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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define and study a new class of analytic functions by using the concept of generalized close-to-convexity. Coefficient results, Hankel determinant problem and some other interesting properties of this class are investigated. Results proved in this paper may stimulate further research in this area.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ be the class of functions analytic in the open unit $\operatorname{disc} E=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and be given by
$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$.
Let $S \subset A$ be the class of functions which are univalent and also $K, S^{*}, C$ be well known subclasses of $S$ which, respectively, contain close-to-convex, starlike and convex functions. For more details, we refer to $[2,4,6,8,9]$ and the references therein.
Let $V_{k}$ be the class of functions $f$ with bounded boundary rotation. Paatero [19] showed that a function $f \in A, f^{\prime}(z) \neq$ 0 belongs to the class $V_{k}$ if and only if
$\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Re \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| d \theta \leq k \pi ; \quad z=r e^{i \theta}$.
It is geometrically obvious that $k \geq 2$.
By Paatero representation theorem [19] for $f \in V_{k}$, we can write
$\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}=h(z)$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
h(z)= & \left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{1}(z) \\
& -\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{2}(z), \Re h_{i}(z)>0, i=1,2 . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $h$, defined by (3) is said to belong to the class $P_{k}$, see [20]. Clearly $P_{2}=P$, where $P$ is the class of functions with positive real part.
We note that $V_{2}=C$ and it is known [19] that $V_{k}$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$, consists entirely of univalent functions.
We now define the following.
Definition 1.Let $f \in A$ and be locally univalent satisfying the condition $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. Then $f \in M_{m, k}$ if there exists a function $g \in V_{k}, k \geq 2$, such that, for $z \in E$
$\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Re \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| d \theta \leq m \pi, \quad m \geq 2$.
The condition (4) is equivalent to the following condition that
$\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \in P_{m}, m \geq 2, g \in V_{k}$.
Clearly $M_{2,2}=K$ and $M_{2, k}=T_{k}$ is the class introduced and studied in [12].
The following is a necessary condition for the functions $f$ in the class $M_{m, k}$.

Theorem 1.Let $f \in M_{m, k}$. Then, for all $\theta_{1}<\theta_{2}$ and for all $0 \leq r<1, z=r e^{i \theta}$,
$\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta>-\left(\frac{m+k}{2}-1\right) \pi$.

[^0]Proof.From definition, it follows that
$\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)-\arg g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{m \pi}{2}, \quad g \in V_{k}$.
Let

$$
F(r, \theta)=\arg \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}=\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta
$$

and

$$
G(r, \theta)=\arg \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}=\arg g^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)-G(r, \theta)\right| \leq \frac{m \pi}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so, for $\theta_{1}<\theta_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(r, \theta_{2}\right)-F\left(r, \theta_{1}\right) \\
& =\left[\left\{F\left(r, \theta_{2}\right)-G\left(r, \theta_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{G\left(r, \theta_{2}\right)-G\left(r, \theta_{1}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{G\left(r, \theta_{1}\right)-F\left(r, \theta_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& <\frac{m \pi}{4}+\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right) \pi+\frac{m \pi}{4}=\left(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{k}{2}-1\right) \pi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (8) and a necessary condition for $g \in$ $V_{k}$, see [1]. This proves (6).

Remark 1. From Theorem 1, we can interpret some geometrical meaning for $f \in M_{m, k}$. For simplicity, let us suppose that the image domain is bounded by an analytic curve $C_{1}$. At a point on $C_{1}$, the outward drawn normal has an angle $\arg \left\{e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$. Then it follows that the angle of the outward drawn normal turns back at most $\left(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{k}{2}-1\right) \pi$.

Remark 2. Goodman [5] defines the class $K(\beta)$ of function $f$ as follows.
Let $f \in A$ and $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. Then, for $\beta \geq 0, f \in K(\beta)$ if and only if, for $z=r e^{i \theta}, \theta_{1}<\theta_{2}$

$$
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)} d \theta>-\beta \pi
$$

We note that

$$
M_{m, k} \subset K\left(\frac{m+k}{2}-1\right), \quad m, k \geq 2
$$

The functions in $M_{m, k}$ are univalent for $m+k \leq 4$ and when $(m+k)>4, f \in M_{m, k}$ need not even be finitely valent.

## 2 Main Results

Theorem 2. From Remark 2 and the results given in [5] for the class $K(\beta)$, we at once have:
Let $f \in M_{m, k}$. Then, for $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1$,
(i) $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{m(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{2(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}+2}}$,
(ii) $|f(z)| \leq \frac{m}{2(k+2)}\left\{\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}-1\right\}$

The function $F_{0} \in M_{m, k}$, defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{0}(z) & =\frac{m}{2(k+2)}\left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}-1\right] \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n}(m, k) z^{n} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

shows that these upper bounds are sharp.
(iii) $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq A_{n}(m, k), n \geq 2$, where $A_{n}(m, k)$ is defined by (9), $a_{n}$ is given by (1) and $\frac{m+k}{2}$ is an even integer. This result is sharp for each $n \geq 2$.

We now deal with the arc length problem for the class $M_{m, k}$ as follows.

Theorem 3. Let $L(r, f)$ denote the length of the image of the circle $|z|=r$ under $f$ and let $f \in M_{m, k}$.
Then, for $0 \leq r<1$,

$$
L(r, f)=O(1)\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{m+k}{2}}, \quad(r \rightarrow 1)
$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant.
Proof. Since $M_{m, k} \subset K\left(\beta_{1}\right), \beta_{1}=\left(\frac{m+k}{2}-1\right)$, and it is known [5] that, for $K\left(\beta_{1}\right)$, there exists $\phi \in C$ such that

$$
\left|\arg \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1} \pi}{2}, \quad \beta_{1} \geq 0
$$

That is, $f \in M_{m, k}$ implies that
$f^{\prime}(z)=\phi^{\prime}(z) h^{\beta_{1}}(z), \phi \in C, h \in P$.
From these observations and (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(r, f) \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z f^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|s(z) h^{\beta_{1}}(z)\right| d \theta, \quad s=z \phi^{\prime} \in S^{*}, \beta_{1}=\left(\frac{m+k}{2}-1\right) \\
& \leq 2 \pi\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|s(z)|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|h(z)|^{2 \beta_{1}} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C(m, k)\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\beta_{1}+1} \\
& =O(1)\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{m+k}{2}}, \quad m, k \geq 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Schwarz inequality, subordination for starlike functions and a result due to Hayman [8] for the function $h \in P$.

We can deduce the rate of growth of the coefficients for $f \in M_{m, k}$ from Theorem 2 as:
Let $f \in M_{m, k}$ and be given by (1). Then, for $n \geq 2$

$$
a_{n}=O(1) \cdot n^{\left(\frac{m+k}{2}-1\right)}
$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant.
Theorem 4.Let $f \in M_{m, k}$ and be given by (1). Then

$$
a_{n}=O(1) \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}},(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

and $O(1)$ is a constant depending only on $m$ and $k$. The function $F_{0} \in M_{m, k}$, defined by (8), shows that the exponent $\frac{k}{2}$ is best possible.
Proof. Since $f \in M_{m, k}$, there exists $g \in V_{k}$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}(z) H(z), \quad H \in P_{m}, m \geq 2
$$

Set

$$
F(z)=\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}=g^{\prime}(z) h(z) H(z) z H^{\prime}(z)
$$

where $\left(z g^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}=g^{\prime}(z) h(z)$. Now, by Cauchy Theorem, for $z=r e^{i \theta}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right| & =\frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+2}}\left|\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(z) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+2}}\left|\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\right| g^{\prime}(z)\left\{H(z) h(z)+z H^{\prime}(z)\right\} \right\rvert\, c \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

For $g \in V_{k}$, it is known [1] that
$g^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\left(\frac{s_{1}(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{s_{2}(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}}, s_{1}, s 2 \in S^{*}$.
Also, see [13, 14], for $H \in P_{m}$, we have
(i) $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|H(z)|^{2} d \theta \leq \frac{1+\left(m^{2}-1\right) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}, \quad z=r e^{i \theta}$,
and
(i)
$\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z H^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta \leq \frac{m}{1-r^{2}}, \quad z=r e^{i \theta}$.
Thus, on using (12) together with the well known [4] distortion result for $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S^{*}$ and Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n 62\left|a_{n}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{r^{n+1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \\
& \times\left[\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|H(z)|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|h(z)|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right. \\
&  \tag{14}\\
& \left.\qquad+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z H^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d \theta\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We make use of (13) in (14) and this leads us to the required result. The proof is complete.
Golusion [3] has shown that we can choose a $z_{1}=z_{1}(r)$ with $\left|z_{1}\right|=r$ such that, for any univalent function $s(z)$
$\max _{|z|=r}\left|\left(z-z_{1}\right) s(z)\right| \leq \frac{2 r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}$.
Using similar technique of Theorem 4 with (15), we can easily prove the following.
Theorem 5.Let $f \in M_{m, k}$ and be given by (1.1). Then, for $k \geq 2$

$$
\left|\left|a_{n}\right|-\left|a_{n+1}\right|\right| \leq c(m, k) n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}, \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

where $c(m, k)$ is a constant.
Let $f \in A$ and be given by (1). The $q$ th Hankel determinant of $f$ is defined for $q \geq 1, n \geq 1$ by
$H_{q}(n)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & \ldots & \ldots & a_{n+2 q-2}\end{array}\right|$
Hankel determinants play an important role in the study of singularities and in the theory of power series with integral coefficients (see, for example [2;pp. 320-335].
The problem of determining the rate of growth of $H_{q}(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $f$ belonging to certain subclasses of analytic functions is well known, see [6,7.10-13, 15-18, 21,22].
For $f \in S^{*}$, Pommerenke solved this problem completely.
He showed that, if $f \in S^{*}$, then
$H_{q}(n)=0(1) \cdot n^{2-q}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$
and the exponent $(2-q)$ is best possible, see [22]. Noor [15] generalized this result for close-to-convex functions. We also refer to [16].
Noonan and Thomas [10] have shown that, for a really mean $p$-valent functions $f$,

$$
H_{q}(n)=O(1) \begin{cases}n^{2 p-1}, & q=1, p>\frac{1}{4} \\ n^{2 p q-q^{2}}, & q \geq 2, p \geq 2(q-1)\end{cases}
$$

where $O(1)$ depends upon $p, q$ and $f$ and the exponent $\left(2 p q-q^{2}\right)$ is best possible.
For $p=1$, Hayman[7] has shown that $H_{2}(n)=o(1) n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and this is best possible.
In [13], it was shown that, if $f \in V_{K}$, then

$$
H_{q}(n)=O(1) \begin{cases}n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}, & q=1 \\ n^{\frac{k q}{2}-q^{2}}, & q \geq 2, k \geq 8 q-10\end{cases}
$$

The exponent $\left(\frac{k q}{2}-q^{2}\right)$ is best possible in some sense. In this paper, we estimate the rate of growth of Hankel determinant for $f \in M_{n, k}$.

Theorem 6.Let $f \in M_{m, k}$ and let the Hankel determinant of $f(z)$, for $q \geq 2$ be defined by (16). Then The $O(1)$ is a constant depending upon $k, m, q$ and $f$.

To prove this theorem, we need the following known lemmas, see [10]

Lemma 1. Let $f \in A$ and be given by (1). Let the qth Hankel determinant of $f$, for $q \geq 1, n \geq 1$, be defined by
(16). Then writing $\Delta_{j}(n)=\Delta_{j}\left(n, z_{1}, f\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{q}(n) \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\Delta_{2 q-1}(n) & \Delta_{2 q-3}(n+1) & \ldots & \Delta_{q-1}(n+q-1) \\
\Delta_{2 q-3}(n+1) & \Delta_{2 q-4}(n+2) & \ldots & \Delta_{q-2}(n+q) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\Delta_{q-1}(n+q-1) & \ldots & \ldots & \Delta_{q}(n+2 q-2)
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where, with $\Delta_{0}\left(n, z_{1}, f\right)=a_{n}$, we define for $J \geq 1$.
$\Delta_{j}\left(n, z_{1}, f\right)=\Delta_{j-1}\left(n, z_{1}, f\right)-n \Delta_{j-1}\left(n+1, z_{1}, f\right) \ldots$
Lemma 2. With $x=\left(\frac{n}{n+1} y\right), v \geq 0$ and integer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{j}\left(n+v, x, z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{j}\binom{j}{k} \frac{y^{k}(v-(k-1) n)}{(n+1)^{k}} \cdot \Delta_{j-k}(n+v+k, y, f(z))
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove Theorem 6.
Proof.We shall prove this result by using the differences (17). Since $f \in M_{m, k}$, there exists $g \in V_{u}$ such that
$f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}(z) H(z)$,
where $H \in P_{m}$ and, with $\left(z g^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}=g^{\prime}(z) h(z), h \in P_{k}$, we have
$F(z)=\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}=g^{\prime}(z)\left[H(z) h(z)+z H^{\prime}(z)\right]$
Now, for $j \geq 0, z_{1}$ any non-zero complex, we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Delta_{j}\left(n, z_{1}, F(z)\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+j}}\left|\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{j}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime} e^{-i(n+j) \theta} d \theta\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+j}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\left(z-z_{1}\right)\right|^{j}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|H(z) h(z)+z H^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use (12) and (15) and distortion result for $S^{*}$ to have, with $k \geq 4 j-2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Delta_{j}\left(n, z_{1}, F(z)\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^{\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+j}}\left(\frac{2 r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{r}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}+1-2 j} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|H(z) h(z)+z H^{\prime} \theta\right| d \theta \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Schwarz inequality and using (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|H(z) h(z)+z H^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|H(z)|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|h(z)|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z H^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta \\
& \leq c_{1}(m, k) \cdot \frac{1}{1-r} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}(m, k)$ is constant.
From (18), (19) and Lemma 2, it follows that
$\Delta_{j}\left(n, z_{1}, f(z)\right)=O(1) \cdot n^{\frac{k}{2}-j}$,
$O(1)$ depends only on $m, k$ and $j$.
We use similar argument due to Noonan and Thomas [10] together with Lemma 1 to estimate the rate of growth of $H_{q}(n)$.

For $q=1, H_{1}(n)=a_{n}=\Delta_{0}(n)$ and, from Theorem 4, it follows that
$H_{1}(n)=O(1) \cdot n^{\frac{k}{2}}$
For $q \geq 2$, we have, from (20) and Lemma 1,
$H_{q}(n)=O(1) . n^{q\left\{\frac{h}{2}-(q-1)\right\}}, k \geq 4(q-1)-2=4 q-6$.
This gives us the required result.
As a special case, we note that
$H_{2}(n)=O(1) \cdot n^{k-2}, k \geq 2$
Also, for $k=2, f \in M_{m, 2}$ and in this case
$H_{q}(n)=O(1) \cdot n^{2 q-q^{2}}$.

Theorem 7.Let $f \in M_{m, k}$ then $f$ maps $|z|<R$ onto a convex domain where $R$ is the least positive root of
$T(R)=R^{3}-\left(r_{2}+2 r_{1}\right) R^{2}-\left(2 r_{1} r_{2}+r_{1}^{2}\right) R+r_{1}^{2} r_{2}=0,(23)$
where
$r_{2}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}-4}}{2}, \quad r_{1}=\frac{m-\sqrt{m^{4}-4}}{2}$
As a special case, when $k=m$, then $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and we have $R=(2-\sqrt{3}) r_{2}$.

Proof. For $f \in M_{m, k}$, we can write

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}(z) H(z), g \in V_{k} \text { and } H \in P_{m}
$$

. It is known that, for $|z|<r_{1}, \mathfrak{R} H(z)>0$, see [20]. Let $\alpha$ be any complex number such that $|\alpha|<r_{1}$. Then

$$
p(z)=H\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}(2+\alpha)}{r_{1}^{2}+\bar{\alpha} z}\right)=H^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{r_{1}^{2}} z+\ldots\right)
$$

is analytic in $|z|<r_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{R} p(z)>0$ for all $|z|<r_{1}$. Hence, by a result due to Nehari [9], we have
$\left|H^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2|H(\alpha)|}{r_{1}}$,
which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\alpha H^{\prime}(\alpha)}{H(\alpha)}\right| \leq \frac{2 r_{1}|\alpha|}{r_{1}^{2}-|\alpha|^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha$ is any complex number such that $|\alpha|<r_{1}$, we can write the inequality (22) as

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2 r_{1}|z|}{r_{1}^{2}-|z|^{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathfrak{R} \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)} \geq \mathfrak{R} \frac{\left(z g^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 r_{1}|z|}{r_{1}^{2}-|z|^{2}}
$$

Also, for $g \in V_{k}, \mathfrak{R} \frac{\left(z g^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}(z)} \geq 0$ for $|z|<r_{2}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}-4}}{2}$. Using Harnack Inequality, we can write

$$
\mathfrak{R} \frac{\left(z g^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}(z)} \geq \frac{r_{2}-|z|}{r_{2}+|z|}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R} \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)} & \geq \frac{r_{2}-|z|}{r_{2}+|z|}-\frac{2 r_{1}|z|}{r_{1}^{2}-|z|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(r_{2}-|z|\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)-2 r_{1}|z|\left(r_{2}+|z|\right)}{\left(r_{2}+|z|\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{T(|z|)}{\left(r_{2}+|z|\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}+|z|^{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where, with $|z|=R, T(R)$ is given by (21). We note that $T(0)=r_{2} r_{1}^{2}$ and $T_{1}<0$, so $R \in(0,1)$ exists.
Hence $\mathfrak{R} \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0$ for $|z|<R$, where $R$ is the least positive root of $T(R)=0$. This completes the proof.

As a special case, let $m=k$. In this case $f \in M_{k, k}$ maps $|z|<(2-\sqrt{3}) r_{2}$ onto a convex domain.
Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(R) & =R^{3}-3 r_{2} R^{2}-3 r_{2}^{2} R+r_{2}^{3} \\
& =\left(r_{2}+R\right)\left(R^{2}-4 r_{2} R+r_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is $R=(2-\sqrt{3}) r_{2}$. We note that, by taking

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, g \in V_{2}
$$

it can be shown that $(2-\sqrt{3})$ cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

## Conclusion

We have used the concept of close-t-convexity to introduce and investigate some new classes of analytic functions. The rate of growth for Hankel determinant of coefficients of these functions has been studied. Arclength problem is also a part of our results. Several applications our main results have been pointed out. The ideas and techniques of this paper may motivate further research in this field.
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