Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences An International Journal

# Computer Construction and Enumeration of All $T_0$ and All Hyperconnected $T_0$ Topologies on Finite Sets

A. S. Farrag<sup>1</sup>, A. A. Nasef<sup>2</sup> and R. Mareay<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics and Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt
<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt

Received: 13 Dec. 2013, Revised: 21 Aug. 2015, Accepted: 22 Aug. 2015 Published online: 1 Jul. 2016

**Abstract:** There are many axioms on the principal topological spaces. Two of the interesting axioms are the  $T_0$  and hyperconnected topological spaces. There is a well-known and straightforward correspondence (cf. [2]) between the topologies on finite set  $X_n$  of n points and reflexive transitive relations (preorders) on those sets. This paper generalizes this result, characterizes the principal hyperconnected  $T_0$ -topologies on a nonempty set X and gives their number on a set  $X_n$ . It mainly describes algorithms for construction and enumeration of all weaker and strictly weaker  $T_0$  and  $nT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$ . The algorithms are written in fortran 77 and implemented on pentium II400 system.

Keywords: Principal topological spaces, preorder relations,  $T_0$ ; E, hyperconnected, hyperconnected  $T_0$ -topological spaces

#### **1** Introduction

The ultratopology on a set X is the strictly weaker topology on X than the discrete topology D on X and the infratopology on X is the strictly finer topology on X than the indiscrete topology I on X. In [6] Frohich defined the ultratopology on a set X to be a strictly weaker topology than the discrete topology D on X. The ultratopologies on X are divided into two classes the principal and nonprincipal ultratopologies on X. In [9] Mashhour and Farrag showed that the principal ultratopology on a set X topology having the minimal is the basis  $\beta_{yz} = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\} : x \in X - \{z\}\}, \text{ where } y \text{ and } z \text{ are two}$ distinct points of X and denoted by  $D_{yz}$ . In [14] Steiner defined a minimal open set in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  to be the open set containing the point x and contained in each open set containing x. The first author defined a principal topology on a set X to be the topology on Xhaving the minimal bases that consist only of open sets minimal at each  $x \in X$ . It is proved that a topology  $\tau$  on a set X is principal iff arbitrary intersections of members of  $\tau$  are members of  $\tau$ .

In [9]Mashhour et al., defined the S-open set in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  to be the open set which cannot be written as a union of distinct open sets which are

proper subsets of it. In a principal space  $(X, \tau)$  the *S*-open set and the minimal open set are identical and the *S*-basis i.e., the basis consists only of *S*-open sets is the minimal basis for  $\tau$ . In [3], Farrag gave formulas for the numbers of the topologies,hyperconnected and  $T_0$ -topologies on a finite set  $X_n$  of *n* points. In [2] Evan et al. established a correspondence between all topologies on a set  $X_n$  of *n* points and all preorders on  $X_n$ . In [7] Jason and Stephen gave the number of all preorder relations on a set $X_n$  and so the number of all topologies on  $X_n$ . In [4,5], Farrag and Sewisy described algorithms for construction and enumeration of topologies on a set  $X_n$ . Many authors deal with problem of the number of topologies on  $X_n$  as [8,13] and others. Fuzzy topological spaces and algorithms for comparison of Fuzzy sets are described by [12, 1].

#### **2** On the *T*<sup>0</sup> and hyperconnected *T*<sup>0</sup>-topologies

Throughout this paper the topology  $\tau$  on a nonempty set X is an excluding topology if there is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $p \notin \bigcup \{G : G \in \tau - \{X\}\}$ : or equivalently if X is minimal at some of its points. Such family of topologies will be denoted by E-topologies. It is a particular topology if there is a point  $p \in X$  such that

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: roshdeymareay@sci.kfs.edu.eg

 $p \in \cap \{G : G \in \tau - \{\emptyset\}\}$  in such topologies *X* may and may not be minimal at any of its points. Such family of topologies will be denoted by *p*-topologies.  $U_x$  will denote the minimal open set at the point *x* for each  $x \in X$ . We denote a hyperconnected topology by *h*-topology and write *nR* for non *R* or not *R* where *R* is  $T_0, E, h, p$  or *Eh* and *Eh* means both *E* and *h*. If *Q* is a family of topologies on a finite set  $X_n$  of *n* points, then  $N_n(Q)$  will denote the number of the *Q*-topologies on  $X_n$ . If  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X_n$ , then  $N_n(\tau)(Q)$  is the number of all weaker *Q*-topologies on  $X_n$  than  $\tau$ . **Theorem 2.1.** [5] Let  $(X, \tau)$ and  $(X, \tau^*)$  be principal spaces and  $\beta$  be the minimal basis for  $\tau$ . Then,  $\tau^*$  is strictly weaker than  $\tau$  iff there are two distinct points  $y, z \in X$  satisfying the conditions.

1) $y \notin U_z$ , 2) $z \in U_x$  and  $x \notin U_z$  imply that  $y \in U_x$ , 3) $x \in U_y$  and  $y \notin U_x$  imply that  $x \in U_z$ ,

and  $\tau^* = \tau \cap D_{yz} = \tau_{yz}$  having the minimal basis  $\beta_{yz} = \{U_x, U_y \cup U_z : U_x \in \beta - \{U_z\}\}.$ 

If we add the condition  $U_x \cup U_z \neq X$  to the conditions of Theorem 2.1, then one can obtain all strictly weaker non *E*-topologies on *X* than  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [3] The number of all topologies on a finite set  $X_n$  of *n* points is given by:

$$N_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} C_r^n N_r + N_n(nE)$$

Where  $N_0 = 1$  and  $N_r$  is the number of all topologies on a set  $X_r, n > 0$ .

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is hyperconnected [11] or irreducible [10] iff the intersection of any two nonempty open sets is nonempty.

**Theorem 2.3.**[5] A principal topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is *h* iff  $\cap \{G \in \tau : G \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$  iff  $\tau$  is a *P*-topology on *X*.

**Theorem 2.4.** [3] The number of all *h*-topologies on a set  $X_n$  of *n* points is given by:

$$N_n(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} C_r^n N_r$$

Where  $N_0 = 1$  and  $N_r$  is the number of all topologies on  $X_r$ , n > 0.

**Theorem 2.5.** A principal topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_0$  iff  $U_x \neq U_y$  iff  $x \in U_y$  implies that  $y \notin U_x$  for each two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x, y \in X$  are any two distinct points. If  $U_x \neq U_y$  then  $x \notin U_y$  or  $y \notin U_x$  which implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_0$ .

Conversely; if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_0$ , then there is an open set  $G \in \tau$  such that  $x \in G$  and  $y \notin G$  or  $y \in G$  and  $x \notin G$ . If  $x \in G$ , then  $U_x \subseteq G$  and so  $y \notin G$  implies that  $y \notin U_x$  which implies that  $U_x \neq U_y$ .

As a consequence of Theorem (2.5), if  $(X, \tau)$  is a principal  $T_0$ -topological space one can reform Theorem

(2.1) and introduce two equivalent easy but main and important results as follows:

**Theorem 2.6.** The topology  $\tau^*$  on a nonempty set *X* is a strictly weaker principal topology than a principal  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau$  on *X* iff there are two distinct points  $y, z \in X$  such that:

1) $y \notin U_z$ , 2) $z \in U_x$  implies that  $y \in U_x$ , 3) $x \in U_y$  implies that  $x \in U_z$ ,

such that  $\tau^* = \tau_{yz} = \tau \cap D_{yz}$  and its minimal basis is  $\beta_{yz} = \{U_x, U_y \cup U_z : x \in X - \{z\}\}.$ 

And we have the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** *The topology*  $\tau_{yz}$  *is*  $T_0$  *iff*  $z \notin U_y$ ,

**Corollary 2.***The topology*  $\tau_{yz}$  *is*  $nT_0$  *iff*  $z \in U_y$ *,* 

where  $U_y \in \tau$  is the minimal open set at *y*.

**Theorem 2.7.** A principal  $T_0$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is *h* iff there is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $\cap \{G \in \tau : G \neq \emptyset\} = \{p\}.$ 

**Proof.** If  $\cap \{G \in \tau : G \neq \emptyset\} = \{p\}$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is *h*. Conversely, if  $(X, \tau)$  is *h*, then by Theorem (2.3)  $\cap \{G \in \tau : G \neq \emptyset\} = U \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\tau$  is principal, then  $U \in \tau$  is the minimal open set at each of its points and  $\tau$  is  $T_0$  implies that there is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $U = \{p\}$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** The number of all  $T_0$ -topologies on a set  $X_n$  of *n* points is given by:

$$N_n(T_0) = nN_{n-1}(T_0) + N_n(nET_0)$$

where  $N_{n-1}(T_0)$  is the number of all  $T_0$ -topologies on a set of n-1 points.

**Proof.** Evidently  $(X_n, \tau)$  is not  $T_0$  if  $X_n$  is minimal at more than one of its points. So, if  $\beta$  is the minimal basis for a  $T_0$ -topology on  $X_{n-1} = X_n - \{p\}$ , where  $p \in X_n$  is any point. Then,  $\beta^* = \{U, X_n : U \in \beta\}$  is the minimal basis for a  $T_0$ -topology.  $\tau^*$  on  $X_n$  in which  $X_n$  is minimal at the point p. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.9.** The number of all  $hT_0$ -topologies on a set  $X_n$  of *n* points is:

$$N_n(hT_0) = nN_{n-1}(T_0)$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X_n$  is a set of *n* points,  $p \in X_n$  and  $X_{n-1} = X_n - \{p\}$ . If  $\beta$  is the minimal basis for a  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau$  on  $X_{n-1}$ , then  $\beta_p = \{\{p\}, U \cup \{p\} : U \in \beta\}$  is the minimal basis for an  $hT_0$ -topology  $\tau_p$  on  $X_n$  and each weaker topology than  $\tau_p$  is *h*. This completes the proof.

In [2] Evans et.al. Induced a correspondence between the reflexive transitive relations and the topologies on a set  $X_n$  of *n* points. We generalize this result to the principal topologies on any nonempty set *X* as follows: **Theorem 2.10.** Let X be a nonempty set, then there is a correspondence between the preorders and the principal topologies on X.

**Proof.** If *X* is a nonempty set,  $\tau$  is a principal topology on *X* and  $\beta = \{U_x : x \in X\}$  is the minimal basis for  $\tau$ , where  $U_x$  is the minimal open set at the point *x* for each  $x \in X$ . Define the relation  $P = \{(x,y) : y \in U_x\}$  as *x* varies on *X*. Then, (1)  $(x,x) \in P$  because  $x \in U_x$  for each  $x \in X$ . So *P* is reflexive. (2) For any points *x*, *y* and *z* of *X* if  $(x,y) \in P$ , then  $y \in U_x$  and  $(y,z) \in P$  implies that  $z \in U_y$ . Hence  $z \in U_x$  which implies  $(x,z) \in P$  and so *P* is transitive.

Conversely; if *P* is a preorder relation on *X* and  $U_x = \{y \in X : (x,y) \in P\}$  as *x* varies on *X*. Then, (1)  $\cup \{U_x; x \in X\} = X$  because *P* is reflexive (2) If *x*, *y* are any two distinct points of *X* and  $z \in U_x \cap U_y$ , then  $(x,z), (y,z) \in P$ . Now  $u \in U_z$  implies that  $(z,u) \in P$  and since *P* is transitive,  $(x,u), (y,u) \in P$ , which implies that  $U_z \subset U_x \cap U_y$ . Therefore,  $\beta = \{U_x : x \in X\}$  is a basis for a topology  $\tau$  on *X*. If  $x \in X$  and  $G \in \tau$  such that  $x \in G$ , then there is a point  $y \in X$  for which  $x \in U_y \subset G$  which implies that  $(y,x) \in P$ . Now  $z \in U_x$  implies that  $(x,z) \in P$  and since *P* is transitive, then  $(y,z) \in P$ , which implies that  $z \in U_y$  which implies that  $U_x \subseteq G$  which implies that  $z \in U_y$  which implies that  $U_x \subseteq G$  which implies that  $\tau$  is a principal topology on *X*.

**Theorem 2.11.** If *P* is the preorder relation on a nonempty set *X* corresponding to the principal topology  $\tau$  on *X*. Then, the topology  $\tau$  is:

- 1) $T_0$  iff *P* is partial.
- 2)*h* iff there is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $(x, p) \in P$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- 3)*E* iff there is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $(p, x) \in P$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- 4)*Eh* i.e both *E* and *h* iff there are two points  $p, q \in X$  such  $(p,x), (x,q) \in P$  for each  $x \in X$ .

#### **3 Proposed algorithm**

We present an algorithm in Fortran 77 for construction and enumeration of all strictly weaker and all weaker  $T_0, ET_0, nET_0, hT_0, nhT_0, EhT_0, nEnhT_0, hnET_0, nEhT_0, nEnT_0, hnT_0, nhnT_0, EhnT_0, nEnhnT_0, nEnhnT_0, nEhnT_0, nEh$ 

Suppose that  $X_n = \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$ , in the data of our program for constructing the minimal bases for topologies on  $X_n$ , we present the minimal basis  $\beta$  for a given topology  $\tau$  on  $X_n$  by an  $n \times n$  matrix [U(i, j)]. If  $U_i \in \beta$  is the minimal open set at  $i \in X_n$  then,

1)The row number *i* of this matrix [U(i, j)] represents  $U_i$  and U(i, j) of this row is such that:

$$U(i,j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j \in U_i \\ 0 & \text{if } j \notin U_i \end{cases}$$

- 2)If  $U_i = U_j$ , then the rows of the numbers *i* and *j* are coincide.
- 3)Each column *j* of the matrix has at least nonzero element that is U(j, j) for each  $j \in X_n$ .
- 4) When we say a singleton row (column) if U(i, j) = 0for each  $j \in X_n - \{i\}, (U(i, j) = 0$  for each  $i \in X_n - \{j\})$ .
- 5) The full column *j* is such that U(i, j) = j for each  $i \in X_n$ .
- 6) The full row *i* is such that U(i, j) = j for each  $j \in X_n$  in such case  $U_i = X_n$ .
- 7)The output of the program will be matrices of the mentioned properties and each of which represents a basis  $\beta^*$  for a topology  $\tau^*$  on  $X_n$  weaker than  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** [4] A topology  $\tau$  on a set  $X_n$  is h or P(E) iff the matrix which represents its minimal basis  $\beta$  for  $\tau$  has a full column (a full row).

**Theorem 3.2.** A topology  $\tau$  on a set  $X_n$  is  $T_0(nT_0)$  iff the matrix which represents its minimal basis  $\beta$  has no coincided rows (at least two coincided rows).

*Remark.* The minimal bases for the *nE*-topologies on  $X_n$  represented by the matrices which has no full rows and the minimal bases for *nh*-topologies represented by the matrices which has no full columns. In both cases for any two distinct points  $i, j \in X_n$  there is a point  $k \in X_n$  such that  $U(i,k) \neq U(j,k)$ .

*Remark.* The minimal bases for the  $hnET_0$  and  $EnhT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  can be easily obtained by obtaining the matrices representing the minimal bases for all  $T_0$ -topologies each of which has a full column and no full rows and the matrices each of which has a full row and no full columns.

*Remark*.By using Theorem (3.2) one can easily obtain the minimal bases for all  $hT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$ . This by obtaining the matrices that represent the minimal bases for all  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  each of which has a full column.

*Remark*.One can easily obtain the minimal bases for all  $ET_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  by obtaining the matrices which represent the minimal bases for all  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  each of which has a full row.

*Remark*.One can obtain the minimal bases for all  $nT_0$ -topologies ( $T_0$ -topologies) by obtaining the matrices, which has two distinct coincided (no coincide) rows using Theorem (3.2).

Remark.It should that be noted  $N_n(hT_0), N_n(nET_0)$  $N_n(ET_0)$  $N_n(nhT_0),$ ==  $N_n(EnhT_0) = N_n(hnET_0), N_n = N_n(T_0) + N_n(nT_0),$  $N_n = N_n(ET_0) + N_n(nET_0) = N_n(hT_0) + N_n(nhT_0) =$  $N_n(EhT_0)$ + $N_n(nEhT_0)$ and  $N_n(nEhT_0) = N_n(EnhT_0) + N_n(hnET_0) + N_n(nEnhT_0)$ where  $N_n$  are the number of all topologies on  $X_n$ . We have similar equations for the same classes of the  $nT_0$ -topologies.



## 3.1 Algorithm $(X_n, \beta, N)$

*Remark*. This algorithm constructs and enumerates the minimal bases for all strictly weaker  $T_0$  and  $nT_0$  topologies than a given  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau$  on  $X_n$ .

This algorithm is the algorithm in [4] and we may or may not check the conditions of Theorem (2.6) in stead of the conditions of the proposition in [4] which used in this algorithm. This is together with the checking of:

- 1)The condition of the Corollary (2.7) to obtaining the minimal bases for all strictly weaker  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  than  $\tau$ .
- 2)The condition of the Corollary (2.8) to obtaining the minimal bases for all strictly weaker  $nT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  than  $\tau$ .

#### 3.2 Algorithm

 $\begin{array}{l} (X_n, \beta(T_0), \beta_n(T_0), N_n(T_0), \beta_n(ET_0), N_n(ET_0), \beta_n(nET_0), \\ \beta_n(hT_0), N_n(hT_0), N_n(nhT_0), \beta_n(EhT_0), N_n(EhT_0), \\ \beta_n(nEnhT_0), N_n(nEnhT_0), \beta_n(nEhT_0), N_n(EhhT_0), \\ \beta_n(nhET_0), N_n(nhET_0), \beta_n(nEhT_0), N_n(nEhT_0), \\ \beta_n(nT_0), N_n(nT_0), \beta_n(EnT_0), N_n(EnT_0), \\ \beta_n(nEnT_0), N_n(nEnT_0), \beta_n(hnT_0), N_n(hnT_0), \\ \beta_n(nhnT_0), N_n(nhnT_0), \beta_n(EhnT_0), N_n(EhnT_0), \\ \beta_n(nEnhT_0), N_n(nEnhnT_0), \beta_n(EhnT_0), N_n(EhnT_0), \\ \beta_n(nEnT_0), N_n(nEnhT_0), \beta_n(nEhnT_0), N_n(nEhnT_0), \\ \beta_n(nEnT_0), N_n(nEnhT_0), \beta_n(nEhnT_0), N_n(nEhnT_0), \\ \end{array}$ 

*Remark*. This algorithm constructs and enumerates the minimal bases for all weaker  $nET_0$ -topologies and all  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  than a given  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau$  on  $X_n$ . If  $\tau$  is the discrete topology on  $X_n$  then we obtain the minimal bases for all  $T_0$  and all  $nET_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$ .

This algorithm is algorithm of [5] together with the checking of the condition of the Corollary (2.7). This leads to obtaining the minimal bases for all weaker  $T_0$  and  $nET_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  than a given topology  $\tau$  on  $X_n$ .

The minimal bases for the  $nT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  can not be obtained directly by using the algorithm of [5] and the Corollary (2.8) because the ultratopologies on  $X_n$  are all  $T_0$ . So, the algorithm of [5] to obtaining a store of the matrices, which represents the minimal bases for all weaker topologies on  $X_n$  than the given  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau$  on  $X_n$ . Then, use the condition of Theorem (3.2) to select from the store all weaker  $nT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  than  $\tau$ . Of course by using Theorem (3.2) one can also selected all weaker  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$  than  $\tau$ .

## **4** Computer experiments

In this section the proposed algorithms are demonstrated by applying them to different finite sets and bases.

*Example 1.***Input:**  $X_{10} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$  and  $\beta = \{\{1, 5, 8\}, \{2, 6\}, \{3, 9, 10\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \}$ 

 $\{6\}, \{6,7\}, \{5,8\}, \{9,10\}, \{10\}, \}$ . Where  $\beta$  is the minimal basis for a  $T_0$ -topology  $\tau$  on  $X_n$ .

Output:

(a) Using algorithm (3.1) with the Corollary (2.7) to obtain all minimal bases for the strictly weaker  $T_0$ -topology on  $X_{10}$  than the topology  $\tau$  which are 17 bases. We write the first and the end of them:  $\beta(10,7) =$ 

 $\{\{1,5,8\},\{2,6\},\{3,9,10\},\{4\},\{5\},\{6\},\{6,7,10\},\{5,8\},\{9,10\},\{10\}\}.$ 

(b) Using algorithm (3.9) with the Corollary (2.8) to obtain all minimal bases for the strictly weaker  $nT_0$ -topology than the topology  $\tau$  on  $X_{10}$  which are 4 bases. We write the end of them:

 $\beta(9, 10)$ 

 $\{\{1,5,8\},\{2,6\},\{3,9,10\},\{4\},\{5\},\{6\},\{6,7\},\{5,8\},$  $\{9,10\}\}.$ 

(c) Using algorithm of [4] to obtain the minimal bases for all strictly weaker topologies on  $X_{10}$  than the topology  $\tau$  on  $X_{10}$  which are 21 bases. These are just the union of the  $T_0$  and non  $T_0$ -topologies obtained in (a) and(b).

*Example 2.***Input**: 
$$X_6 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{B} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}.$ 

Output:

(a) Using algorithm (3.10) with the condition of the Corollary (2.7) to obtain the minimal bases for all nondiscrete  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$ . These  $T_0$ -topologies will also be divided into nine classes of E, nE, h, nh, Eh, nEnh, Enh, hnE and nEh-topologies. We write the number of each class and some of each of which.

i)The number of all  $T_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(T_0) = 130023$  and:  $\beta(130022) = 130023$ 

 $\{X_6, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$ 

ii)The number of all  $ET_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(ET_0) = 25386$  and:

\_

\_

 $\beta$ (25386) { $X_6, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$ 

iii)The number of all nondiscrete  $nET_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$ is  $N_6(nET_0) = 104637$  and:

 $\beta(104636) = \{X_6 - \{2\}, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$ iv)The number of all *T*<sub>0</sub>-topologies on *X*<sub>6</sub> is  $N_6(hT_0) = 25386$  and:

$$\beta(25386) =$$

 $\{X_6 - \{2\}, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$ v)The number of all *nhT*<sub>0</sub>-topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nhT_0) = 104637$  and:

 $\beta(104636)$ 

 $\{X_6, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}.$ 

vi)The number of all  $hnET_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(hnET_0) = 18816$  and:

 $\beta(18816) = \{X_6 - \{2\}, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$ vii)The number of all *EnhT*<sub>0</sub>-topologies on *X*<sub>6</sub> is  $N_6(EnhT_0) = 18816$  and:

 $\beta(18816)$ 

$${X_6, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5\}, \{6\}}$$

viii) The number of all 
$$nEnhT_0$$
-topologies on  $X_6$  is

 $N_6(nEnhT_0) = 85821$  and:  $\beta(85820) =$ 

$$\{X_6 - \{2\}, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}.$$

ix)The number of all  $EhT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(EhT_0) = 6750$  and:  $\beta(6570) =$ 

$$\{X_6, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$$

x)The number of all  $nEhT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nEhT_0) = 123452$  and:  $\beta(123452) =$ 

$$\{X_6, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}\}.$$

(b) Using algorithm (3.10) and the condition of Theorem (3.2) to obtain the minimal bases for all  $nT_0$ -toologies on  $X_7$ . These  $nT_0$ -topologies will also divided into nine classes of E, nE, h, nh, Eh,

*nEnh*, *Enh*, *hnE* and *nEh*-topologies. We write the number of each class and the end of each of which.

i)The number of all  $nT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nT_0) =$  79504 and:

 $\beta(79503) = \{\{1,3,4,5\}, X_6, \{3,4,5\}, \{4,5\}, \{5\}\}.$ 

ii)The number of all  $EnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(EnT_0) = 22238$  and:

 $\beta(22237) = \{\{1,3,4,5\}, X_6, \{3,4,5\}, \{4,5\}, \{5\}\}.$ 

- iii)The number of all  $nEnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nEnT_0) = 57266$  and:  $\beta(57266) =$ 
  - $\{X_6 \{2\}, X_6 \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5\}, \{5\}\}.$
- iv)The number of all  $hnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(hnT_0) = 22238$  and:
  - $\beta(22237) = \{\{1,3,4,5\}, X_6, \{3,4,5\}, \{4,5\}, \{5\}\}.$
- v)The number of all  $nhnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nhnT_0) = 57266$  and:

 $\beta(57266) = \{\{1,3,4,5\}, X_6, \{3,4,5\}, \{4\}, \{5\}\}.$ 

- vi)The number of all  $EhnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(EhnT_0) = 8643$  and:
  - $\beta(8642) = \{\{1,3,4,5\}, X_6, \{3,4,5\}, \{4,5\}, \{5\}\}.$
- vii)The number of all  $nEnhnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nEnhnT_0) = 43671$  and:
  - $\beta(43671)$

$${X_6 - \{2\}, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{4\}, \{5\}}.$$

viii)The number of all  $EnhnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(EnhnT_0) = 13595$  and:

 $\beta(13595) = \{\{1,3,4,5\}, X_6, \{3,4,5\}, \{4\}, \{5\}\}.$ 

ix)The number of all  $hnEnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(hnEnT_0) = 13595$  and:  $\beta(13595) = 13595$ 

 $\{X_6 - \{2\}, X_6 - \{1\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5\}, \{5\}\}.$ 

- x)The number of all  $nEhnT_0$ -topologies on  $X_6$  is  $N_6(nEhnT_0) = 70861$  and:  $\beta(70861) =$ 
  - $\{X_6 \{2\}, X_6 \{1\}, \{3,4,5\}, \{4,5,6\}, \{4,5\}, \{5\}\}.$

## **5** Conclusion

\_

There is a well-known correspondence between the topologies on finite set  $X_n$  of n points and preorders relations on those sets. For our approach, we characterized the principal hyperconnected  $T_0$ -topologies on a nonempty set X. We established an algorithms in Fortran 77 for construction and enumeration of all strictly weaker and all weaker  $T_0$  and  $nT_0$ -topologies on  $X_n$ . We applied these algorithms to different finite sets and bases.

## References

- [1] Y. Chen, Fuzzy Sets and System 84, 97-102 (1996).
- [2] J.W Evans, F. Harry and M.S Lynn, Commur ACM 10, 295-297 (1967).
- [3] A.S Farrag, On S- topological spaces, Ph.D. Thesis Assiut Unv., (1983).
- [4] A.S Farrg and A.A Sewisy, IJCM. 72, 433-440 (1999).
- [5] A.S Farrg and A.A Sewisy, IJCM. 74, 471-482 (2000).
- [6] O. Frohlich, Math. Ann. 156, 76-95 (1964).
- [7] I. Jason Brown and Stephen Watson, Discrete Mathematics, 15427-1539 (1996).
- [8] V. Krischnamurthy, Amer.Math. Monthly 3, 154-157 (1966).
- [9] A.S Mashhour and A.S Farrag, "Simple topological space", In 14<sup>th</sup> An.Conf. In Stat. Comp. Sci. Res. Math., Cairo University (1979),78-85.
- [10] A.A Nabiha and A.G Naoum, "On maximal irreducible space", Bull. Coll. Sci. (Baghdad), no.2, 17(1976), 477-490.
- [11] T. Noiri, Math. Appl. 24, 182-190 (1979).
- [12] A.A Ramadan, Fuzzy Sets and System 48, 371-375 (1992).
- [13] H.R Warren, Houston Journal of Mathematics **8**(2), 297-301 (1982).
- [14] A.K Steiner, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122, 379-398 (1966).



Α. S. Farrag He (co-topological spaces) has B.Sc. special degree mathematics from in University 1966 Assuit with excellent and honors degree, and received M.Sc. and Ph.D. both in the general topology from Assuit University, Assuit in 1978

(co-topological spaces) and 1983 (co-topological spaces) respectively. He has published abut nine papers on the strictly weaker topologies on any set, the number of the topologies and the number of the open sets of the topologies on a finite set. He worked in different universities like Assuit, United Emerates, Elfaum branch of Cairo University and now he is a prof. in Sohag University. He has some interesting books but not published in Mathematics.





A. A. Nasef is a professor and Head Physics & Mathematics Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt. He received his B.SC. degree in mathematics (1976), M.Sc. degree in (1989) and Ph.D in (1992) from Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Egypt. His

research interests are: Topological Ideals, multifunctions,

theory of generalized closed sets, bitopology, Fuzzy topology, theory of rough sets and digital topology. In these areas, he published over 100 technical papers in referred international journals or conference proceedings.



R. Mareay is a lecturer of pure mathematics at department of mathematics, faculty of science. Kafrelsheish University. His PhD thesis from Ain Ashams university. His principle research interests lie at general topology. He is also interested in the applications

of Topology in computer science, soft set theory, rough set theory and fuzzy set theory.