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#### Abstract

In this paper, we generalized the notions of Pawlak's rough set theory to a topological model where the set approximations are defined using the topological notion $\chi$-open sets. Also, we study some of their basic properties of Pawlak's rough set model. Moreover, several important measures, related to the new model, such as accuracy measure and quality of approximation are presented.
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## 1 Introduction

Rough set theory, introduced by Pawlak [1], is a mathematical approach to deal with vagueness and uncertainty of imprecise data. In this approach, vagueness is expressed by a boundary region of a set. Pawlak rough set theory is an extension of the set theory for study and analyzes various types of data [1-5]. There are many applications of rough set theory especially in artificial intelligence fields such as machine learning, pattern recognition, decision analysis, cognitive sciences, intelligent decision making and process control [6-13]. Some of rough set applications are to approximate an arbitrary an universe by two definable subsets called lower and upper approximations, and to reduce the number of the set of attributes in data sets. Suppose, we are given an information system $S=(U, A)$, where $U$ is a nonempty, finite set of objects and is called the universe and $A$ is a nonempty, finite set of attributes. Set $A$ will contain two disjoint sets of attributes, called condition and decision attributes and the system is denoted by $S=$ ( $U, C, D$ ) where $C$ is called condition attribute and $D$ is called decision attribute. With every attribute $a \in A$ we associate a set $V a$, of its values, called the domain of $a$.

Classical rough set philosophy is based on an assumption that every object in the universe of discourse is associated with some information. Objects characterized by the same information are indiscernible with the available information about them. The indiscernibility relation generated in this way is the mathematical basis for the rough set theory. Classical rough set theory has used successfully in the analysis of
data in complete information systems. The indiscernibility relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. The set of all indiscernible objects is called an elementary set or equivalent class. Any set of objects, being an union of some elementary sets is referred to as crisp set, otherwise is called rough set. A rough set can be described by a pair of crisp sets, called the lower and upper approximations.

Rough set theory is a recent approach for reasoning about data. This theory depends basically on certain topological structure and has achieved great success in many fields of real life applications. The concept of topological rough set by Wiweger [14] is one of the most important topological generalizations of rough sets. Abu-Donia and Salama [15] discussed generalization of Pawlak's rough approximation spaces by using $\delta \beta$-open sets. M.A. Abd Allah and A.S. Nawar [16] ntroduced the concept of $\psi^{*}$-open sets. H.M. Abu-Donia, M.A. Abd Allah and A.S. Nawar [17] generalized the notions of Pawlak's rough set theory to a topological model where the set approximations are defined using the topological notion $\psi^{*}$-open sets. O. Tantawy, M.A. Abd Allah and A.S. Nawar [18] introduced the concept of $\chi$-open sets.

In rough set theory, the accuracy measure is an important numerical characterization that quantifies the imprecision of a rough set caused by its boundary region. In our study, we reduce the boundary region of a set $A$ in Pawlak's approximation space by $\chi$-boundary of $A$. Also, we extend exterior of $A$ which contains the elements that don't belong to $A$ by $\chi$-exterior of $A$.

In this paper, we investigate some important and basic issues of generalized rough sets induced by $\chi$-open sets

[^0]and topological preliminaries. The rest of the paper is organized as follows; Section 2 shows the basic concepts of $\chi$-open sets and rough set theory preliminaries. The main aim of $\chi$-open generalizations is given in Section 3. Conclusions are presented in Section 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

We shall recall some concepts about some near open sets which are essential for our present study.
Definition 2.1 A subset $A$ of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called semi-open [19] if $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(A))$ and semi-closed if $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$. The class of semi-open subsets of $(X, \tau)$ is denoted by $S O(X)$. For a subset $A$ of a topological space $(X, \tau)$, the semi-closure of $A$, denoted by $\operatorname{scl}(A)$ is the intersection of all semi-closed subsets of $X$ containing $A$. Dually, the semi-interior of $A$, denoted by $\operatorname{sint}(A)$ is the union of all semi-open subsets of $X$ contained in $A$.
Definition 2.2 A subset $A$ of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called $\chi$-open [18] if $A \supseteq U, U \in S G C(X) \Rightarrow \operatorname{sint}(A) \supseteq U$. The class of $\chi$-open subsets of $(X, \tau)$ is denoted by $\chi O(X)$.
Definition 2.3 [18] Let $A$ be a subset of topological space $(X, \tau)$, then we have:
(i) The union of all $\chi$-open sets contained in $A$ is called the $\chi$-interior of $A$ and is denoted by $\chi \operatorname{int}(A)$.
(ii) The intersection of all $\chi$-closed sets containing $A$ is called the $\chi$-closure of $A$ and is denoted by $\chi \operatorname{cl}(A)$.
Motivation for rough set theory has come from the need to represent subsets of an universe in terms of equivalence classes of a partition of that universe. The partition characterizes a topological space, called approximation space $K=(X, R)$, where $X$ is a set called the universe and $R$ is an equivalence relation [4]. The equivalence classes of $R$ are also known as the granules, atoms, elementary sets or blocks. We will use $R_{x} \subseteq X$ to denote the equivalence class containing $x \in X$. In the approximation space $K=(X, R)$, we consider two operators $\bar{R}(A)=\left\{x \in X: R_{x} \cap A \neq \phi\right\} \quad$ and $\underline{R}(A)=\left\{x \in X: R_{x} \subseteq A\right\}$, called the upper approximation and the lower approximation of $A \subseteq X$ respectively. Also let $\operatorname{POS}_{R}(A)=\underline{R}(A)$ denote the positive region of $A$, $N E G_{R}(A)=X-\bar{R}(A)$ denote the negative region of $A$ and $B N_{R}(A)=\bar{R}(A)-\underline{R}(A)$ denote the borderline (boundary) region of $A$.

The degree of completeness can also be characterized by the accuracy measure, in which $|A|$ represents the cardinality of a subset $A \subseteq X$ as follows:

$$
\eta_{R}(A)=\frac{|\underline{R}(A)|}{|\bar{R}(A)|}, \text { where } A \neq \phi
$$

The accuracy measure $\eta_{R}(A)$ is tried to express the degree of completeness of knowledge. Obviously $0 \leq \eta_{R}(A) \leq 1$, for every $R$ and $A \subseteq X$; if $\eta_{R}(A)=1, A$ is crisp with respect to $R$; if $\eta_{R}(A)<1, A$ is rough with respect to $R$.

If the lower and upper approximation are identical (i.e., $\bar{R}(A)=\underline{R}(A))$, then set $A$ is definable, otherwise, set $A$ is undefinable in $X$. There are four types of undefinable sets in $X$ :
(1) If $\underline{R}(A) \neq \phi$ and $\bar{R}(A) \neq X$, then $A$ is called roughly $R$-definable,
(2) If $\underline{R}(A)=\phi$ and $\bar{R}(A) \neq X$, then $A$ is called internally $R$-undefinable,
(3) If $\underline{R}(A) \neq \phi$ and $\bar{R}(A)=X$, then $A$ is called externally $R$-undefinable,
(4) If $\underline{R}(A)=\phi$ and $\bar{R}(A)=X$, then $A$ is called totally $R$-undefinable.

We denote the set of all roughly $R$-definable (resp. internally $R$-undefinable, externally $R$-undefinable and totally $R$-undefinable) sets by $R D(X)$ (resp. $I U D(X)$, $E U D(X)$ and $T U D(X))$.

## 3 Generalizations of $\chi$-open sets to $\chi$-rough sets

We introduce the following definitions:
Definition 3.1 Let $X$ be a finite non-empty universe. The pair ( $X, R_{\text {semi }}$ ) is called a semi-approximation space where $R_{\text {semi }}$ is a general binary relation used to get a subbase for a topology $\tau$ on $X$.
Definition 3.2 Let $\left(X, R_{\text {semi }}\right)$ be a semi-approximation space then semi-lower (resp semi-upper) approximation of any non-empty subset $A$ of $X$ is defined as:
(i) $\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)=\cup\{G \in S O(X): G \subseteq A\}$,
(ii) $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)=\cap\{F \in S C(X): F \supseteq A\}$.

Definition 3.3 Let $\left(X, R_{\text {semi }}\right)$ be a semi-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then there are memberships $\underline{\epsilon}, \bar{\epsilon}, \underline{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }}$, say, strong, weak, semi-strong and semi-weak memberships respectively which are defined as follows:
(1) $x \in A$ iff $x \in \underline{R}(A)$,
(2) $x \bar{\in} A$ iff $x \in \bar{R}(A)$,
(3) $x \underline{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }} A$ iff $x \in \underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(4) $x \bar{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }} A$ iff $x \in \bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$.

Definition 3.4 Let $\left(X, R_{\text {semi }}\right)$ be a semi-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. The semi-accuracy measure of $A$ defined as follows:

$$
\eta_{R_{\text {semi }}}(A)=\frac{\left|\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)\right|}{\left|\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)\right|} \text { where } A \neq \phi
$$

Definition 3.5 Let $\left(X, R_{\text {semi }}\right)$ be a semi-approximation space, the subset $A \subseteq X$ is called:
(1)_Roughly $R_{\text {semi }}$-definable, if $\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \neq \phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \neq X$,
(2) Internally $R_{\text {semi }}$-undefinable, if $\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)=\phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \neq X$,
(3) Externally $R_{\text {semi }}$-undefinable, if $\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \neq \phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)=X$,
(4) Totally $R_{\text {semi }}$-undefinable, if $\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)=\phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)=X$.

We denote the set of all roughly $R_{\text {semi }}$-definable (resp. internally $R_{\text {semi }}$-undefinable, externally $R_{\text {semi }}$-undefinable and totally $R_{\text {semi }}$-undefinable) sets by $\operatorname{SRD}(X)$ (resp. $\operatorname{SIUD}(X), \operatorname{SEUD}(X)$ and $\operatorname{STUD}(X))$.

In this section, we generalize and investigate the concept of semi-approximation space to $\chi$-approximation space. Also, we introduce the concepts of $\chi$-lower approximation and $\chi$-upper approximation and study their properties.
Definition 3.6 Let $X$ be a finite non-empty universe. The pair $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ is called a $\chi$-approximation space where $R_{\chi}$ is a general binary relation used to get a subbase for a topology $\tau$ on $X$ which generates the class $\chi O(X)$ of all $\chi$-open sets.
Example 3.1 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d\}$ be an universe and $R=\{(a, c),(a, d),(b, c),(b, d),(c, c),(c, d),(d, c),(d, d)\}$ is a binary relation defined on $X$ thus $\mathrm{a} R=\mathrm{b} R=\mathrm{c} R=d R=$ $\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$. Then the topology associated with this relation is $\tau=\{X, \phi,\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}\}$ and $\chi O(X)=\{X, \phi,\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$, $\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}\}$. So $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ is a $\chi$-approximation space.
Definition 3.7 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space then $\chi$-lower (resp $\chi$-upper) approximation of any non-empty subset $A$ of $X$ is defined as:
(i) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\cup\{G \in \chi O(X): G \subseteq A\}$,
(ii) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\cap\{F \in \chi C(X): F \supseteq A\}$.

Theorem 3.1 For any topological space ( $X, \tau$ ) generated by a binary relation $R$ on $X$, we have, $\underline{R}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \subseteq$ $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}(A)$.

## Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{R}(A)=\cup\{G \in \tau: G \subseteq A\} \subseteq \cup\{G \in S O(X): G \subseteq A\} \\
& \quad=\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \subseteq \cup\{G \in \chi O(X): G \subseteq A\}=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A, \\
& \text { i.e., } \underline{R}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A . \text { Also, } \\
& \bar{R}(A)=\cap\left\{F \in \tau^{c}: F \supseteq A\right\} \supseteq \cap\{F \in S C(X): F \supseteq A\} \\
& \quad=\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \supseteq \cap\{F \in \chi C(X): F \supseteq A\}=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \supseteq A, \\
& \text { i.e., }, \bar{R}(A) \supseteq \bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \supseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \supseteq A . \text { Consequently, } \\
& \quad \underline{R}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A) \\
& \quad \subseteq \bar{R}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.8 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. According to the relation $\operatorname{int}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{sint}(A) \subseteq$ $\chi \mathrm{i} n t(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \chi c l(A) \subseteq \operatorname{scl}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(A)$, the universe $X$ can be divided into 24 regions with respect to any $A \subseteq X$ as follows:
(1) The internal edge of $A, \operatorname{Edg}(A)=A-\underline{R}(A)$,
(2) The semi-internal edge of $A, S \underline{E d g}(A)=A-\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(3) The $\chi$-internal edge of $A, \chi \underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)=A-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(4) The external edge of $A, \overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)=\bar{R}(A)-A$,
(5) The semi-external edge of $A, S \overline{\mathrm{Edg}}(A)=\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)-A$,
(6) The $\chi$-external edge of $A, \chi \overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-A$,
(7) The boundary of $A, b(A)=\bar{R}(A)-\underline{R}(A)$,
(8) The semi-boundary of $A, \operatorname{Sb}(A)=\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)-\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(9) The $\chi$-boundary of $A, \chi b(A)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(10) The exterior of $A, \operatorname{ext}(A)=X-\bar{R}(A)$,
(11) The semi-exterior of $A, \operatorname{Sext}(A)=X-\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(12) The $\chi$-exterior of $A, \chi \operatorname{ext}(A)=X-\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(13) $\bar{R}(A)-\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(14) $\bar{R}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(15) $\bar{R}(A)-\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(16) $\bar{R}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(17) $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)-\underline{R}(A)$,
(18) $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(19) $\bar{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(20) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}(A)$,
(21) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$,
(22) $\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)-\underline{R}(A)$,
(23) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}(A)$,
(24) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\text {semi }}(A)$.

Remark 3.1 The study of $\chi$-approximation space is a generalization for the study of approximation spaces (Graph 3.1).

The elements of the regions $\left[\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}(A)\right]$ will be defined well in $A$, while those elements were undefinable in Pawlak's approximation spaces. Also, the elements of the region $\left[\bar{R}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right]$ do not belong to $A$, while these elements were not well defined in Pawlak's approximation spaces.


Fig. 1: Graph 3.1

In our study, we reduce the boundary region of $A$ in Pawlak's approximation space by $\chi$-boundary of $A$. Also, we extend exterior of $A$ which contains the elements that don't belong to $A$ by $\chi$-exterior of $A$.
Proposition 3.1 For any $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ the following hold for any $A \subseteq X$ :
(1) $b(A)=\overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup \underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)$,
(2) $\chi b(A)=\chi \overline{\mathrm{Edg}}(A) \cup \chi \underline{\mathrm{Edg}}(A)$.

Proof. (2) It follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi b(A) & =\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-A\right) \cup\left(A-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \\
& =\chi \overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup \chi \underline{\operatorname{Edg}(A)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.2 For any $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ the following hold for any $A \subseteq X$ :
(1) $\bar{R}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup \chi \underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)$,
(2) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}(A)=\chi \overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup \underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)$.

Proof.
(1) $\bar{R}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=(\bar{R}(A)-A) \cup\left(A-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$

$$
=\overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup \chi \underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) .
$$

(2) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}(A)=\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-A\right) \cup(A-\underline{R}(A))$

$$
=\chi \overline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup \underline{\mathrm{Edg}}(A) .
$$

Proposition 3.3 For any $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ the following hold for any $A \subseteq X$ :
(1) $\underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A)=\chi \underline{\operatorname{Edg}}(A) \cup\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}(A)\right)$,
(2) $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{Edg}}}(A)=\chi \overline{\overline{\mathrm{Edg}}}(A) \cup\left(\bar{R}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$.

Proof. Obvious.
Definition 3.9 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then there are memberships $\underline{E}_{\chi}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_{\chi}$, say, $\chi$ strong and $\chi$-weak memberships respectively which are defined as follows:
(1) $x \underline{\underline{~}}_{\chi} A$ iff $x \in \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(2) $x \bar{\epsilon}_{\chi} A$ iff $x \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$.

Remark 3.2 According to Definition 3.9. $\chi$-lower and $\chi$ upper approximations of a subset $A \subseteq X$ can be written as:
(1) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\left\{x \in A: x \underline{E}_{\chi} A\right\}$
(2) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\left\{x \in A: x \bar{\in}_{\chi} A\right\}$

Remark 3.3 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then we have:
(1) $x \in A \Rightarrow x \underline{\in}_{\text {semi }} A \Rightarrow x \underline{\in}_{\chi} A$,
(2) $x \bar{\epsilon}_{\chi} A \Rightarrow x \bar{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }} A \Rightarrow x \bar{\in} A$.

The converse of Remark 3.3 may not be true in general as seen in the following example.
Example 3.2 In Example 3.1. Let $A=\{\mathrm{c}\}$, we have $c \underline{\epsilon}_{\chi} A$ but $c \notin$ semi $^{A}$. Let $A=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$, we have $\underline{b} \underline{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }} A$ but $b \notin A$. Let $\quad A=\{\mathrm{b}\}$, we have $a \bar{\in} A$ but $a \bar{\nexists}_{\text {semi }} A$. Let $A$ $=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$, we have $d \bar{\epsilon}_{\text {semi }} A$ but $d \bar{\oplus}_{\chi} A$.
Definition 3.10 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. The $\chi$-accuracy measure of $A$ defined as follows:

$$
\eta_{R_{\chi}}(A)=\frac{\left|\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right|}{\left|\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right|}, \text { where } A \neq \phi .
$$

Example 3.3 Let $X=\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ be an universe and $R=\{(a, a),(b, c),(b, d),(c, b),(c, c),(c, d),(c, e),(d, b)$, $(d, c),(d, d),(d, e),(e, b),(e, c),(e, d),(e, e)\}$ is a binary relation defined on $X$ thus $\mathrm{a} R=\{\mathrm{a}\}, \mathrm{b} R=\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ and $\mathrm{c} R=$ $\mathrm{d} R=\mathrm{e} R=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$. Then the topology associated with this relation is $\tau=\{X, \phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}\}$ and $\chi O(X)=\{X, \phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$, $\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}\}$. So $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ is a $\chi$-approximation space. In this example, we can deduce the following table showing the degree of accuracy measure $\eta_{R}(A)$, semi-accuracy measure $\eta_{R_{\text {semi }}}(A)$ and $\chi$-accuracy measure $\eta_{R_{\chi}}(A)$ for some subsets of $X$.

Table 3.1 Comparison between some type of accuracy measures
and $\chi$-accuracy measure.

| $A \subseteq X$ | $\eta_{R}(A)$ | $\eta_{R_{\text {seni }}}(A)$ | $\eta_{R_{\chi}}(A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$ | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $\{\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ |
| $\{\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}\}$ | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ |
| $\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $\{\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ |
| $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}\}$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ |
| $\{\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ | $1 / 2$ | $3 / 4$ | $3 / 4$ |
| $\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ | $1 / 2$ | $3 / 4$ | $3 / 4$ |
| $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ |
| $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 2$ |

We see from Table 3.1 that the degree of exactness of the subset $A=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ by using accuracy measure equal to $50 \%$, by using semi-accuracy measure equal to $75 \%$. Also, the subset $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ by using semi-accuracy measure equal to $20 \%$ and by using $\chi$-accuracy measure equal to $50 \%$. Consequently $\chi$-accuracy measure is better than accuracy and semi-accuracy measures in this case.

We investigate $\chi$-rough equality and $\chi$-rough inclusion based on rough equality and rough inclusion which introduced by Pawlak and Novotny in [2,3].
Definition 3.11 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then we say that $A$ and $B$ are:
(i) $\chi$-roughly bottom equals $\left(A \bar{\sim}_{\chi} B\right)$ iff $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(ii) $\chi$-roughly top equals $\left(A \sim_{\chi} B\right)$ iff $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(iii) $\chi$-roughly equals $\left(A \approx_{\chi} B\right)$ iff $\left(A \bar{\sim}_{\chi} B\right)$ and $\left(A \tilde{\sim}_{\chi} B\right)$.

Example 3.4 In Example 3.2, the subsets $\{\mathrm{a}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ are $\chi$-roughly bottom equal, but $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ are $\chi$-roughly top equal.

One can easily show that $\approx_{\chi}$ is an equivalence relation on $P(X)$ (Power set of $X$ ), hence the pair $\left(P(X), \approx_{\chi}\right)$ is an
approximation space. The relation $\approx_{\chi}$ is called a $\chi$-rough equality of the $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$.
Definition 3.12 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space. We define the equivalence relation $E_{\chi}$ on the set $P(X)$ by:

$$
(A, B) \in E_{\chi} \text { if } \chi-\operatorname{int}(A)=\chi-\operatorname{int}(B) \text { and } \chi-\operatorname{cl}(A)=\chi-\operatorname{cl}(B)
$$

The equivalence relation $E_{\chi}$ is precisely the same as $\approx_{\chi}$, where $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\chi-\operatorname{int}(A)$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\chi \operatorname{cl}(A)$
Remark 3.4 For any subset $A$ of $X$, the equivalence class of the relation $\left(\approx_{\chi}\right.$ or $\left.E_{\chi}\right)$ containing $A$ is denoted by $[A]_{\approx_{\chi}}$ or $[A]_{E_{\chi}}$ and is defined as follows:

$$
[A]_{\approx_{\chi}}=\left\{D \subset X: \underline{R}_{\chi}(D)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \text { and } \bar{R}_{\chi}(D)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right\}
$$

Definition 3.13 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then we say that:
(i) $A$ is $\chi$-roughly bottom included in $B\left(\underset{\sim}{\sim_{\chi}} B\right)$ iff $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(ii) $A$ is $\chi$-roughly top included in $B\left(A \tilde{\subset}_{\chi} B\right)$ iff $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(iii) $A$ is $\chi$-roughly included in $B(A \underset{\sim}{\sim} B)$ iff $\left(A \sim_{\sim}^{\sim} B\right)$ and $\left(A \tilde{\subset}_{\chi} B\right)$.

Example 3.5 In Example 3.2. $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$ is $\chi$-roughly bottom included in $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$. Also $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}\}$ is $\chi$-roughly top included in $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$.

In the following definition we introduced a new concept of $\chi$-rough set.
Definition 3.14 For any $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$, a subset $A$ of $X$ is called:
(1) $R_{\chi}$-definable ( $\chi$-exact) if $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$ or $\chi b(A)=\phi$,
(2) $\chi$-rough if $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \neq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$ or $\chi b(A) \neq \phi$.

Example 3.6 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space as in Example 3.2. The set $\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ is $\chi$-exact while $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$ is $\chi$-rough.
Definition 3.15 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space, the subset $A \subseteq X$ is called:
(1) Roughly $R_{\chi}$-definable, if $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \neq \phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \neq X$,
(2) Internally $R_{\chi}$-undefinable, if $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \neq X$,
(3) Externally $R_{\chi}$-undefinable, if $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \neq \phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=X$,
(4) Totally $R_{\chi}$-undefinable, if $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\phi$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=X$.

We denote the set of all roughly $R_{\chi}$-definable (resp. internally $R_{\chi}$-undefinable, externally $R_{\chi}$-undefinable and totally $R_{\chi}$-undefinable) sets by $\chi R D(X)$ (resp. $\chi I U D(X)$, $\chi E U D(X)$ and $\chi T U D(X))$.
Remark 3.5 For any $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$. The following are hold:
(1) $\chi R D(X) \supseteq \operatorname{SRD}(X) \supseteq R D(X)$,
(2) $\chi I U D(X) \subseteq S I U D(X) \subseteq I U D(X)$,
(3) $\chi E U D(X) \subseteq S E U D(X) \subseteq E U D(X)$,
(4) $\chi T U D(X) \subseteq S T U D(X) \subseteq T U D(X)$.

Lemma 3.1 For any $\chi$-approximation space $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$, and for all $x, y \in X$, the condition $x \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$ and $y \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})$ implies $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$.
Proof. Since $\chi c l(\{y\})$ is a $\chi$-closed set containing $x$ while $\chi \operatorname{cl}(\{x\})$ is the smallest $\chi$-closed set containing $x$, thus $\chi \operatorname{ll}(\{x\}) \subseteq \chi \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$. Hence $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\}) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$. The opposite inclusion follows by symmetry $\chi \operatorname{cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq$ $\chi \operatorname{ll}(\{x\})$. Hence $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\}) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})$, which complete the proof.
Lemma 3.2 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space, which satisfied that, every $\chi$-open subset $A$ of $X$ is $\chi$-closed, then $y \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})$ implies $x \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$ for all $x, y \in X$.
Proof. If $x \notin \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$, then there exists a $\chi$-open set $G$ containing $x$ such that $G \cap\{y\}=\phi$ which implies that $\{y\} \subseteq(X \backslash G)$, but $(X \backslash G)$ is a $\chi$-closed set and also is a $\chi$-open set does not containing $x$, thus $(X \backslash G) \cap\{x\}=\phi$. Hence $y \notin \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})$.
Proposition 3.4 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space, and every $\chi$-open subset $A$ of $X$ is $\chi$-closed. Then the family of sets $\left\{\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\}): x \in A\right\}$ is a partition of the set $X$.
Proof. If $x, y, z \in A$ and $z \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\}) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$, then $\left.z \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})\right)$ and $z \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$. Thus by Lemma 3.2, $x \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{z\})$ and $y \in \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{z\})$ and by Lemma 3.1, we have $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{z\})$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{z\})$. Therefore $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{z\})$. Hence either $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\})=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})$ or $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\{x\}) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(\{y\})=\phi$. The proof is complete.

The following proposition investigates some properties of $\chi$-approximation spaces.
Proposition 3.5 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then we have:
(i) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(ii) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(\phi)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(\phi)=\phi, \underline{R}_{\chi}(X)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(X)=X$,
(iii) If $A \subseteq B$ then, $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$ and $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$.

## Proof.

(i) Let $x \in \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$ which means that $x \in \cup\{G \in \chi O(X), G \subseteq A\}$. Then there exists $G_{0} \in \chi O(X)$ such that $x \in G_{0} \subseteq A$. Thus $x \in A$. Hence $\underline{\underline{R}}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A$. Also, let $x \in A$ and by definition of $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\cap\{F \in \chi C(X), A \subseteq F\}$, then $x \in F$ for all $F \in \chi \mathrm{C}(X)$. Hence $A \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$.
(ii) Follows directly.
(iii) Let $x \in \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$, by definition of $\chi$-lower approximation of $A$, we have $x \in \cup\{G \in \chi O(X), G \subseteq A\}$ but $A \subseteq B$, thus $G \subseteq B$ and $x \in G$, then $x \in \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$. Also, let
$x \notin \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$ this means that $x \notin \cap\{F \in \chi C(X), B \subseteq F\}$ then, there exists $F \in \chi \mathrm{C}(X), B \subseteq F$ and $x \notin F$ which means that, there exists $F \in \chi \mathrm{C}(X), A \subseteq B \subseteq F$ and $x \notin \bar{F}$ which implies $x \notin \cap\{F \in \chi C(X), \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{F}\}$, thus $x \notin \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Therefore $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$.

Proposition 3.6 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then
(i) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)=X \backslash \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(ii) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)=X \backslash \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(iii) $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(iv) $\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$,
(v) $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$,
(vi) $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$.

## Proof.

(i) Let $x \in \underline{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)$ which is equivalent to $x \in \cup\{G \in \chi O(X), G \subseteq X \backslash A\}$. So there exists $G_{0} \in \chi O(X)$ such that $x \in G_{0} \subseteq X \backslash A$. Then there exists $G_{0}^{c}$ such that $A \subset G_{0}^{c}$ and $x \notin G_{0}^{c}, G_{0}^{c} \in \chi C(X)$. Thus, $x \notin \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$. So $x \in X \backslash \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Therefore $\underline{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)=X \backslash \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$.
(ii) Similar to (i).
(iii) Since $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\cup\{G \in \chi O(X), G \subseteq A\}$. This implies

$$
\text { that } \begin{aligned}
\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) & =\cup\left\{G \in \chi O(X), G \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq A\right\} \\
& =\cup\{G \in \chi O(X), G \subseteq A\}=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) $\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(X \backslash \underline{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)\right)=X \backslash \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)\right)$. From (i), (ii) and (iii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) & =X \backslash \underline{R}_{\chi}(X \backslash A)=X \backslash\left(X \backslash\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

(v) Since $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$ and by (iii) we have $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$, then $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$.
(iv) Since $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$ and by (iv), we have $\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$, then $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$.

Proposition 3.7 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then
(i) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A \cup B) \supseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cup \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(ii) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A \cup B)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(iii) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A \cap B)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(iv) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A \cap B) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$.

## Proof.

(i) Since we have $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$. Then $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A \cup B)$ and $\underline{R}_{\chi}(B) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A \cup B)$ by (iii) in Proposition 3.5, then

$$
\underline{R}_{\chi}(A \cup B) \supseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cup \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)
$$

(ii), (iii) and (iv) Similar to (i).

Theorem 3.3 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then the following are hold.
(i) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\mathrm{cl}(A) \cup B)=\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(ii) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{int}(A) \cap B)=\operatorname{int}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$.

## Proof.

(i) By Proposition 3.5(i) and Proposition 3.7(ii), we have $\operatorname{cl}(A) \subset \bar{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{cl}(A))$. Then $\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B) \subset$ $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{cl}(A)) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B) \subset \bar{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup B)$. On the other hand, since $\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup B \subset \operatorname{cl}(A) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$ and the union of a $\chi$-open set and a closed set is $\chi$-closed, then $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup B) \subset \bar{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{cl}(A)) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)=\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$. Therefore, $\bar{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup B)=\operatorname{cl}(A) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$.
(ii)Since the intersection of an open set $\operatorname{int}(A)$ and a $\chi$-open set $\underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$ is $\chi$-open, $\operatorname{int}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)=$ $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\operatorname{int}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)\right) \subset \underline{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{int}(A) \cap B)$. On the other hand, by using Proposition 3.7 (iii), $\underline{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{int}(A) \cap B) \subset \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\operatorname{int}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B) \subset\right.$ $\operatorname{int}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$. Therefore, $\quad \underline{R}_{\chi}(\operatorname{int}(A) \cap B)=$ $\operatorname{int}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space. Then $\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)^{c}=\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)$ for all $A \subseteq X$.
Lemma 3.4 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. If $A$ is open, then $A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(B) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A \cap B)$.
Proposition 3.8 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then
(1) $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A-B) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$,
(2) $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A-B) \supseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$.

Proof.
(1) Since $A-B=A \cap B^{c}$, then

$$
\underline{R}_{\chi}(A-B)=\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A \cap B^{c}\right)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(B^{c}\right)
$$

Thus by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{R}_{\chi}(A-B) & =\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)\right)^{c}=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B) \\
& \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A-B) \subseteq \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(B)$.
(2) Since $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)\right)^{c}$, then by Lemma 3.3, we have $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$ $\cap \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(B^{c}\right)$. Hence by Lemma 3.4, we have $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(B^{c}\right) \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(B^{c}\right)\right)$

$$
=\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A \cap\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}^{\sim}(B)\right)^{c}\right)=\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)\right)
$$

thus, $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A-B) \supseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\bar{R}_{\chi}(B)$
Definition 3.16 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space, then a subset $A$ of $X$ is said to be $\chi$-dense (resp. $\chi$-Codense) if $\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=X\left(\operatorname{resp} \cdot \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\phi\right)$.
Definition 3.17 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then $A$ is called simply residual (resp. simply
nowhere dense) if $\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)=X \quad$ or $\quad \underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\phi$ $\left(\right.$ resp. if $\left.\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\phi\right)$.
Proposition 3.9 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. If $A$ is simply nowhere dense, then $A \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\overline{\bar{R}}_{\chi}(A)\right)^{c}$.
Proof. Since $A$ is simply nowhere dense, then $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\phi$. By taken the complement for both sides. Then we have $\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)\right)^{c}=X \supseteq$ A.Therefore, $A \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)^{c}$.
Proposition 3.10 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then the sets $A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)$ and $A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$ are simply residual.
Proof. Since
$\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right)$

$$
=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)^{c}=\phi .
$$

Thus $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right)=\phi$ and hence $A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)$ is simply residual. similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) & =\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right) \cap \underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \\
& =\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\phi$ and hence $A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$ is simply residual.
Proposition 3.11 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then $\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right) \cup\left(A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\chi b(A)$.
Proof. Since $\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right) \cup\left(A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)$
$=\left[\left(\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right) \cup A^{c}\right)\right] \cap\left[\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right) \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right]$
$=\left[\left(A \cup A^{c}\right) \cap\left(A^{c} \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right)\right] \cap\left[\left(A \cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \cap\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\cup \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)\right]=\left[X \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap X\right]=\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)$
$=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)^{c}=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Then $\left(A \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right)$
$\cup\left(A^{c} \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\chi b(A)$.
Proposition 3.12 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then the boundary of simply open set is simply nowhere dense.
Proof. Let $A$ be a simply open set. Then
$A=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(\chi b(A))\right) & =\underline{R}_{\chi}\left[\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\chi}\left(\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\chi}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\chi}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right) \\
& \subseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{R}_{\chi}(A) \cap \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(A^{c}\right)=\phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A$ be a simply open set. Then $A=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(\chi b(A))\right) & =\underline{R}_{\chi}\left[\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\chi}\left(\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\chi}(\mathrm{A})-\underline{\mathrm{R}}_{\chi}(\mathrm{A})\right)\right] \\
& =\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \\
& \supseteq \bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)\right)-\bar{R}_{\chi}\left(\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)\right) \\
& =\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)-\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)=\phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\underline{R}_{\chi}\left(\bar{R}_{\chi}(\chi b(A))\right)=\phi$. Then the boundary of simply open set is simply nowhere dense.

Proposition 3.13 Let $\left(X, R_{\chi}\right)$ be a $\chi$-approximation space and $A \subseteq X$. Then $A$ is simply open set if and only if $\chi b(A)=\phi$.
Proof. Let $A$ be a simply open set. Then $A=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=$ $\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Therefore $\chi b(A)=\phi$. Conversely, if $\chi b(A)=\phi$. Therefore $A=\bar{R}_{\chi}(A)=\underline{R}_{\chi}(A)$. Thus $A$ is $\chi$-exact set and hence $A$ is simply open set.

We introduce the following example to show the importance of $\chi$-open sets.
Example 3.7 Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ be five amino acids (AAs). The (AAs) are described in terms of five attributes:
$a_{1}=$ Relative mutability, $a_{2}=$ Partition energy, $a_{3}=$ Polarity, $a_{4}=$ A periodic indices for beta-proteins, and $a_{5}=$ A parameter of charge transfer capability (cf. [20]). Table 3.2 shows all quantitative attributes of five AAs.

Table 3.2 Quantitative attributes of five amino acids.

|  | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 100 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 |
| $x_{2}$ | 20 | -1.42 | 1.48 | 1.05 | 0 |
| $x_{3}$ | 106 | 0.78 | 49.7 | 1.41 | 1 |
| $x_{4}$ | 102 | 0.83 | 49.9 | 1.4 | 1 |
| $x_{5}$ | 41 | -2.12 | 0.35 | 0.6 | 0 |

Table 3.3 Right neighborhood of five reflexive relations.

| $K$ | $x_{k} R_{1}$ | $x_{k} R_{2}$ | $x_{k} R_{3}$ | $x_{k} R_{4}$ | $x_{k} R_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $X$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $X$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $X$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $X$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $X$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

We consider five reflexive relations on $X$ defined as follow: $R_{k}=\left\{\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \in X \times X: x_{i}\left(a_{k}\right)-x_{j}\left(a_{k}\right)<\frac{\sigma_{k}}{3}\right.$, $i, j, k=1,2, \cdots, 5\}$ Where $\sigma_{k}$ represents the standard deviation of the quantitative attributes $a_{k}, k=1,2,3,4,5$. The right neighborhoods for all elements of $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ with respect to the relations
$R_{k}, k=1,2,3,4,5$ are shown in Table 3.3.
We find the intersection of all right neighborhoods of all elements $k=1,2,3,4,5$ as the following: $x_{1} R=\bigcap_{k=1}^{5}\left(x_{1} R_{k}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$,
$x_{2} R=\bigcap_{k=1}^{5}\left(x_{2} R_{k}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$,
$x_{3} R=\bigcap_{k=1}^{5}\left(x_{3} R_{k}\right)=\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$,
$x_{4} R=\bigcap_{k=1}^{5}\left(x_{4} R_{k}\right)=\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, and
$x_{5} R=\bigcap_{k=1}^{5}\left(x_{5} R_{k}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$.
Consider $\left\{x_{1} R, x_{2} R, x_{3} R, x_{4} R, x_{5} R\right\}$ as a base for a topology $\tau$ on $X$, then we have
$\tau=\left\{X, \phi,\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}\right.$,
$\left.\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}\right\}$, and $\chi O(X)=\left\{X, \phi,\left\{x_{3}\right\},\left\{x_{4}\right\}\right.$,
$\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\},\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\},\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, $\left.\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\},\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}\right\}$.

For any concept $A \subseteq X$ (collection of Amino Acid), this concept is determined by $\operatorname{int}_{\tau}(A)$ and $l_{\tau}(A)$ which defines its boundary. The accuracy increases by the decreases of the boundary region. Clearly the accuracy measure by using the suggested class of $\chi$-open sets in general is greater than the accuracy measure by using any near open sets.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we used the class of $\chi$-open sets to introduce a new type of approximations named $\chi$-approximation space. Also, by using $\chi$-approximation we can obtain 24 dissimilar granules of the universe of discourse. The class of $\chi$-open sets used in our approach is the largest granulation based on semi-open sets in topological spaces. This made the accuracy measures is higher than the use of any type of near open sets such as, semi-open sets. Some important properties of the classical Pawlak's rough sets are generalized. Also, we defined the concept of rough membership function using $\chi$-open sets. It is a generalization of classical rough membership function of Pawlak rough sets. The generalized rough membership function can be used to analyze which decision should be made according to a conditional attribute in decision information system.

The difference between our approach and the original approach is the use of the classes resulted from the general relation without any conditions as a sub-base for a general topological structure which has rich results compared with the quasi discrete topology of Pawlak in which every open sets is closed and is limited in applying recent near topological concepts in the approximation process.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improvement of their paper.

## References

[1] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, Int. J. Information Comput. Sci. 11 (5) (1982) 341-356.
[2] M. Novotny, Z. Pawlak, Characterization of rough top equalities and rough bottom equalities, Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math. 33 (1985) 92-97.
[3] M. Novotny, Z. Pawlak, On rough equalities, Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math. 33 (1985) 99-104.
[4] Z. Pawlak, Rough Sets, Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, Kluwer Academic, Boston, 1991.
[5] B. Walczak, D.L. Massart, Tutorial Rough sets theory, Chemometr. Intell. Lab. Syst. 47 (1999) 1-16.
[6] S. Calegari, D. Ciucci, Granular computing applied to ontologies, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 51 (2010) 391-409.
[7] K.Y. Huang, Ting-Hua Chang, Ting-Cheng Chang, Determination of the threshold value $\beta$ of variable precision rough set by fuzzy algorithms, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 52 (7) (2011) 1056-1072.
[8] J.J. Alpigini, J.F. Peters, A. Skowron and N. Zhong, Rough set elements, Rough sets and Current trends in compuring, $5^{\text {th }}$ Int. conf. Malvern, PA, USA, Proc. Springer (2002) 1216.
[9] J. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand Company, 1955.
[10] J.J. Li, Topological Methods on the Theory of Covering Generalized Rough Sets Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence 17 (1) (2004) 7-10.
[11] A.S. Salama, Topological solution of missing attribute values problem in incomplete information tables, Inform. Sci. 180 (2010) 631-639.
[12] Y.Y. Yao, Three-way decisions with probabilistic rough sets, Inform. Sci. 180 (3) (2010) 341-353.
[13] Y.Y. Yao, Generalized rough set models, Rough Sets in Knowledge Discovery, physica-verlag, Hidelberg, 1998, pp. 286-318.
[14] A. Wiweger, On topological rough sets, Bull. Pol. Acad. Math. 37 (1989) 89-93.
[15] H.M. Abu-Donia, A.S. Salama, Generalization of Pawlak's rough approximation spaces by using $\delta \beta$-open sets, Int. J. Approx. Reason., 53 (2012) 1094-1105.
[16] M.A. Abd Allah and A S. Nawar, $\psi^{*}$-closed sets in topological spaces, 'Wulfenia' Journal Vol. 21, No. 9 (2014), 391-401.
[17] H.M. Abu-Donia, M.A. Abd Allah and A.S. Nawar, New generalization of rough set approximations and its applications, European Journal of Scientific Research, 130 (2015), 360-375.
[18] O. Tantawy, M.A. Abd Allah and A.S. Nawar, $\chi$-closed sets in topological spaces, submitted.
[19] N.Levine "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces" Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
[20] A. Sharma, K.K. Paliwal, A. Dehzangi, J. Lyons, S. Imoto and S. Miyano, A strategy to select suitable physicochemical attributes of amino acids for protein fold Recognition, BMC Bioinformastic 2013, 14:233.


Maha A. Abd Allah received the PhD degree in Geometric topology at Faculty of Science, Minoufia University, Egypt. Her main research interests are: General Topology, Geometric Topology, Differential Geometry and Graph Theory. She has published research articles in international journals of mathematical sciences.


Ashraf Said Nawar is an Assistant Lecturer of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Minoufia University, Egypt. He received the MSc degree in Pure Mathematics from Minoufia University. His research interests are in the areas of General Topology, Fuzzy Sets and Rough Sets.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author e-mail: maha_abdelfattah14@yahoo.com

