Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability

411

# The Martingale Method for Probability of Ultimate Ruin Under Quota - $(\alpha, \beta)$ Reinsurance Model

Bui Khoi Dam<sup>1</sup> and Nguyen Quang Chung<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Applied Mathematics and Informatics School- Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Viet Nam.
<sup>2</sup> Department of Basic Sciences, Hungyen University of Technology and Education, Viet Nam.

Received: 16 Jun. 2016, Revised: 4 Sep. 2016, Accepted: 6 Sep. 2016 Published online: 1 Nov. 2016

**Abstract:** In this paper, we consider a quota- $(\alpha, \beta)$  reinsurance model in discrete times with assumption that claim sequence  $X = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ , premium sequence  $Y = \{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  are sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. Furthermore, the sequences  $X = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}, Y = \{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  are assumed to be independent. By martingale method we obtain some inequalities for ruin probability of the insurance company, ruin probability of the reinsurance company and joint ruin probability. Finally some numerical illustrations are given.

Keywords: Quota reinsurance, ruin probability, joint ruin probability, division ratio, Lundberg's inequality, supermartingale.

#### **1** Introduction

Ruin probability is a main area in risk theory. Appeared in 1903 in the doctoral dissertation of Lundberg ,F [12]. This topic, also of interest to many authors, appeared in the research of many mathematicians, see [1,3,11,13,14,16] where upper bounds were estimated for ruin probability having exponential form.

Martingale approach is used to estimate the ruin probability for such models as: i) the classical risk models, ii) the models of claim sizes and premiums with sequences of m-dependent random variables, iii) the modes of interest rates which can be found in the paper [4, 5, 18, 19, 7, 8].

Besides finding methods to show the ruin probability, the authors also build risk models to reflect the insurance business increasingly more realistic. One of the models is Quota reinsurance, presented in the works, see [2, 10, 9].

In this paper, we investigate a Quota reinsurance model in discrete time that we shall call it Quota -  $(\alpha, \beta)$  reinsurance or Quota - $(\alpha, \beta)$  share contract. It is a contract where the share proportion of the premium is different from that of the claim, defined as follows:

The quota -  $(\alpha, \beta)$  reinsurance or quota -  $(\alpha, \beta)$  share contract is a contract between the insurance company and the reinsurer to share premium  $Y = \{Y_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  with a fixed proportion  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Where  $Y_i$  is the premium at the end of the *i*-period, i = 1, 2, ... (paid by insured to the insurance company) and losses (claim sizes)  $X = \{X_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  with a fixed proportion  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ . Where  $X_i$  is the loss at the end of the *i*-period, i = 1, 2, ... (claimed by the insured).

Premium  $Y_i$ :  $\alpha Y_i$  kept by the cedant;  $(1 - \alpha)Y_i$  transferred to the reinsurer.

Claim loss  $X_i$ :  $\beta X_i$  paid by the cedant;  $(1 - \beta)X_i$  paid by the reinsurer.

 $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  are called *division ratios*.

When the surplus process of the insurance company is a sequence of random variables  $\{U_n\}_{n>1}$  defined by:

$$U_n = u + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$
 (1.1)

where u denote the insurance company's initial capital.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: chungkhcb@utehy.edu.vn

 $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \left(U_i \leq 0\right)\right] \text{ is called$ *probability of ruin within finite time t* $, denoted by <math>\psi^{(1)}(u,t)$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{u},t) = \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \big(\boldsymbol{U}_i \le 0\big)\bigg],\tag{1.2}$$

 $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_i \leq 0)\right]$  is *probability of ultimate ruin*, denoted by  $\psi^{(1)}(u)$  and defined as:

$$\Psi^{(1)}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(U_i \le 0\right)\right].$$
(1.3)

Similarly, we define the surplus process of the reinsurance company, the probability of ruin within finite time t and the probability ultimate ruin, respectively, by  $\{V_n\}_{n\geq 1}, \psi^{(2)}(v,t), \psi^{(2)}(v)$ :

$$V_n = v + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$
(1.4)

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{v},t) = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \left(V_i \le 0\right)\right],\tag{1.5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(V_i \le 0\right)\bigg],\tag{1.6}$$

where *v* denote the reinsurance company's initial capital. Evidently:  $\lim_{t \to w} \psi^{(1)}(u,t) = \psi^{(1)}(u); \lim_{t \to w} \psi^{(2)}(v,t) = \psi^{(2)}(v).$ 

In the classic model, see [2, 10] where  $\alpha, \beta$  are considered equal. In this paper we investigate genralized model with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are any constant in [0, 1] satisfying condition (1.9).

Recently, some authors have studied the joint ruin probability of insurance and reinsurance companies, see [15,9,20]. We define the finite time *t* and ultimate joint ruin probabilities, respectively, by  $\psi(u, v, t), \psi(u, v)$ :

$$\Psi(u,v,t) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \left[ \left(U_i \le 0\right) \left(V_i \le 0\right) \right] \right\}$$
(1.7)

$$\Psi(u,v) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left(U_i \le 0\right) \left(V_i \le 0\right) \right] \right\}$$
(1.8)

and we have  $\lim_{t \to 0} \psi(u, v, t) = \psi(u, v)$ .

In this paper, we consider premium calculation principle based on the expected value principle. Hence, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}(X_1) < \mathbb{E}(Y_1) \\ \beta \mathbb{E}(X_1) < \alpha \mathbb{E}(Y_1) \\ (1-\beta)\mathbb{E}(X_1) < (1-\alpha)\mathbb{E}(Y_1) \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}(X_1) < \mathbb{E}(Y_1) \\ \beta \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1)}{\mathbb{E}(Y_1)} < \alpha < \beta \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1)}{\mathbb{E}(Y_1)} + 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1)}{\mathbb{E}(Y_1)} \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

This condition allows us to calculate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ .

In the next, we shall work with the models (1.1) and (1.4) under the following assumptions:

**Assumption 1.1.** Initial capitals u > 0, v > 0.

Assumption 1.2. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  satisfy condition (1.9).

Assumption 1.3. Let  $X = (X_i)_{i \ge 1}$  and  $Y = (Y_i)_{i \ge 1}$  be two sequences of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d), nonnegative random variables defined on the same probability space,  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Furthermore,  $(X_i)_{i \ge 1}$  and  $(Y_i)_{i \ge 1}$  are assumed to be independents.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, first we show Lemma 2.1 about existence and uniqueness of an adjustment coefficient, Lemma 2.2 is used to prove  $\{Z_n = exp(S_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$  to be supermatingale, Lemma 2.3 is maximal inequality for nonnegative supermartingale. Theorem 2.1 will present probability inequality of the insurance company. Similarly to Section 2, Section 3 is dedicated to show probability inequality of the reinsurance company and to derive some probability inequalities for the joint ruin probability. Finally, a numerical example is given to illustrate  $\psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v), \psi(u, v)$  in Section 4.

#### 2 Probability inequalities for ruin probability of the insurance company

Firstly, we present three lemmas, which are necessary for the proof of our main result in Theorem (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that

$$\alpha \mathbb{E}(Y_1) > \beta \mathbb{E}(X_1) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}\big[(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1) > 0\big] > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

then there exists a positive constant  $R_0 > 0$  which is the unique root of the equation

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)\right]\right\} = 1.$$
(2.2)

For all  $0 < R_1 \leq R_0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_1(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)\right]\right\} \le 1.$$
(2.3)

*Proof.* Consider the function  $g(R) = \mathbb{E} \{ exp[R(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)] \} - 1$  for  $R \in [0, \infty)$ . We have

$$g(0) = 0,$$
  

$$g'(R) = \mathbb{E} \{ (\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1) exp [R(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)] \},$$

By assumption of Lemma 2.1 then  $g'(0) = \mathbb{E}(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1) < 0$ . Thus, the function g(R) is decreasing at 0. Further, any turning point of the function is a minimum since

$$g''(R) = \mathbb{E}\left\{ (\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)^2 exp[R(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)] \right\} > 0.$$

By  $\mathbb{P}(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1 > 0) > 0$ , we can find some constant  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1 > \delta_1) > 0$ . We use the property that satisfying if *Z* is random variables satisfying  $Z \ge 0$  then  $Z \ge Z.1_A$  with any  $A \in \mathscr{F}$ 

$$g(R) \geq \mathbb{E}\left\{exp\left[R(\beta X_{1} - \alpha Y_{1})\right] \cdot 1_{(\beta X_{1} - \alpha Y_{1} > \delta_{1})}\right\} - 1$$
  

$$\geq \mathbb{E}\left[exp(R, \delta_{1}) \cdot 1_{(\beta X_{1} - \alpha Y_{1} > \delta_{1})}\right] - 1$$
  

$$= exp(R, \delta_{1}) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[1_{(\beta X_{1} - \alpha Y_{1} > \delta_{1})}\right] - 1$$
  

$$= exp(R, \delta_{1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\beta X_{1} - \alpha Y_{1} > \delta_{1}\right) - 1$$
(2.4)

The right- hand of (2.4)  $\rightarrow \infty$  when  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} g(R) = \infty$ .

Consequently, there exists a unique positive root of the equation (2.2), denoted by  $R_0$ . Since, g(R) is a convex function with  $R \in [0, \infty)$  and g(0) = 0,  $g(R_0) = 0$ . If  $0 < R_1 \le R_0$  then  $g(R_1) \le 0$  which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_1(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)\right]\right\} \leq 1$$

The Lemma 2.1 has been proven.

**Lemma 2.2.** (see, [6] page 201) In the probabilistic space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , let  $U = (U_1, U_2, ..., U_m), V = (V_1, V_2, ..., V_n)$  be random vectors, independent of each other, and f be a Borel's function on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $|\mathbb{E}f(U, V)| \leq \infty$ . If  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the function g is defined by

$$g(u) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}f(u, V) & \text{if } |\mathbb{E}f(u, V)| \le \infty \\ 0 & \text{other} \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

then g is Borel's function on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $g(U) = \mathbb{E}[f(U,V)|\sigma(U)]$ , where  $\sigma(U)$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by vector U. In other words, under the assumption we can compute  $\mathbb{E}[f(U,V)|\sigma(U)]$  as if U was a constant vector.

**Lemma 2.3.** (see, [17] page 493) Let  $Y = (Y_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a nonnegative supermartingale. Then for all  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \le n} Y_k \ge \lambda\right\} \le \mathbb{E}Y_0,\tag{2.6}$$

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{k\geq n} Y_k \geq \lambda\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}Y_n.$$
(2.7)

The following theorem will give upper bounds for the ruin probability of the insurance company. We put:

$$S_n = \beta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$
 (2.8)

**Theorem 2.1.** We consider model (1.1) such that:

i) The assumptions 1.1-1.3 are satisfied;

*ii) The conditions of Lemma* (2.1) *are also satisfied.* 

Then:

a)  $\{Z_n = exp(R_1S_n)\}_{n \ge 1}$  is a supermartingale;

b) The ruin probability of the insurance company within finite time  $\psi^{(1)}(u,t) \leq exp(-R_1u)$ ;

c) The ultimate ruin probability of the insurance company  $\psi^{(1)}(u) \leq \exp(-R_1 u)$ .

*Proof.* It follows immediately from result of Lemma 2.1 that there exists a constant  $R_1$  ( $0 < R_1 \le R_0$ ), such that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp[R_1(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)]\right\} \le 1.$$
(2.9)

We have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1}|Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_n] = \mathbb{E}\{exp[R_1(\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i)]|Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_n\}$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}\{Z_n exp[R_1(\beta X_{n+1} - \alpha Y_{n+1})]|Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_n\}$   
=  $Z_n \mathbb{E}\{exp[R_1(\beta X_{n+1} - \alpha Y_{n+1})]|Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_n\}.$  (2.10)

We now apply the Lemma 2.2 for the case

$$f(U,V) = exp[R_1(\beta X_{n+1} - \alpha Y_{n+1})]$$
  

$$U = (X_1, ..., X_n, Y_1, ..., Y_n),$$
  

$$V = (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}),$$

where U, V are mutually independent. Corresponding to the value

$$(X_1 = x_1, ..., X_n = x_n, Y_1 = y_1, ..., Y_n = y_n),$$
  
 $u = (x_1, ..., x_n, y_1, ..., y_n),$ 

we consider

$$g(u) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_1(\beta X_{n+1} - \alpha Y_{n+1})\right]\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_1(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)\right]\right\},\$$

by assumption

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_1(\beta X_1-\alpha Y_1)\right]\right\}\leq 1,$$

consequently

$$g(U) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_1(\beta X_{n+1} - \alpha Y_{n+1})\right] | X_1, ..., X_n, Y_1, ..., Y_n\right\} \le 1.$$

In the other hand

$$g(U) = \mathbb{E}\left\{ exp\left[ R_1(\beta X_{n+1} - \alpha Y_{n+1}) \right] | Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n \right\} \le 1.$$
(2.11)

Combining (2.10) and (2.11) imply that

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_{n+1}|Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n) \le Z_n \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

$$(2.12)$$

So  $\{Z_n\}_{n\geq 1} = \{exp(R_1S_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$  is non-negative supermartingale. In addition,

$$\psi^{(1)}(u,t) = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{t} (S_n \ge u)\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \le k \le t} (S_k) \ge u\right] = \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{1 \le k \le t} \left[exp(R_1S_k)\right] \ge exp(R_1u)\right\}.$$
(2.13)

Applying Lemma 2.3 for non-negative supermatingale  $\{Z_n\}_{n\geq 1} = \{exp(R_1S_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ , we have:

$$\psi^{(1)}(u,t) \le \{exp(-R_1u).\mathbb{E}(Z_1)\} = \{exp(-R_1u).\mathbb{E}(exp[R_1(\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1)])\} \le exp(-R_1u).$$
(2.14)

By letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.14). Thus,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \psi^{(1)}(u,t) \le \lim_{t \to \infty} \left( exp(-R_1 u) \right) \Leftrightarrow \psi^{(1)}(u) \le exp(-R_1 u).$$
(2.15)

This completes the proof.

#### Remark 2.1:

–Our result seems to be new even is the case of clasical model when  $\alpha = \beta$ ;

-With  $0 < R_1 \le R_0$  then the right-hand side of (2.14) and (2.15) are the smallest when  $R_1 = R_0$ .

Similar to the Section 2, we derive inequality for ruin probability of the reinsurance company in the Section 3 following.

#### **3** Probability inequalities for ruin probability of the reinsurance company

We put:

$$S'_{n} = (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} - (1 - \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}.$$
(3.1)

We need Lemma 3.1 for the proof of Theorem 3.1 below.

Lemma 3.1. Under the conditions

$$(1-\alpha)\mathbb{E}(Y_1) > (1-\beta)\mathbb{E}(X_1) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}\{[(1-\beta)X_1 - (1-\alpha)Y_1] > 0\} > 0,$$
(3.2)

then there exists a positive constant  $R'_0 > 0$  which is the unique root of the equation

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R\left((1-\beta)X_1-(1-\alpha)Y_1\right)\right]\right\}=1.$$
(3.3)

For all  $0 < R_2 \leq R'_0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[R_{2}\left((1-\beta)X_{1}-(1-\alpha)Y_{1}\right)\right]\right\}\leq1.$$
(3.4)

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is similar as Lemma 2.1.

Now we state theorem below for the case of reinsurance company.

**Theorem 3.1.** We consider model (1.4) such that:

*i)* The assumptions 1.1-1.3 are satisfied;

*ii) The conditions of Lemma* (3.1) *are also satisfied.* 

Then:

a)  $\{Z'_n = exp(R_2S'_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a supermartingale;

b) The ruin probability of the reinsurance company within finite time  $\psi^{(2)}(v,t) \leq \exp(-R_2 v)$ ;

*c)* The ultimate ruin probability of the reinsurance company  $\psi^{(2)}(v) \leq exp(-R_2v)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1. **Remark 3.1** 

With assumptions of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.1 we have the probability inequality for ultimate joint ruin probability. Indeed:

$$\Psi(u,v) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_i \le 0) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (V_i \le 0)\right)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_i \le 0)\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (V_i \le 0)\right)$$
$$\leq exp(-R_1u) + exp(-R_2v).$$
(3.5)

The inequality (3.5) is corollary of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1.

#### **4** Numerical illustrations

In this Section, we provide two numerical illustration examples for the probability inequality of  $\psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v)$  and  $\psi(u, v)$ , with  $\alpha = 0.4900, \beta = 0.5000$ . **Example 4.1** 

Let  $Y = {Y_n}_{n>0}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, with  $Y_1$  having a distribution:

| <i>Y</i> <sub>1</sub> | 1      | 4      |  |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--|
| $\mathbb{P}$          | 0.5500 | 0.4500 |  |

Let  $X = \{X_n\}_{n>0}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, with  $X_1$  having distribution:

| $X_1$        | 2      | 3      |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| $\mathbb{P}$ | 0.7000 | 0.3000 |

When  $\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1$  having distribution:

| $\beta X_1 - \alpha Y_1$ | 0.5100 | 1.0100 | -0.9600 | -0.4600 |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| $\mathbb{P}$             | 0.3850 | 0.1650 | 0.3150  | 0.1350  |

To (2.2) we have the equation :

$$exp(r*0.5100)*0.3850 + exp(r*1.0100)*0.1650 + exp(-r*0.9600)*0.3150 + exp(-r*0.4600)*0.1350 = 1.$$
 (4.1)

By Maple the equation (4.1) has a roof  $R_0 = 0.0051$ . Similarly, we have distribution of  $(1 - \beta)X_1 - (1 - \alpha)Y_1$ 

| $(1-\beta)X_1-(1-\alpha)Y_1$ | 0.4900 | 0.9900 | -1.0400 | -0.5400 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| $\mathbb{P}$                 | 0.3850 | 0.1650 | 0.3150  | 0.1350  |

To the equation (3.3) gives the equation:

$$exp(r*0.4900)*0.3850 + exp(r*0.9900)*0.1650 + exp(-r*1.0400)*0.3150 + exp(-r*0.5400)*0.1350 = 1.$$
(4.2)

By Maple we find  $R'_0 = 0.1548$  is roof of the equation (4.2).

The following table shows upper bounds  $\psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v), \psi(u, v)$  for a range of value of u, v:

**Table 1:** Upper bounds of  $\psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v)$  and  $\psi(u, v)$  with  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  are discrete random variables.

| <i>(u,v)</i>     | $\psi^{(1)}(u)$ | $\psi^{(2)}(v)$ | $\psi(u,v)$ |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| u=30; v=30       | 0.8581          | 0.0096          | 0.8677      |
| u = 1550; v = 50 | 0.0004          | 0.0004          | 0.0008      |
| u = 70; v = 70   | 0.6998          | 0.0000          | 0.6998      |

#### Example 4.2

Let  $Y = {Y_n}_{n>0}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, with  $Y_1$  is constant c = 1.1.  $X = {X_n}_{n>0}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, with  $X_1$  has the exponential distribution:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda exp(-\lambda x) & \text{if } x > 0\\ 0 & \text{other } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

where  $\lambda = 1$ . f(x) is density function of  $X_1$ 

To condition of (2.2), we have equation

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} exp[r(0.5000x - 0.4900 * 1.1000) - x]dx = 1$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow exp(-r * 0.5390) - 1 + r * 0.5000 = 0.$$
(4.4)

Use of Maple software, we find a solution  $R_0 = 0.5927$  of (4.4).

Similarly, to condition of (3.3), we have equation

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} exp[r(0.5000x - 0.5100 * 1.1000) - x]dx = 1$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow exp(-r * 0.5610) - 1 + r * 0.5000 = 0.$$
(4.5)

and find  $R'_0 = 0.4185$ .

When upper bounds  $\psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v), \psi(u, v)$  for a range of value of u, v.

**Table 2:** Upper bounds of  $\psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v)$  and  $\psi(u, v)$  with  $Y_1 = c$  and  $X_1$  is continuous random variable.

| (u,v)               | $\pmb{\psi}^{(1)}(u)$ | $\psi^{(2)}(v)$ | $\psi(u,v)$ |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| u = 8; v = 8        | 0.0087                | 0.0352          | 0.0439      |
| u = 10; v = 14.1326 | 0.0027                | 0.0027          | 0.0054      |
| u = 15; v = 15      | 0.0001                | 0.0019          | 0.0020      |

## **5** Conclusions

This paper constructed upper bounds for  $\psi^{(1)}(u,t), \psi^{(1)}(u), \psi^{(2)}(v,t)$ , and  $\psi^{(2)}(v)$  in model (1.1) and (1.4) by the martingale method. Our main results in this paper not olny prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 but also give numerical examples to illustrate for Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1.



## Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee and the editors for their careful reading of the article, correction of errors, improvenment of the written language, and valuable suggestions, which made this article much better.

## References

- [1] Asmussen, S., Ruin probabilities, World Scientific, Singapore, (2000).
- [2] Borch, K., The Optimal Reinsurance Treaty, ASTIN BULLETIN, 5, 293-297, (1969).
- [3] Buhlman, H., Mathematical Methods in Risk Theory, Berlin Heidelberg New York Springer, (1970).
- [4] Cai, J., Discrete time risk models under rates of interest, Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences. **16**, 309–324, (2002).
- [5] Cai, J. and Dickson, D. C M., Upper bounds for Ultimate Ruin Probabilities in the Sparre Andersen Model with Interest, Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 32, 61 - 71, (2003).
- [6] Chow, Y. S. and Teicher, H., Probability Theory, Berlin Heidelberg New York Springer Verlag, (1978).
- [7] Dam, B. K. and Hoang, N. H., Evaluate ruin probabilities for risk processes with dependent increments, Vietnam Journal of Mathematical Applications, Vol.VI, No.1, 93-104, (2008).
- [8] Dam, B. K. and Hoang, N. H., Upper bounds of ruin probabilities for discrete time risk models with dependent variables, Vietnam Journal of Mathematical Applications, **Vol. VI**, No. 2, 49-64, (2008).
- [9] Dam, B.K. and Chung, N.Q., The Joint Ruin Probability in Risk Model Under Quota Reinsurance and Excess of Loss Reinsurance, (submitted to East Asian Journal on Applied Mathematics, 2015).
- [10] Dickson, D.C.M., Insurance Risk and Ruin, Cambridge University Press, (2006).
- [11] Embrechts, P., Kluppelberg, C. and Mikosch, T., Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance, Springer, Berlin, (1997).
- [12] Lundberg, F., I. Approximerad Framstilling av SannolikhetsfunktionenFirst. II. Aterforsakring av Kollektivrisker, Almquist & Wiksell, Uppsale, (1903).
- [13] Paulsel, J., On Cramer like asymptotics for risk processes with stochastic return on investment, The Annals of Applied Probability, **Vol.12**, N0. 4, 1247 1260, (2002).
- [14] Paulsel, J., Ruin models with investement income, arXiv:0806.4125v1(math. PR) 25 Jun 2008, (2008).
- [15] Sancho, S. S., Leo, C. C., Merc, C., Ana, C. and Maite, M., Effectively Tackling Reinsurance Problems by Using Evolutionary and Swarm Intelligence Algorithms, Risks, 2, 132-145, (2014).
- [16] Schmidt, K.D., Lectures on Risk Theory. Technische Universität Dresden, (1995).
- [17] Shiryaev, A. N., Probability, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, (1996).
- [18] Sundt, B. and Teugels, J.L., Ruin estimates under interest force, Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 16, 7 22, (1995).
- [19] Sundt, B. and Teugels, J.L., The adjustment function in ruin estimates under interest force. Insurance Mathematics and Economics **19**, 85–94, (1997).
- [20] Kam, C.Y., Junyi, G., Xueyuan, W., On the first time of ruin in the bivariate compound Poisson model, Insurance: Mathematics and Economics **38**, 298-308, (2006).



**Bui Khoi Dam** is Professor of Mathematical at Applied Mathematics and Informatics School, Hanoi University of Science and Technology. He is referee and editor of several international journals in the frame of pure and applied mathematics, applied economics. His main research interests are: Stochastic processes, Renewal theory, Game theory, Risk theory, and Applied economics.





**Nguyen Quang Chung** is a lecturer at Hungyen University of Technology and Education, Vietnam. His main research interests are: Probability and mathematical Statistics, Risk theory and Mathematical economics.