

Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences An International Journal

# A Controlled Contraction Principle in Partial S-Metric Spaces

Kamaleldin Abodayeh\*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, 11586, Saudi Arabia

Received: 22 Dec. 2014, Revised: 15 Sep. 2015, Accepted: 18 Sep. 2015 Published online: 1 May 2016

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the notion of a partially  $\alpha$ -contractive self mapping and prove the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for such mapping. Our results improve and generalize many results in S-metric spaces,

Keywords: Fixed point theory, Partial S-metric space, S-metric space

## **1** Introduction

The existence and uniqueness of fixed point for a self mapping was first introduced by Banach on a metric space. That was the starting point for many research work on this topic. Under different contraction principle and different types of metric space, such as partial metric space, and b-metric space, see [[3]-[19]]. In this article, we work in partial S-metric space.

The existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for a self mapping on different types of metric spaces were the main topic for many research papers [[2]-[18]]. The notion of *S*-metric space was introduced by Sedghi [4]. A generalization of *S*-metric space was given by Nabil in [1], where he introduced partial *S*-metric spaces. Moreover, he proved the existence of a fixed point for a self mapping in partial *S*-metric space. In this paper, we generalize the results in [1] by adding a control function to the contraction principle, which makes the results in [1] a direct consequences of our theorems.

Before proceeding to the main results, we set forth some definitions that will be used in the sequel.

**Definition 1.** [5] Let X be a nonempty set and  $p: X \times X \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . We say that (X, p) is a *partial metric space* if for all  $x, y, z \in X$  we have:

1.x = y if and only if p(x,y) = p(x,x) = p(y,y); 2. $p(x,x) \le p(x,y)$ ; 3.p(x,y) = p(y,x); 4. $p(x,z) \le p(x,y) + p(y,z) - p(y,y)$ .

$$-S(x;y;z) \ge 0,$$
  
 $-S(x;y;z) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y = z,$   
 $-S(x;y;z) \le S(x;x;a) + S(y;y;a) + S(z;z;a)$ 

The pair (X; S) is called an *S*-metric space.

Next, we give the definition of partial S-metric space.

**Definition 3.** [1] Let X be a nonempty set. A *partial* S-metric space on X is a function  $S_p: X^3 \to [0,\infty)$  that satisfies the following conditions, for all  $x, y, z, t \in X$ : (i) x = y if and only if  $S_p(x, x, x) = S_p(y, y, y) = S_p(x, x, y)$ (ii) $S_p(x, y, z) \leq S_p(x, x, t) + S_p(y, y, t) + S_p(z, z, t) - S_p(t, t, t)$ (iii) $S_p(x, x, x) \leq S_p(x, y, z)$ (iv) $S_p(x, x, y) = S_p(y, y, x)$ .

The pair  $(X, S_p)$  is called a partial S-metric space.

**Definition 4.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of elements in  $(X, S_p)$  is called *p*-*Cauchy* if the limit  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m)$  exists and finite. The partial S-metric space  $(X, S_p)$  is called *complete* if for each *p*-Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $S_p(z, z, z) = \lim_n S_p(z, z, x_n) = \lim_{n,m} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m)$ .

**Definition 2.** [4] Let *X* be a nonempty set. An *S*-metric space on *X* is a function  $S: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  that satisfies the following conditions, for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ :

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: kamal@psu.edu.sa

Moreover,  $(X, S_p)$  is a complete partial S-metric space if and only if  $(X, S_p^s)$  is a complete S-metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}_n$  in a partial S-metric space  $(X, S_p)$  is called 0-*Cauchy* if  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0$ . We say that  $(X, S_p)$  is 0-*complete* if every 0-Cauchy in X converges to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $S_p(x, x, x) = 0$ .

One can easily construct an example of a partial S-metric space by using the ordinary partial metric space.

**Example 1.** [1] Let  $X = [0,\infty)$  and p be the ordinary partial metric space on X. Define the mapping on  $X^3$  to be  $S_p(x,y,z) = p(x,z) + p(y,z)$ . Then  $S_p$  defines a partial S-metric space.

**Definition 5.** Let  $(X, S_p)$  be a partial S-metric space and  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  be a given mapping. We say that *T* is *partially*  $\alpha$ -*contractive* if there exists a constant  $k \in [0, 1)$  and a function  $\alpha: X \times X \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$\alpha(x,y)S_p(Tx,Tx,Ty) \le \max\{kS_p(x,x,y), S_p(x,x,x), S_p(y,y,y)\}.$$
(1)

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, S_p)$  be a partial S-metric space and  $T : X \longrightarrow X$  be a given mapping. We say that T is  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible if  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies that  $\alpha(x, Ty) \ge 1$ . Also, we say that T is  $\alpha$ -admissible if  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies that  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge 1$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$ . Define  $T : X \longrightarrow X$  by  $Tx = \sqrt{x}$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \longrightarrow X$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{x-y} \text{ if } x \ge y\\ 0 \text{ if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that T is  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible.

Now, set

 $\rho_{S_p}(\alpha) := \inf\{S_p(x, x, y) \mid x, y \in X : \alpha(x, y) \ge 1\} = \inf\{S_p(x, x, x) \mid x \in X : \alpha(x, x) \ge 1\},\$ 

$$\begin{split} X_{S_p}(\alpha) &= \{ x \in X \mid S_p(x,x,x) = \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) \}, \\ Z_{S_p}(\alpha) &= \{ x \in X_{S_p} \mid \alpha(x,x) \geq 1 \}. \end{split}$$

#### 2 Main Result

In this section, we prove the existence of a fixed point in partial S-metric space. We prove relevant corollary. This next theorem is considered to be our main result.

**Theorem 1.**Let  $(X, S_p)$  be a complete partial S-metric space, T be a self mapping on X and assume that T is partially  $\alpha$ -contractive. If T is  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible and if  $X_{S_p}(\alpha)$  is nonempty, then  $Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  is nonempty. Also, assume that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_0) \ge 1$  then: 1. The set  $Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  is nonempty; 2. There exists  $a \in Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  such that Ta = a.

Moreover, if for all u, v in  $Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  with the property Tu = uand Tv = v we have  $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$ , then T has a unique fixed point in  $Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.*Let  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_0) \ge 1$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  for all  $n \ge 0$  in X such that  $x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_{n+1} = Tx_n, \dots$ . Since T is  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible and  $\alpha$ -admissible, we have  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$  and hence  $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) \ge 1$ . So, by induction on n we get

$$\chi(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$$

for all  $n \ge 0$ . Also, since *T* is  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible;  $\alpha(x_0, x_0) \ge 1$  implies  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$ . By induction on *n*, we also conclude that

 $\alpha(x_0, x_n) \geq 1$ 

for all  $n \ge 0$ . Also, given the fact that *T* is  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $\alpha(x_0, x_0) \ge 1$ , it not difficult to see that  $\alpha(x_n, x_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Hence,

$$S_p(x_1, x_1, x_1) = S_p(Tx_0, Tx_0, Tx_0)$$
  

$$\leq \alpha(x_0, x_0) S_p(Tx_0, Tx_0, Tx_0)$$
  

$$\leq \max\{kS_p(x_0, x_0, x_0, x_0), S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0)\}$$
  

$$= S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0).$$

By induction we obtain:

$$S_p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \le S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n).$$

Therefore,  $\{S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n)\}_{\{n \ge 0\}}$  is a nonincreasing sequence. Define

$$r_0 := \lim_n S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n) = \inf_n S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n) \ge 0$$

and

$$M_0 := \frac{2}{1-k} S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0).$$

Next, we need to show that  $S_p(x_0, x_0, x_n) \leq M_0$ , for any  $n \geq 0$ . If n = 0; the case is trivial. For n = 1 and using the fact that  $k \in [0, 1)$  we deduce that  $S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) \leq \frac{2}{1-k}S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) \leq 2$ 

 $\frac{2}{1-k}S_p(x_0,x_0,x_1) + S_p(x_0,x_0,x_0) = M_0.$  So, we may assume that is true for all  $n \le n_0 - 1$  and prove it for  $n = n_0 \ge 2$ .

$$\begin{split} &S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{n_{0}}) \\ &\leq S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}) + S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}) + S_{p}(x_{n_{0}}, x_{n_{0}}, x_{1}) - S_{p}(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}) \\ &\leq 2S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}) + S_{p}(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{n_{0}}) \\ &\leq 2S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}) + \alpha(x_{0}, x_{n_{0}-1})S_{p}(Tx_{0}, Tx_{0}, Tx_{n_{0}-1}) \\ &\leq 2S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}) + \max\{kS_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{n_{0}-1}), S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{0}), S_{p}(x_{n_{0}-1}, x_{n_{0}-1}, x_{n_{0}-1})\} \\ &\leq 2S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}) + \max\{kS_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{n_{0}-1}), S_{p}(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{0})\}. \end{split}$$

Also, by induction assumption, we have  $S_p(x_0, x_0, x_{n_0-1}) \leq \frac{2}{1-k}S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0)$ . So, we have

$$\begin{split} S_p(x_0, x_0, x_{n_0}) &\leq 2S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + \\ & \max\{\frac{2k}{1-k}S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + kS_p(x_0, x_0, x_0), S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0)\} \\ &\leq 2S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + \frac{2k}{1-k}S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0) \\ &= \frac{2}{1-k}S_p(x_0, x_0, x_1) + S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0) = M_0. \end{split}$$

Hence, by induction we conclude that  $S_p(x_0, x_0, x_n) \le M_0$ . Next, we need to show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) = r_0.$$

For all *n*, *m* we have  $S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) \ge S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n) \ge r_0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  find a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $S_p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}) < r_0 + \varepsilon$  and  $2M_0k^{n_0} < r_0 + \varepsilon$ . Now for any  $n, m \ge 2n_0$ , since *T* is  $R_\alpha$ -admissible and using the fact that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  we deduce that  $\alpha(x_n, x_m) \ge 1$ . Hence,

```
\begin{split} & S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) \\ & \leq \alpha(x_n, x_m) S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) \\ & \leq \max\{kS_p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{m-1}), S_p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), S_p(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_{m-1})\} \\ & \leq \max\{k^2S_p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}, x_{m-2}), S_p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}), S_p(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-2})\} \\ & \leq \cdots \leq \max\{k^{n_0}S_p(x_{n-n_0}, x_{n-n_0}, x_{m-n_0}), S_p(x_{n-n_0}, x_{n-n_0}), \\ & S_p(x_{m-n_0}, x_{m-n_0}, x_{m-n_0})\} \\ & \leq r_0 + \varepsilon. \end{split}
```

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) = r_0.$$

Since (X, p) is a complete partial S-metric space; there exists  $\tilde{x} \in X$  such that

$$r_0 = S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}) = \lim_n S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, x_n) = \lim_{n,m} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m).$$

Next, we show that  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, T\tilde{x})$ . For each natural number *n* we have

$$S_p(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{x},T\widetilde{x}) \le 2S_p(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{x},x_n) - S_p(x_n,x_n,x_n) + S_p(T\widetilde{x},T\widetilde{x},x_n).$$

Using the property that *T* is  $\alpha$ -contractive we deduce that there exists a subsequence of natural numbers  $\{n_l\}$  such that

$$S_p(T\widetilde{x}, T\widetilde{x}, x_{n_l}) \\ \leq \alpha(\widetilde{x}, x_{n_l-1}) S_p(T\widetilde{x}, T\widetilde{x}, x_{n_l}) \\ \leq \max\{kS_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, x_{n_l-1}), S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}), S_p(x_{n_l-1}, x_{n_l-1}, x_{n_l-1})\}$$

So, for  $l \ge 1$ , we have either  $S_p(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{x}, x_{n_l}) \le kS_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, x_{n_l-1})$  or less than or equal  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x})$  or less than or equal  $S_p(x_{n_l-1}, x_{n_l-1}, x_{n_l-1})$ .

In all of these three cases, if we take the limit as l goes toward  $\infty$  we get  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, T\tilde{x}) \leq S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x})$ . But, we know by the property (*ii*) of the partial S-metric space definition that  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) \leq S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, T\tilde{x})$ . Therefore,  $S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}) = S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, T\widetilde{x}).$ 

Now, we show that  $X_{S_p}(\alpha)$  is nonempty. For each natural number l pick  $x_l \in X$  with  $\alpha(x_l, x_l) \ge 1$  and  $S_p(x_l, x_l, x_l) < \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{l}$  and show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S_p(\widetilde{x}_n, \widetilde{x}_n, \widetilde{x}_m) = \rho_{S_p}(\alpha).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  put  $n_0 := (\frac{3}{\varepsilon(1-\kappa)}) + 1$  if  $l \ge n_0$  then we have:  $\rho_{S_p}(\alpha) \le S_p(\widetilde{x}_l, \widetilde{x}_l, T\widetilde{x}_l) \le S_p(\widetilde{x}_l, \widetilde{x}_l, T\widetilde{x}_l) \le r_{x_l} \le S_p(\widetilde{x}_l, \widetilde{x}_l, T\widetilde{x}_l) < r_{S_p}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{l} \le \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{n_0} < \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \frac{\varepsilon(1-\kappa)}{3}$ . Hence, we deduce that:

$$U_l := S_p(\widetilde{x}_l, \widetilde{x}_l, \widetilde{x}_l) - S_p(T\widetilde{x}_l, T\widetilde{x}_l, T\widetilde{x}_l) < \frac{\varepsilon(1-k)}{3},$$

for  $i \ge n_0$ . Also, if  $l \ge n_0$ , then  $S_p(\tilde{x}_l, \tilde{x}_l, \tilde{x}_l) = r_{x_l} \le S_p(x_l, x_l, x_l) < \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{n_0}$ . Which implies  $S_p(\tilde{x}_l, \tilde{x}_l, \tilde{x}_l) \le \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \frac{\varepsilon(1-k)}{3}$  for all  $l \ge n_0$ . Now, if  $n, m \ge n_0$ , then  $S_p(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_m) \le 2S_p(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n) + S_p(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_m) + 2S_p(T\tilde{x}_m, T\tilde{x}_m, \tilde{x}_m) - S_p(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n) - S_p(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n)$ . We know that  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, T\tilde{x})$  which implies:

 $S_{p}(\tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{m})$   $\leq U_{n} + U_{m} + \alpha(\tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{m})S_{p}(T\tilde{x}_{n}, T\tilde{x}_{n}, T\tilde{x}_{m})$   $\leq S_{p}(\tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{m}) \leq U_{n} + U_{m} + S_{p}(T\tilde{x}_{n}, T\tilde{x}_{n}, T\tilde{x}_{m})$   $< U_{n} + U_{m} + \max\{kS_{p}(\tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{m}), S_{p}(\tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{m}), S_{p}(\tilde{x}_{m}, \tilde{x}_{m}, \tilde{x}_{m})\}.$ 

Hence,

$$\rho_{S_p}(\alpha) \leq S_p(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_m)$$
  
$$\leq max\{\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon, \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon(1-k) + S_p(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n), \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon(1-k) + S_p(\tilde{x}_m, \tilde{x}_m, \tilde{x}_m)\}$$
  
$$\leq max\{\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon, \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \varepsilon(1-k)\} < \rho_{S_p}(\alpha) + \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n,m}S_p(\widetilde{x}_n,\widetilde{x}_n,\widetilde{x}_m)=\rho_{S_p}(\alpha).$$

Since  $(X, S_p)$  is complete there exists  $a \in X$  such that,

$$S_p(a,a,a) = \lim_n S_p(a,a,\widetilde{x}_n) = \lim_{n,m} S_p(\widetilde{x}_n,\widetilde{x}_n,\widetilde{x}_m) = \rho_{S_p}(\alpha).$$

Therefore, we conclude that  $a \in X_{S_p}(\alpha)$  and thus  $X_{S_p}(\alpha)$  is nonempty. Therefore,  $Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  is nonempty.

Now, let  $x_0 \in Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  be arbitrary. Then by the above argument we have

$$\rho_{S_p}(\alpha) \le S_p(T\widetilde{x}, T\widetilde{x}, T\widetilde{x}) \le S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, T\widetilde{x}) = S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}) = r_0 = \rho_{S_p}(\alpha).$$

Thus,  $T\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}$ , Now, assume that *T* has two fixed points in  $Z_{S_p}(\alpha)$  say *u* and *v*. By our hypothesis, we know that  $\alpha(u, v) > 1$ . Thus,

$$S_p(u,u,v) = S_p(Tu,Tu,Tv) \le \alpha(u,v)S_p(Tu,Tu,Tv)$$
$$\le \max\{kS_p(u,u,v),S_p(u,u,u),S_p(v,v,v)\}.$$



Now, if  $S_p(u,u,v) \leq kS_p(u,u,v)$  we deduce that  $S_p(u,u,v) = 0$  and in this case u = v, or  $S_p(u,u,v) \leq S_p(u,u,u) = S_p(v,v,v)$  and in this case by condition (*ii*) of the definition of the partial S-metric space we obtain  $S_p(u,u,v) = S_p(u,u,u) = S_p(v,v,v)$  and hence by condition (*i*) of the same definition we conclude that u = v. Therefore, we obtain the uniqueness as desired.

As a consequence of the above result, the following corollary follows easily.

**Corollary 1.**Let  $(X, S_p)$  be a 0-complete partial S-metric space,  $k \in [0, 1)$  and consider the map  $T : X \longrightarrow X$  to be  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible, and there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_0) \ge 1$ , also for every  $x, y \in X$  we have  $\alpha(x, y)S_p(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le kS_p(x, x, y)$ . Then there exists  $\tilde{x} \in X$  such that  $T\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}$ .

*Proof.*Using the same technique and notation in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce that  $S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha(x_n, x_n)S_p(x_n, x_n, x_n) \leq k^n S_p(x_0, x_0, x_0)$ . Thus,

 $r_0 = S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}) = lim_n S_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}, x_n) = lim_{n,m} S_p(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0.$ 

This implies that  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = 0$ . Since  $S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = S_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, T\tilde{x}) = 0$ , we have  $\tilde{x} = T\tilde{x}$  as required.

In closing, we change the contraction principle in Theorem 1, to show that there exist a unique fixed point in the whole space X.

**Theorem 2.**Let  $(X, S_p)$  be a complete partial S-metric space,  $k \in [0, 1)$  and assume the there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_0) \ge 1$ . Consider the map  $T : X \longrightarrow X$  to be  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible. Assume that for every  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$\alpha(x,y)S_p(Tx,Tx,Ty) \le \max\{kS_p(x,x,y), \frac{S_p(x,x,x) + S_p(y,y,y)}{2}\},$$
(2)

then there exists a unique  $u \in X$  such that Tu = u.

*Proof.* Note that, for every  $x, y \in X$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x,y)S_p(Tx,Tx,Ty) &\leq \max\{kS_p(x,x,y),\frac{S_p(x,x,x)+S_p(y,y,y)}{2}\} \\ &\leq \max\{kS_p(x,x,y),S_p(x,x,x),S_p(y,y,y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence, there exists  $u \in X$  such that Tu = u. Assume that there exists two fixed points  $u, v \in X$  for T such that  $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$ . Hence,

$$S_p(u,u,v) = S_p(Tu,Tu,Tv) \le \alpha(u,v)S_p(Tu,Tu,Tv)$$
  
$$\le \max\{kS_p(u,u,v), \frac{S_p(u,u,u) + S_p(v,v,v)}{2}\}.$$

Thus, we either have  $S_p(u,u,v) \le kS_p(u,u,v)$  which implies that  $S_p(u,u,v) = 0$  and hence u = v, or  $0 = 2S_p(u,u,v) - S_p(u,u,u) - S_p(v,v,v)$  which also implies that u = v as desired. **Example 3.** Let  $(X, S_p)$  be a partial S-metric space, where  $X = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$  and the partial S-metric space  $S_p : X^3 \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is defined by

$$S_p(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} \|\max\{x,y\} - z\| \text{ if } \{x,y,z\} \cap [2,3] \neq \emptyset \\ |x-y-z| & \text{ if } \{x,y,z\} \subset [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

Define the functions  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  and  $\alpha: X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follows  $Tx = \frac{x+1}{2}$  if  $0 \le x \le 1$ , T2 = 1, and  $Tx = \frac{x+2}{2}$ if  $2 < x \le 3$ ,

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} e^{x-y} \text{ if } x \ge y\\ 0 \text{ if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that *T* is  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $R_{\alpha}$ -admissible. Note that, we can always pick our *x*, *y* and *z* such that max{*x*,*y*} > *z*. Also *T* is an increasing function. So, for every  $x \ge y \in X$  we have:

$$S_p(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le \alpha(x, y)S_p(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le \frac{1}{2}S_p(x, x, y), \text{ if }$$
$$x, y \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$S_p(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le \alpha(x, y)S_p(Tx, Tx, Ty)$$
$$\le \frac{S_p(x, x, x) + S_p(y, y, y)}{2}, \quad \{x, y\} \cap [2, 3] \neq \emptyset$$

One can verify that the function T in this example satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 and the unique fixed point will be 1.

### **3** Conclusion

In closing, the author would like to bring to the reader's attention the possibility of obtaining the same result of Theorem 2.1 by changing the hypothesis where *T* is partially  $\alpha$ -contractive with the following contraction principle  $\alpha(x,y)S_p(Tx,Tx,Ty) \leq \psi(S_p(x,x,y))$ , where  $\psi$  is a self-function on  $(0,\infty)$ .

#### References

- N. Mlaiki, A contraction principle in partial Smetric spaces, Universal Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 5 (2), (2014) 109-119.
- [2] N. Mlaiki, A partially α-contractive principle, Advanced mathematical studies, 1 7 (1) (2014) 121-126.
- [3] N. Mlaiki,  $\alpha \psi$ -contractive mapping in S-metric space, *Math. Sci. Lett.*, **4** (1), (2015) 9-12.
- [4] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 64 (2012), 258-266.
- [5] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Ann. New york Acad. Sci. 728 (1994), 183-197.

- [6] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for α – ψ–contactive type mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis*. **75** (2012), 2154-2165.
- [7] D. Ilic, V. Pavlovic, V. Rakcevic, Some new extentions of Banach's contraction principleto partial metric space, *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 24 (2011), 1326-1330.
- [8] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Taş, Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (11) (2011), 1900-1904.
- [9] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Taş, A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces, *Journal of Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, **63** (3) (2012), 716-719.
- [10] T. Abdeljawad, Fixed points for generalized weakly contractive mappings in partial metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 54 (11-12) (2011), 2923-2927.
- [11] S. Oltra and O. Valero, Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, **36** (1-2) (2004), 17-26.
- [12] E. Karapnar, I. S. Yuce, Fixed Point Theory for Cyclic Generalized Weak φ-Contraction on Partial Metric Spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2012**, 2012.
- [13] O. Valero, On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* **6** (2) (2005), 229-240.
- [14] T. Abdeljawad, Meir-Keeler alpha-contractive fixed and common fixed point theorems, *Fixed point theory and applications*, **19** DOI:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-19.
- [15] H.P. Masiha, F. Sabetghadam, and N. Shahzad, Fixed Point Theorems in Partial Metric Spaces with an Application, *FILOMAT* 27 (4), (2013) 617-624.
- [16] W. Shatanawi and A. Pitea, Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partial metric spaces, *Fixed point theory* and applications, **153** DOI: 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-153 Published: 2013.
- [17] S.K. Malhotra, S. Shukla and R. Sen, A generalization of Banach contraction principle in ordered cone metric spaces, *Journal of advanced mathematical studies*, 5 (2) (2012) 59-67.
- [18] Sana Hadj Amor, Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak -contractions in ordered partial metric spaces, *Journal of advanced mathematical studies*, 6 (1) (2013) 01-11.
- [19] Z. Kadelburg, H.K. Nashine and S. Radenovi, Coupled fixed points in partial metric spaces, *Journal of advanced mathematical studies*, 6 (1)(2013) 159-172.



Kamaleldin Abodayeh an Associate professor is of mathematics at Prince Sultan University. His area of expertise is functional analysis. he Also, has been doing some research in discrete potential theory. He has been at Prince Sultan University since January

2001. He graduated in 1988 with a BS in mathematics from Umm Alqura University. He graduated in 1994 with an M.Sc. in mathematics from University College Dublin. In July 1998 he graduated with a Ph.D. in mathematics from University College Cork. His advisor was Professor Gerard Murphy. The title of his dissertation is Compact Topological Semigroups.