u \in X$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow v \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $F$ and $G$ are upper semi-continuous, by Lemma 5, we have $u \in F u$ and $v \in G v$. From inequalities (31), we obtain

$$
d(u, v) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(v)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(v)=0
$$

This means $u=v$. Hence, $u \in F u \cap G u$.

Suppose $F$ has the other fixed point $w \in X(w \in F w)$. By applying (31), we have

$$
d(w, u) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(w)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(w)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

So, $w=u$. In the other words, the point $u$ is the only fixed point of $F$.

Suppose $t \in X$ satisfies $t \in G t$. By applying (31) again, we have

$$
d(u, t) \leq f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)-f_{a b s}^{\prime}(u)+g_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)-g_{a b s}^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

So, $t=u$. In the other words, the point $u$ is the only fixed point of $G$. Thus the point $u$ is a unique common fixed point of $F$ and $G$. This completes the proof.
Example 4. Let $X=[0,1]$ be endowed by usual metrics. Let $F, G:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an interval-valued function with $F x=\left[x^{2}-x, x\right]$ and $G x=\left[\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. We choose selections $f x=\left(x^{2}-x\right) \in F x$ and $g x=\left(\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \in$ $G x$ It is clear that $f$ and $g$ are metrically differentiable on $[0,1]$ with absolute derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b s}^{\prime} x=|2 x-1|=2 x-1, \quad g_{a b s}^{\prime} x=|x|=x \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $x \in[1,2]$.
For each $x, y \in X$, we choose the points $u \in F x=\left[x^{2}-x, x\right]$ and $v \in G y=\left[\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-x \leq u \leq x, \quad \frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we calculate as follows:
Let $(u-v) \geq 0, v \leq u \leq x, x \leq y$. From (35) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u-v|=u-v & =(3 u-2 u)-v \leq(3 x-2 u)-v \\
& \leq(2 x-2 u)+(x-v) \\
& \leq(2 x-2 u)+(y-v) \\
& =(2 x-1)-(2 u-1)+(y-v) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime} x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} u+g_{a b s}^{\prime} y-g_{a b s}^{\prime} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(u-v) \leq 0, u \leq v \leq x, x \leq y$. From (35) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u-v|=v-u & =v+(u-2 u) \leq x+(x-2 u) \\
& \leq(2 x-2 u)+(y-v) \\
& =(2 x-1)-(2 u-1)+(y-v) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime} x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} u+g_{a b s}^{\prime} y-g_{a b s}^{\prime} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(u-v) \geq 0, v \leq u \leq y, y \leq x$. From (35) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u-v|=u-v & =(3 u-2 u)-v \leq(3 y-2 u)-v \\
& \leq(2 y-2 u)+(y-v) \\
& \leq(2 x-2 u)+(y-v) \\
& =(2 x-1)-(2 u-1)+(y-v) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime} x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} u+g_{a b s}^{\prime} y-g_{a b s}^{\prime} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(u-v) \leq 0, u \leq v \leq y, y \leq x$. From (35) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u-v|=v-u & =v+(u-2 u) \leq y+(y-2 u)=2 y-2 u \\
& \leq(2 x-2 u) \leq(2 x-1)-(2 u-1)+(y-v) \\
& =f_{a b s}^{\prime} x-f_{a b s}^{\prime} u+g_{a b s}^{\prime} y-g_{a b s}^{\prime} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, all of the calculations above are fulfilling the inequality (31) and the point $z=1 \in F(1) \cap G(1)$ is unique common fixed point of set-valued $F$ and $G$.
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